

BULLETS AND BALLOTS

By A. J. Reffes

Progress Publishers

For Kathy, friend, mentor and wife. *Stupor Mundi.*

Copyright ©2024 by A. J. Reffes. All rights reserved. Published by Progress Publishers, Berlin, Germany.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION 3

BULLETS AND BALLOTS 4

THE PSEUDOEMPIRICISM OF LOCKE, BERKELEY AND HUME 7

THE STORY OF ROME AND A TALE OF TODAY 9

WEST HITS THE YEAR ZERO 12

RED ASSASSIN TARGETS CEO 14

THE MASSES IN THE GUTTER WITH RELIGION 16

BLACK POWER ARRESTS SUBWAY HERO 19

BASKETBALL SUPERSTAR SCORES FOR REVOLUTION 21

THE DEVIL'S LAKE 24

SOLAR ECLIPSE: OCTOBER 1, 1949 26

JIHAD GERMANY 29

THE NATIONAL SUBVERSION FOUNDATION 31

RED CAESAR ROOSEVELT 34

WHITE FLAG IN KOREA 36

WHAT TRUMP WILL AND WILL NOT DO 38

UNIVERSITY OF JEW HATRED AT LOS ANGELES 41

CAUSE OF DEATH: RELIGION 43

GERMANY TODAY: ISLAM BLOODIES THE PUBLIC SQUARE 46

LOCKED INSIDE THE MIND 48

AMERICA'S HEARTLAND HOSTS SUBVERSION 51

INTRODUCTION

These reports are intended for a general, nonspecialist audience. They run the gamut of the arts and sciences, historical and current events, and should provide the reader with useful introductions to given topics. May they encourage a few happy hours of suggestive thought!

BULLETS AND BALLOTS

On May 29, 1856, in Bloomington, Illinois, a group of Republicans gathered to discuss the formation of an Illinois branch of the national Republican Party. Formed in 1854, the party was dedicated to expansion of freedom and the abolition of slavery, and opposed the Kansas-Nebraska Act which allowed new territories, upon entering the Union as states, to vote to establish slavery. Abraham Lincoln gave a speech at the convention in which he urged the delegates to repeal the Kansas-Nebraska Act and abolish slavery by peaceful, democratic means. Lincoln advocated the use of ballots in place of bullets to bring freedom to America: "Do not mistake that the ballot is stronger than the bullet. Therefore let the legions of slavery use bullets; but let us wait patiently till November, and fire ballots at them in return; and by that peaceful policy I believe we shall ultimately win." Ballots instead of bullets. Nonviolent change supported by the majority to establish a free society in which the rights of all are protected. Less than two centuries after Lincoln set forth his noble vision of how a society can and should abolish tyranny, the situation has been reversed. Less than two centuries after Lincoln inspired his party comrades with a shining system of democratic decision making which eschewed violence, democracy has become a weapon in the hands of the mob to overthrow freedom. Beginning in the twentieth century, majorities throughout Western nations have voted to shred their constitutions, repeal individual rights and establish the primacy of the state. For the past one hundred years, ballot boxes have become democratic bombs and blown up the traditions and institutions of the Enlightenment which had kept the West free and were the envy and hope of oppressed people throughout the world. For the past one hundred years, the citizens of the West have travelled down the path of ancient Rome, which rejected pagan reason and freedom and established caesarism, statism, regulation, Christian hegemony, and bread and circuses to the standing ovations of the masses. For the past one hundred years, the masses of the West have made history repeat itself and have willingly, peacefully, democratically transformed their nations into regimes of fear and control, and banished liberal order from their governments. Look at the history of the West over the past one hundred years and see freedom attacked from all directions by ballots and not bullets, and see democracy weaponized as an instrument of totalitarian subjugation. Because of its culture of individual rights, the West has preserved the individual's right to vote and prevented the staging of coups and minority seizures of power, but such prevention is meaningless because the right to vote has been transformed into a license to elect tyranny, and during the degenerate stage of the West which commenced in the twentieth century, democracy has run amok. **Democracy run amok: national socialism.** Look at democracy elect Adolf Hitler chancellor and fuhrer of Germany. Look at it elect Hitler's creed of race hate, inhumanity, immorality and injustice as the lord and master of the nation: "We may be inhumane, but if we rescue Germany we have achieved the greatest deed in the world. We may work injustice, but if we rescue Germany then we have removed the greatest injustice in the world. We may be immoral, but if our people is rescued we have opened the way for morality." (*Nations Have The Right To Kill: Hitler, The Holocaust and War* by Richard Koenigsberg, quoting Hitler). Look at democracy effect a revolution of totalitarian control in Germany. Look at it reverse the relationship between the individual and the state from one of master and slave to one of slave and master: "The revolution we have carried out is a total one. It has embraced all areas of public life and transformed them from below. It has completely changed and recast the relationship of people to each other, to the State, and to life itself. It was in fact the breakthrough of a fresh worldview, which had fought for power in opposition for fourteen years to provide the basis for the German people to develop a new relationship with the state. What has been happening since 30 January is only the visible expression of this revolutionary process." (Speech delivered by Joseph Goebbels at the inauguration of the National Socialist Chamber of Culture, November 15, 1933, in *Fascism* by Roger Griffin). **Democracy run amok: Marxism.** Look at

democracy promote Marxist tribal politics. See it promote black rights, women's rights, gay rights, lesbian rights and transgender rights, the latter being the rights of those who deny the existence of DNA, genes and sex chromosomes and claim they are members of the opposite sex. See it promote policies of discrimination on the basis of race and sex, exclude white males from schools and businesses, and justify its racism and sexism in the name of achieving diversity and inclusion. See it promote the dissolution of national borders and a policies of mass migration of unvetted, unassimilated migrants invading from the sewers of the world. See it condemn the critics of open borders and open sewers as far right bigots and expel them from society. See it elect artists, entertainers, musicians, disc jockeys, cabaret performers, folk dancers and drag queens to take over streets and squares and fill them with gay, lesbian and transgender activists. See it elect arts ministers to enforce Marxist tribal religions. See it stage festivals and holidays celebrating sexual orientation politics and transgender psychosis. See it justify the denial of biological genders in the name of cultural expression. See it justify transgender antiscience in the name of progressive politics. See it give one hundred thousand welcomes to transgender ideologues and give them the keys to the nation. See it gather together the community of antiliberal, antiEnlightenment Marxist tribes, marching under banners marked *Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender*, and proclaim *We Are One* and storm the ramparts of civilization: "Ireland is to use its annual St Patrick's Day celebrations to promote 'diversity' and drag queens, an official announcement has revealed. Ireland's progressive leaning Arts Minister, Catherine Martin, declared on Monday alongside a number of drag queens that this year's St Patrick's Day festival in Ireland will be about celebrating 'diversity' and 'inclusivity.' She also took the opportunity to denounce the growing antimass migration protests taking place across the country, with many Irish people taking to the streets over their government's open borders approach towards the current migrant crisis. According to a report by the *Irish Independent*, the line up for the festival was announced from Collins Barracks—a former British military installation in the country's capital of Dublin—with parts of the festival being set to focus on progressive and proLGBT entertainment, such as drag queens and cabaret performances. The festival will even sport an LGBTified version of Ireland's traditional form of folk dancing event—called a Ceili—which the festival claims will be the first of its kind. 'Championing the idea that clubbing is culture—a vibrant and pivotal cultural expression—Cultur Club spans 4 stages across the Festival Quarter site, playing host to some of Ireland's finest DJs, drag queens, artists, cabaret talent and performers from across the LGBTQ+ community and traversing musical styles including pop, disco and electro to techno and beyond,' the official website for the festival reads. 'Cultur Club will host the first ever LGBTQ+Geili (pronounced Gay-Lee) on the mainstage with the fabulous PJ Kirby (cohost of the smash hit podcast, *I'm Grand Mam*), ably assisted by an army of drag performers,' it adds. Speaking at the launch, Minister Martin described the traditional religious holiday associated with Ireland's patron saint as an opportunity to promote diversity. 'The theme 'We Are One' is about inclusivity and there's no better time than St Patrick's Day to have that welcoming message, that *cead mile failte* [Irish: 'one hundred thousand welcomes'], that we are one as a people and to celebrate diversity too,' the minister is reported as saying. However, Martin also expressed concern about the growing number of antimass migration protests taking place throughout Ireland, which have largely been sparked by the Irish government moving large numbers of migrants into areas, often without consulting or seeking the consent of locals. Though talking heads in the island nation have tried to brand the demonstrations as being orchestrated by a shadowy 'far right,' the protests have only gained steam over the last number of weeks, with many people now regularly taking to the streets to demand that the country end its open borders approach to the ongoing crisis. 'Protests like that are of course a concern,' the arts minister remarked, before claiming that they represent a 'minority' view' something that is not supported by the wealth of polling data on the subject of mass immigration into Ireland, with an estimated 61 per cent worried about the number of migrants currently coming to the country. There

are also concerned as to whether Ireland will be able to handle the increased numbers of tourists it often sees around March, with many hotels in the city already being contracted to host migrants." (*Ireland To Use St Patrick's Day To Promote 'Diversity' And Drag Queens* by Peter Caddle).

Nationalism. Look at democracy promote fascism in the name of national sovereignty. Look at it promote fascism in the name of populism. Look at it promote fascism in the name of fighting the transnational European Union: "European populist parties from ten EU member states joined forces at a summit in Madrid on Saturday, vowing to protect the national sovereignty of their corresponding countries against the long arm of Brussels. Representatives of ten populist parties in Europe, including Prime Minister of Hungary Victor Orban, Prime Minister of Poland Mateusz Morawiecki and leader of the National Rally Marine Le Pen, signed a joint agreement in Madrid, reaffirming their original commitment to aid each other in protecting the sovereignty of their nations. The signing of this document and the original forming of the political alliance in July 2021, comes in response to the 'growing threat' perceived by the ten populist parties 'that seeks to transform the [European] Union into an ideologically charged federalist superstate.'" (*Populist Coalition: Orban, Le Pen, Morawiecki Vow To Defend National Sovereignty From EU Overreach* by Nathaniel Charles).

Islam and Christianity. Look at democracy bring about a resurgence of old time Abrahamic religions. Look at it allow Islam to proliferate like a cancer. Look at it encourage Muslims to spawn progeny by the billions and deposit the eggs in the capital cities of the world: "Muhammad was the top choice for parents naming their baby boys in England and Wales in 2023, with more than 4,600 children registered with the name. It has been among the top 10 names for baby boys since 2016, but has now overtaken the previous favourite, Noah, according to the Office for National Statistics (ONS)." (*Muhammad Overtakes Noah As Most Popular Boy's Name* by Cachella Smith).

Look at democracy pack the United States Supreme Court with the Vatican Dicastery for the Doctrine of the Faith. Look at the new Court proclaim that states have a right to violate the First Amendment and establish religion within their borders. Look at the new Court proclaim that states have a right to declare that a one cell, fertilized ovum at the moment of conception constitutes or is equivalent to a 30 trillion cell human person by virtue of the infusion of a supernatural soul. Look at the new Court proclaim that states have a right to declare that one cell zygotes are persons, and that abortion is murder, because the word *abortion* is not mentioned in the Constitution, and the right to liberty, implicit in the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, does not mean the right to liberty: "We hold that *Roe* and *Casey* must be overruled. The Constitution makes no reference to abortion, and no such right is implicitly protected by any constitutional provision, including the one on which the defenders of *Roe* and *Casey* now chiefly rely—the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment." (*Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization*, 2022, United States Supreme Court, Justice Samuel Alito writing for the majority). The enemies of reason and freedom at work in the world today stand on the right side of history. Antireason and its corollary, statism, are the recent past, the present, the future and the end of the West. The reelection of Donald Trump in 2024 represents a meaningless countercurrent to the spirit of the times and the trend of events. At the election, one half of the American electorate signaled that it is opposed, at the moment, to certain outgrowths and excrescences of the far left, opposed to open borders, transgenderism, racist and sexist affirmative action policies, censorship in the name of wokeism and political correctness, and the massive printing of money giving rise to Weimar style inflation. The other half of the electorate signaled its support for these policies. The essentials of the American welfare regulatory state, dating back to early twentieth century when Marxism was first voted into power as progressivism, proceeding through the New Deal and the Great Society, and culminating in the Affordable Care Act establishing health care as a human right and its provision a mandate on taxpayers, are untouchable. These essentials, enacted into law throughout Europe and the English speaking world by ballots and not bullets, are the gravediggers of the West.

THE PSEUDOEMPIRICISM OF LOCKE, BERKELEY AND HUME

Creationism. Phrenology. Astrology. Homeopathy. Dowsing. Ancient Astronaut theory. These are examples of pseudosciences, beliefs which claim to be scientific but are in fact unsupported by the scientific method. In the history of Western philosophy, three philosophers stand out as champions of outstandingly hypocritical doctrines of pseudoscience. They stand out because they officially claim to champion empiricism, science and common sense, and make surface noises in that direction, but in reality champion nothing of the kind. Claiming to be a part of the tradition of Western empiricism which commenced in their nation, they promote standards which are diametrically opposed to the standards of empiricism. In his classic work *British Philosophers*, Kenneth Matthews describes the British philosophical tradition as grounded in good sense, sound judgement and fundamental sanity: "The native characteristics of British philosophy are these: common sense, dislike of complication, a strong preference for the concrete over the abstract and a certain awkward honesty of method in which an occasional pearl of poetry is embedded." Claiming to exemplify this British philosophy, John Locke, George Berkeley and David Hume in fact join the insane mainstream of Western philosophy which denies that man perceives physical objects, and leave the true empiricism of their nation, championed by such luminaries as Roger Bacon, Francis Bacon and Isaac Newton, far behind. To listen to this famous, influential trio of British philosophers is to hear, not the bona fide empiricism which affirms what all sane people affirm, that physical objects exist and are the objects of perception, but is to hear the main line of Western philosophy commencing with Rene Descartes, which proclaims that the contents of consciousness are the sole objects of human perception. **John Locke.** Listen to John Locke proclaim that the objects of human perception are ideas in the mind and not objects in the world. Man's sense organs sense ideas and not physical objects. Man does not see motion or color but only the ideas of motion and color. Man does not perceive soft things or warm things but only the ideas of such. The materials of our knowledge are simple ideas and not material things. Man perceives sensations and ideas and not any real external objects: "To get a better grasp of what our knowledge is, how it comes about, and how far it reaches, we must carefully attend to one fact about our ideas, namely that some of them are simple, and some complex. The qualities that affect our senses are intimately united and blended in the things themselves, but it is obvious that the ideas they produce in the mind enter (via the senses) simple and unmixed. A single sense will often take in different ideas from one object at one time—as when a man sees motion and color together, or the hand feels softness and warmth in a single piece of wax—and yet the simple ideas that are thus brought together in a single mind are as perfectly distinct as those that come in by different senses. The coldness and hardness a man feels in a piece of ice are as distinct ideas in the mind as the smell and whiteness of a lily, or as the taste of sugar and smell of a rose. And nothing can be plainer to a man than the clear and distinct perception he has of those simple ideas, each of which contains nothing but one uniform appearance or conception in the mind, and is not distinguishable into different ideas. These simple ideas, which are the materials of all our knowledge, are suggested and supplied to the mind only by sensation and reflection. Once the understanding has been stocked with these simple ideas, it is able to repeat, compare, and unite them, to an almost infinite variety, and so can make new complex ideas as it will. But no one, however quick and clever, can invent one new simple idea that wasn't taken in by one of those two ways. Nor can any force of the understanding destroy those that are there. Man's power over this little world of his own understanding is much like his power over the great world of visible things, where he can only compound and divide the materials that he finds available to him, and can't do anything towards making the least particle of new matter, or destroying one atom of what already exists." (*An Essay Concerning Human Understanding* by John Locke, Book II, Chapter 2). Listen to Locke proclaim that the world outside of human perception is unknowable. It is a realm of darkness. We can have nothing to

say about such a world. We have no clear or distinct ideas about it. Our ideas about it refer to nothing real whatever. Whenever we attempt to describe it in any manner, we descend to the level of children or madmen: "*Our obscure idea of substance in general*. So that if any one will examine himself concerning his notion of pure substance in general, he will find he has no other idea of it at all, but only a supposition of he knows not what support of such qualities which are capable of producing simple ideas in us; which qualities are commonly called accidents. If any one should be asked, what is the subject wherein color or weight inheres, he would have nothing to say, but the solid extended parts; and if he were demanded, what is it that solidity and extension adhere in, he would not be in a much better case than the Indian before mentioned who, saying that the world was supported by a great elephant, was asked what the elephant rested on; to which his answer was--a great tortoise: but being again pressed to know what gave support to the broad backed tortoise, replied--something, he knew not what. And thus here, as in all other cases where we use words without having clear and distinct ideas, we talk like children: who, being questioned what such a thing is, which they know not, readily give this satisfactory answer, that it is something: which in truth signifies no more, when so used, either by children or men, but that they know not what; and that the thing they pretend to know, and talk of, is what they have no distinct idea of at all, and so are perfectly ignorant of it, and in the dark. The idea then we have, to which we give the general name *substance*, being nothing but the supposed, but unknown, support of those qualities we find existing, which we imagine cannot subsist without something to support them, we call that support *substantia*; which, according to the true import of the word, is, in plain English, standing under or upholding." (*An Essay Concerning Human Understanding* by John Locke, Book II, Chapter 23). **George Berkeley**. Listen to George Berkeley proclaim that the sole objects of our perception are our own ideas. Physical objects are not imprinted on the senses but only own ideas are imprinted. We do not perceive hard objects, soft objects, hot objects, cold objects or objects in motion but only perceive the ideas of these. We do not perceive sounding objects, aromatic objects or savory objects but only perceive the ideas of these. We do not perceive apples, stones, trees or books but only perceive the ideas of these. There is no universe of physical objects but only a universe of ideas and the minds which perceive them. The fundamental principle of all true philosophy is the principle that existence is consciousness and to be is to be perceived: "1. OBJECTS OF HUMAN KNOWLEDGE. It is evident to any one who takes a survey of the objects of human knowledge, that they are either IDEAS actually imprinted on the senses; or else such as are perceived by attending to the passions and operations of the mind; or lastly, ideas formed by help of memory and imagination--either compounding, dividing, or barely representing those originally perceived in the aforesaid ways. By sight I have the ideas of light and colors, with their several degrees and variations. By touch I perceive hard and soft, heat and cold, motion and resistance, and of all these more and less either as to quantity or degree. Smelling furnishes me with odors; the palate with tastes; and hearing conveys sounds to the mind in all their variety of tone and composition. And as several of these are observed to accompany each other, they come to be marked by one name, and so to be reputed as one thing. Thus, for example a certain color, taste, smell, figure and consistence having been observed to go together, are accounted one distinct thing, signified by the name APPLE. Other collections of ideas constitute a stone, a tree, a book, and the like sensible things--which as they are pleasing or disagreeable excite the passions of love, hatred, joy, grief, and so forth. 2. MIND—SPIRIT--SOUL. But, besides all that endless variety of ideas or objects of knowledge, there is likewise something which knows or perceives them, and exercises divers operations, as willing, imagining, remembering, about them. This perceiving, active being is what I call MIND, SPIRIT, SOUL, or MYSELF. By which words I do not denote any one of my ideas, but a thing entirely distinct from them, WHEREIN THEY EXIST, or, which is the same thing, whereby they are perceived--for the existence of an idea consists in being perceived." (*A Treatise Concerning The Principles Of Human Knowledge* by George Berkeley). **David**

Hume. Listen to David Hume proclaim that when we see so-called physical objects we in fact see only our own impressions. Physical objects are fictions and the sole objects of knowledge are our own impressions, thoughts and ideas: "Here therefore we may divide all the perceptions of the mind into two classes or species, which are distinguished by their different degrees of force and vivacity. The less forcible and lively are commonly denominated *Thoughts* or *Ideas*. The other species want a name in our language, and in most others; I suppose, because it was not requisite for any, but philosophical purposes, to rank them under a general term or appellation. Let us, therefore, use a little freedom, and call them *Impressions*; employing that word in a sense somewhat different from the usual. By the term *impression*, then, I mean all our more lively perceptions, when we hear, or see, or feel, or love, or hate, or desire, or will. And impressions are distinguished from ideas, which are the less lively perceptions, of which we are conscious, when we reflect on any of those sensations or movements above mentioned." (*An Enquiry Concerning Human Understanding* by David Hume, Section II, Part 12). The British Empiricists Locke, Berkeley and Hume were empiricists in name only. Proclaiming that all man's knowledge is derived from experience and that man's mind at birth is a blank tablet, they proceed to nullify their ostensible position. They proclaim that physical objects do not exist and that when we perceive something, it is only an item of consciousness we perceive. They proclaim that perception is the perception of nothing, and that when we perceive something, we perceive nothing, and we are blind because we have eyes. They proclaim that an individual is blind not only when he lacks eyes or when his eyes cease to function, but is blind as well when his eyes in fact function. Denying the existence of physical objects and the existence of human perception, the British trio of alleged empiricists join five centuries of insanity, commencing with Descartes, called Western philosophy.

THE STORY OF ROME AND A TALE OF TODAY

Twenty five hundred years ago, in the city of Alba Longa, near the Seven Hills of Rome, two boys were born. The mother of these twins was Rhea Silvia, a priestess of Vesta, goddess of the hearth, and the father was Mars, god of war, and the boys were conceived in the god's sacred grove. Fearing the boys as a possible threat to his rule, the king of Alba Longa, Amulius, ordered them to be killed, and they were abandoned on the bank of the Tiber River to die. But they were saved by a she wolf, who cared for them in her den, in a cave called Lupercal, until they were discovered by a shepherd named Faustulus. In 753 BC, at the Palatine Hill, Romulus founded the city of Rome. In this city, protected by a wall, he established a refuge for the oppressed, and permitted people of all classes to come to the city as citizens and live human flourishing lives there. He selected one hundred of the noblest citizens to form the Roman Senate, the guardians of the rule of law. He called these citizens the fathers of the city, and their descendants became the class of patricians protecting Roman freedom. The year 509 BC saw the overthrow of the Roman Kingdom and the establishment of the Roman Republic. In the new democratic system, magistrates were elected by the people while the Constitution was protected by the Senate. But the republic contained the seeds of its own destruction. It saw the growth of mob classes which sought to overthrow freedom and the rule of law embodied in the Senate and the Constitution. These mob classes were represented by spartacists, plebeians, commoners and *populares*. These classes launched a war against the republic and sought to establish a mobocracy in its place. These mobs were led by Julius Caesar, who became dictator in 49 BC and overthrew the republic. In 44 BC, on the Ides of March, this antirepublican "dictator for life" was assassinated by a group of senators led by Marcus Junius Brutus, who were fearful of the concentration of power into his hands and the statist, socialist society he was establishing. In 42 BC, Caesar's heir Octavian defeated Caesar's assassins, and in 31 BC, at the Battle of Actium, Octavian defeated his rivals for absolute power, Mark Antony and Cleopatra. In 27 BC, the Senate formally surrendered to this successor caesar and his mobs, granted

him dictatorial powers and the title *Augustus*, and thus was officially born, in the person of Gaius Julius Caesar Augustus, the office of Roman emperor, and thus died forever the Roman Republic. The onset of the Roman Empire marked the beginning of the end of Classical civilization. By the time the Roman Empire officially collapsed in 476 AD when it was conquered by the kingdom of the Ostrogoths, it had long since ceased to exist, morally, spiritually and culturally. By the time the Germanic king Odoacer deposed the last Roman Emperor, Romulus Augustus, and the Senate sent the imperial insignia to the Eastern Roman Emperor Zeno, Classical civilization existed in name only, and had long since been overrun by alien people, ideas and movements. Allowed to flourish by the people, these alien forces presented a terrifying syndrome. It was a body of symptoms of the rejection of pagan reason. This rejection saw the rise of bread and circuses, the massive provision of free wheat and free public entertainment to the people by the emperors, and the repudiation of public and private virtue: "Already long ago, from when we sold our vote to no man, the people have abdicated our duties; for the people who once upon a time handed out military command, high civil office, legions—everything, now restrains itself and anxiously hopes for just two things: bread and circuses." (*Satire* by Juvenal, Book X). The Roman people's rejection of pagan reason saw the rise of Christianity. It saw the devaluation of this world and the worship of a supernatural future life. It saw the exaltation of a morality of passivity, timidity, indolence, surrender, sacrifice and inactivity. It saw the diversion of public and private wealth into charity and religious devotion and away from the upkeep of the state. It saw the rise of religious faith, zeal, passion, conflicts, factionalism, persecution and endless volleys of bloody violence, and the transformation of the state into an assembly of Christian clergy and laity: "As the happiness of a *future* life is the great object of religion, we may hear without surprise or scandal that the introduction, or at least the abuse of Christianity, had some influence on the decline and fall of the Roman empire. The clergy successfully preached the doctrines of patience and pusillanimity; the active virtues of society were discouraged; and the last remains of military spirit were buried in the cloister: a large portion of public and private wealth was consecrated to the specious demands of charity and devotion; and the soldiers' pay was lavished on the useless multitudes of both sexes who could only plead the merits of abstinence and chastity. Faith, zeal, curiosity, and more earthly passions of malice and ambition, kindled the flame of theological discord; the church, and even the state, were distracted by religious factions, whose conflicts were sometimes bloody and always implacable; the attention of the emperors was diverted from camps to synods; the Roman world was oppressed by a new species of tyranny; and the persecuted sects became the secret enemies of their country. The sacred indolence of the monks was devoutly embraced by a servile and effeminate age." (*The Decline And Fall Of The Roman Empire* by Edward Gibbon, Chapter 39). The Roman people's rejection of pagan reason saw the legalization of an otherworldly, supernatural religion called Christianity by Emperor Constantine, a religion the likes of which had never been seen in Classical myths and religions. It saw the ransacking and destruction of pagan temples of reason under Emperor Theodosius, a campaign of cultural genocide perpetrated by Roman mobs incited and fomented by Christian monks: "One of the reasons for the fall of the Roman Empire is attributed to the cessation of the persecution of Christians, which was legalized by the edict of Emperor Constantine in 313 AD. From that year onwards, Christianity was recognized as equal to pagan faith. It is known that in the late 4th century, the destruction and looting of pagan temples followed under Emperor Theodosius the Great, carried out by a crowd of Roman residents under the guidance of Christian monks." (*Reasons Why The Roman Empire Fell*, Italy4Me). The Roman people's rejection of pagan reason saw ignorance about and indifference toward the threat posed by barbarians. It saw unconcern with animal people, bold, reckless, brutal and devouring, invading in endless columns, descending in copious numbers, stampeding at the speed of light, hurtling toward civilization on a mission to loot it, smash it, spread over it, settle within it and destroy it: "The Romans were ignorant of the extent of their dangers and the number of their enemies.

Beyond the Rhine and Danube the northern countries of Europe and Asia were filled with innumerable tribes of hunters and shepherds, poor, voracious, and turbulent; bold in arms, and impatient to ravish the fruits of industry. The barbarian world was agitated by the rapid impulse of war; and the peace of Gaul or Italy was shaken by the distant revolutions of China. The Huns, who fled before a victorious enemy, directed their march towards the West; and the torrent was swelled by the gradual accession of captives and allies. The flying tribes who yielded to the Huns assumed in *their* turn the spirit of conquest; the endless column of barbarians pressed on the Roman empire with accumulated weight; and, if the foremost were destroyed, the vacant space was instantly replenished by new assailants." (*The Decline And Fall Of The Roman Empire* by Edward Gibbon, Chapter 39). The Roman people's rejection of pagan reason saw the refusal by the state to support and defend the provinces against the onrushing tides of barbarism. It saw corrupt emperors indifferent to the protection of civilization. It saw the state abandoned to Christian bishops and congregations, and to classes of the effete and the ineffectual: "The empire of Rome was firmly established by the singular and perfect coalition of its members. The subject nations, resigning the hope and even the wish of independence, embraced the character of Roman citizens; and the provinces of the West were reluctantly torn by the barbarians from the bosom of their mother country. But this union was purchased by the loss of national freedom and military spirit; and the servile provinces, destitute of life and motion, expected their safety from the mercenary troops and governors who were directed by the orders of a distant court. The happiness of an hundred millions depended on the persona merit of one or two men, perhaps children, whose minds were corrupted by education, luxury, and despotic power. The deepest wounds were inflicted on the empire during the minorities of the sons and grandsons of Theodosius; and, after those incapable princes seemed to attain the age of manhood, they abandoned the church to the bishops, the state to the eunuchs, and the provinces to the barbarians." (*The Decline And Fall Of The Roman Empire* by Edward Gibbon, Chapter 39). The Roman people's rejection of pagan reason saw an unwillingness to cultivate strength, discipline and courage to fight for civilization. It saw laws, policies and manners change to allow barbarians to join the Roman army and subvert the Roman state from within: "Cold, poverty, and a life of danger and fatigue fortify the strength and courage of barbarians. In every age they have oppressed the polite and peaceful nations of China, India, and Persia, who neglected, and still neglect, to counterbalance these natural powers by the resources of military art. The warlike states of antiquity, Greece, Macedonia, and Rome, educated a race of soldiers; exercised their bodies, disciplined their courage, multiplied their forces by regular evolutions, and converted the iron which they possessed into strong and serviceable weapons. But this superiority insensibly declined with their laws and manners: and the feeble policy of Constantine and his successors armed and instructed, for the ruin of the empire, the rude valor of the barbarian mercenaries." (*The Decline And Fall Of The Roman Empire* by Edward Gibbon, Chapter 39). The Roman people's rejection of reason saw the rise of state worship, emperor worship, welfare worship, and the executive, administrative, regulatory, confiscatory, money printing, inflationary state. The story of Rome is the story of a civilization which exalted reason as no other civilization has in the history of mankind. It is the story of a civilization which worshiped the gods of reason, knowledge and noonday sight in the figures of Apollo and Helios. It is as well a cautionary tale for the West. At the dawn of the twentieth century, the West bid farewell to reason and descended into the fate of Rome. The past one hundred years have seen the psychotic symptoms of this rejection. They have seen the rise of communism, fascism, imperial presidencies, unchecked executives, Progressives, New Deals, Social Security laws, Hitlers, Mussolinis, Roosevelts, identity politics, Marxist monsters of gender, race and class, affirmative action policies, Diversity and Inclusion policies, critical race theories, transgenderist pretenders, radical doomsday environmentalists, Muslim terror networks, and resurgent Christians condemning as murder the abortion of a one cell human zygote, hammer the nails into the coffin of the West.

WEST HITS THE YEAR ZERO

Tribalism is the state of being organized into tribes or advocating for tribes. This primitive social state commenced with the first hunter-gatherer groups eons before the rise of civilization. Primitive mankind saw tribal wars on the plains between the Comanche and Osage Native Americans. It saw the Shkreli tribe feast and fight in Albania. It saw the Arab tribes of Algeria and the tribal belts of India. It saw Pashtuns of Afghanistan and Pakistan produce endless subtribes and subclans. When tribalism strikes a civilization and reason loses its value, the civilization has commenced a process of decline and descent into savagery. When a civilization bids farewell to reason, individual rights are subverted, and the rule of families, communities, social divisions, blood ties and gender ties becomes the order of the day. At the dawn of the twentieth century, the West embarked upon the road traveled by ancient Rome in its final stages of decline and commenced an attack on reason. As it did in ancient Rome, this attack brought forth a return to primitivism and a reversion into tribalism. The tribalism which befell ancient Rome, when its people renounced the glorious Classical commitment to reason, symbolized by the worship of Apollo and Helios, gods of reason and sight, and degenerated into an alien rule of Germanic tribes, is mirrored in the present day by a degeneration into a multiplicity of tribes based on gender, race, class, ethnicity, religion, nation, indigenous ties and sexual orientation. In the present day, a one hundred year calumny of reason has culminated in the rejection of the Enlightenment and the return of the world of two million years ago which arose in Africa. In the twenty first century, a one hundred year process of intellectual collapse has culminated in the rejection of human essentialism and universality and the return of the first societies of mankind. In the twenty first century, a one hundred year movement of retrogression has reached the end of line and let the passengers, the citizens of the West, off at the final stop marked *Marxism*. In the twenty first century, Marxist based tribalism has overrun civilization and transformed society into a savage jungle. Listen to the voices of today's Marxist final frontier and hear the cancerous growth of master Marx's class war into a multiplicity of tribal wars, and the appearance of an immense excrescence, a multiheaded monster called Gender, Race, Class and Sexual Orientation, terrorize the world. Today's children of Marx proclaim that individuals as such do not exist and people are defined by their membership in gender categories. They proclaim that modern society has been tyrannized by a white male oppressor group lording over blacks and females. They proclaim that females are the victims of male exploitation. They proclaim that proletarian muscle laborers are the real producers of human wealth, and the bourgeois class of knowledge workers steals the wealth produced by this animal proletariat. They proclaim that gays are victims heterosexual oppression. They proclaim that a progressive society is ruled by blacks, native tribes and people of color. They proclaim that capitalism is a regime of white oppression. They proclaim that a progressive society rejects the principle of merit and selects individuals on the basis of race and gender, and grants preferential treatment to women and nonwhites. They proclaim that capitalism is a regime of persecution of minorities. They proclaim that the history of mankind is the history of tribal conflicts, and the culmination of this theater of bloodshed will bring forth the victory to the master tribes of women and nonwhites. They proclaim that the notion of selecting the best people for a job is a product of male thinking and must be replaced by selection on the basis on gender, with the goal of increasing female power and representation to fifty percent of the workforce, reflecting the percentage of females in society. They proclaim that a progressive society ensures that the composition of schools and workforces represents the percentages of tribes in the population, and gives proper demographic representation to blacks, women, gays, lesbians, bisexuals, transgenders, indigenous groups and Muslims. They proclaim that the selection of individuals on the basis of merit is racism against nonwhites and sexism against women. They proclaim that a progressive society discriminates in favor of women and nonwhites in the name of advancing the underserved, the marginalized and the

victimized. They proclaim that though females constitute fifty percent of the population, they must constitute one hundred percent of schools and workforces in the name of advancing the female will to power. They proclaim that a progressive society establishes special programs, preferences and discrimination to establish female dominance in schools and workforces. They proclaim that a progressive society makes entrance tests for women and nonwhite applicants easier than for entrance tests for white male applicants. They proclaim that blacks, hispanics, indigenous people and Muslims are victims of oppression and must be granted preferential treatment in schools and workforces. They proclaim that a progressive university has eliminated standard, universal tests, and established race and gender based admissions and achievement criteria which are low enough to admit unqualified women and nonwhites. They proclaim that a progressive university prohibits professors from citing male authors. They proclaim that a progressive university prohibits male students from attending seminars. They proclaim that a progressive university prohibits the hiring of male professors, and only hires female professors who profess unswerving obedience to the feminist party line. They proclaim that a progressive society excludes whites and males from key business, academic and government jobs. They proclaim that a progressive society has rewritten the history of civilization in order to exclude the achievements of white males in every field of endeavor, from philosophy to the sciences to technology to engineering to architecture to the arts, and in order to present a picture of black power and supremacy, as false and distorted as it is filled with envy and hate: "In its obsession with its one narrowly defined goal, feminism disregards others and consciously or negligently destroys other goals and values. Individuality, on which Western democracy and morality rests, is set aside in favor of membership in sex categories. [It] adopted Karl Marx's collectivist model in which society consisted of two classes, one of which was superordinate and controlling, and the other subordinate and exploited, serving the needs of the superordinate class. In Marx's analysis, modern society in the West consisted of an oppressive capitalist class exploiting the proletarian workers by taking what should belong to the workers. The feminist model of society was evil oppressors versus innocent victims. Other identity groups with grievances found this to be an attractive way to express their complaints and to mobilize for conflict on behalf of their group. The gay alphabet defined themselves as innocent victims of heterosexual, or straight oppression. Those with racial grievances, the race alphabet—BIPOC (black, indigenous, people of color)—placed themselves as victims of white oppression. And so Marx's class conflict model was adopted widely among disaffected minorities, or whoever could be convinced to be disaffected. Through much of the 20th century, the criterion for selecting people for the many different roles of industrial and postindustrial society was merit, the assessment based on an individual's past achievement and potential for future contributions. The underlying idea was that picking the best people for the job was the best guarantee that the job would be done well. But merit was an impediment to the feminist goal of increasing female power. For the feminist, females must occupy at least fifty percent of the jobs at every level because females make up half of the population. This new criterion, representation based on percentage of the general population, was taken up by blacks and BIPOC generally. If members of any gender, racial, religious, or sexual category were present in any school, university, specific departments, business, political organization at a level lower than their presence in the general population, then the underrepresentation was deemed the result of racist or sexist discrimination. Criteria such as merit of individuals were disregarded as unjustified excuses for racism or sexism. The demand for fair representation applied only to members of categories deemed underserved, marginalized, and victimized. It is seen as a problem if females are less than 50 percent at all levels, but not seen as a problem if they are anywhere overrepresented, such as in the general population of university students and professors, or in specific schools or departments. But even with general female overrepresentation, any unit with male overrepresentation is targeted for forced feminization through false accusations of sexism, special programs to recruit females, and special

preference and benefits for females. Criteria for suitability, for example in the military and in first responders, were watered down so that females could pass the entrance tests. Social science and humanities departments, where females are the vast majority, are not seen as a problem to be corrected to ensure equal representation of males. In the final anthropology senior seminar that I taught, there were eighteen females and no males. As for the professorial staff, my female colleagues demanded that, henceforth, only female professors be hired. And female candidates were rigorously interrogated to ensure that they were sufficiently feminist in their views. As regards scholarship, a reader assessing my article for the *Oxford Encyclopedia of Anthropology* complained that I cited fewer female than male authors, and should add more citations of females, whether or not additional works by females were appropriate or suitable." (*Feminism's Greatest Achievement* by Philip Carl Salzman). *It is simple to know the cause, for just as birds of one kind and color always flock and fly together, so the Papists [Catholics] will always be together, that they may help each other—not only with sheer numbers as starlings do when threatened by a hawk, but also to consult and plan together as to how their religion may be best maintained, promoted, and popularized.* Thus speaks William Turner in his 1545 antiCatholic work *The Rescuing Of The Papist Fox*. Thus was born in original English the age old proverb, present in cultures throughout the world: *Birds of a feather flock together*. Rejecting the Enlightenment pursuit of truth in favor of social justice ideologies, today's Marxists rally around Turner's idiom and have buried freedom beneath the iron heel of tribal power, association and solidarity.

RED ASSASSIN TARGETS CEO

On November 24, 2024, Luigi Mangione boarded a Greyhound bus from Atlanta, Georgia and arrived in New York City. He checked into the HI New York City Hostel on the Upper West Side of Manhattan with a fake identification card and paid in cash. On December 4, at 6:45 AM, standing on front of the New York Hilton Midtown hotel at Sixth Avenue and Fifty Third Street, he saw his target. His target was Brian Thompson, Chief Executive Officer of UnitedHealthcare, the world's largest healthcare company, and Mangione opened fire with a suppressed nine millimeter pistol, hitting him twice, in the back and the right calf. At 7:12 AM, at Mount Sinai West Hospital, Thompson was pronounced dead. The assassination of Thompson was one more volley in the far left war against free societies consuming the West in the twenty first century. At the scene of the assassination were shell casings with the words *deny*, *defend* and *depose* Mangione had written on them. These words refer to the alleged tactics used by UnitedHealthcare to reject payment claims submitted by patients. No such tactics are in fact employed by UnitedHealthcare, which pays over eighty percent of submitted claims. On the shell casings there were more words written. There were the words of far left hate, violence and destruction running rampant through every sector of society. There was the condemnation of capitalism as a regime of parasitism and exploitation of the working class: "The three page document found in his possession said: 'These parasites had it coming' and 'I do apologise for any strife and trauma, but it had to be done,' a senior law enforcement official told the *New York Times*." (*Luigi Mangione Charged With Murdering Healthcare CEO In New York* by Jessica Parker and Jude Sheerin). On the shell casings was a positive review of a book written by Theodore Kaczynski. Opposed to capitalism, industrialism and technology, Kaczynski killed three people and disfigured twenty three others in a nationwide mail bombing campaign conducted between 1978 and 1995. On the shell casings, Mangione supports Kaczynski's campaign. He proclaims that a progressive society promotes lunacy in the service of radical environmentalism. Modern capitalist, industrial society must be overthrown by a people's movement of Luddites. Ecoterrorists are the people's political revolutionaries. The murder and maiming of innocent people is an imperative of environmentalist justice. Murder is justified in the name of smashing the tyranny imposed by greedy, grasping oil barons. Murder is justified in the name of improving the

people's quality of life. Murder is justified in the name of a people's war against modern society. Murder is justified in the name of terror attacks launched by the people. Murder is justified in the name of the people's right to defend themselves against industry and technology. Murder is justified in the name of preventing capitalism from burning down the planet. Murder is justified in the name of saving people's lives. Murder is justified in the name of vanquishing capitalist companies and overlords. Murder is justified in the name of the people's courage to throw off their capitalist oppressors. Murder is justified in the name of the Marxist law of the jungle. Murder is justified in the name of bringing victory to the Marxist animal, to the nature protecting, social justice warrior called proletarian man: "Clearly written by a mathematics prodigy. Reads like a series of lemmas on the question of 21st century quality of life. It's easy to quickly and thoughtless write this off as the manifesto of a lunatic, in order to avoid facing some of the uncomfortable problems it identifies. But it's simply impossible to ignore how prescient many of his predictions about modern society turned out. He was a violent individual--rightfully imprisoned--who maimed innocent people. While these actions tend to be characterized as those of a crazy luddite, however, they are more accurately seen as those of an extreme political revolutionary. A take I found online that I think is interesting: 'Had the balls to recognize that peaceful protest has gotten us absolutely nowhere and at the end of the day, he's probably right. Oil barons haven't listened to any environmentalists, but they feared him.'" When all other forms of communication fail, violence is necessary to survive. You may not like his methods, but to see things from his perspective, it's not terrorism, it's war and revolution. Fossil fuel companies actively suppress anything that stands in their way and within a generation or two, it will begin costing human lives by greater and greater magnitudes until the earth is just a flaming ball orbiting third from the sun. Peaceful protest is outright ignored, economic protest isn't possible in the current system, so how long until we recognize that violence against those who lead us to such destruction is justified as self defense. These companies don't care about you, or your kids, or your grandkids. They have zero qualms about burning down the planet for a buck, so why should we have any qualms about burning them down to survive? We're animals just like everything else on this planet, except we've forgotten the law of the jungle and bend over for our overlords when any other animal would recognize the threat and fight to the death for their survival. *Violence never solved anything* is a statement uttered by cowards and predators." (Review of *Industrial Society And Its Future* by Theodore Kaczynski, Goodreads). The assassination of Brian Thompson was greeted by thunderous waves of applause across America. One hundred years of Marxist politics at every level of government and in every sector of society, one hundred years of collectivism packaged as progressivism in the former arsenal of the free world, drawing a fearsome illustration of just how sick the West is, has transformed America into a congregation justifying murder in cold blood committed in the name of the people. One hundred years of antiEnlightenment and antiAmericanism have produced a citizenry devoid of a moral compass and reduced to the level of religionists worshipping at the altars of Satan's gods of gender, race and class. One hundred years of attacks on reason, science, empiricism and individual rights have disgraced the soil on which the American Revolution for freedom was fought, and mass produced an army of soldiers blasting out bullets from Marxist rifles. Marked *black politics, feminist politics, gay politics, lesbian politics, transgender politics, native rights politics, people of color politics* and *radical environmentalist politics*, these bullets have riddled the traditional American nation and razed it to the ground. Listen to the new Americans reject their heritage and proclaim that murder is justified in the name of the revolutionary left. Murder is justified in the name of punishing insurance companies which deny medical claims. Murder is justified in the name of punishing insurance companies which cheat and exploit honest, hard working Americans. Murder is justified in the name of punishing insurance companies who force decent, honest people into debt slavery. Murder is justified in the name of punishing insurance companies who earn gargantuan profits on the backs of people's abject suffering.

Murder is justified in the name of protecting the average American citizen from the rapacious lash of insurance companies. Murder is justified in the name of the people's war against plutocracy. Murder is justified in the name of eliminating the elite class of capitalists. Murder is justified in the name of smashing a business model which bullies the working class and offers it up in sacrifice to the Moloch Capitalism: "Conservative pundits are facing backlash from their own followers for accusing those on the left of celebrating the assassination of UnitedHealthcare CEO Brian Thompson. Commentators like Ben Shapiro and Matt Walsh are being told by their supporters that they've got it wrong when it comes to Thompson's murder. The CEO was shot dead outside a Hilton hotel in Manhattan last week after a gunman hit him in the back and right calf as Thompson was heading to an annual investors meeting around 6:45 a.m. The assailant has been praised by observers who argue that the murder was justified, with some claiming that Thompson's death was nothing compared to the loss of loved ones whose medical claims were denied by companies like UnitedHealthcare and others. Over the weekend, both Shapiro and Walsh harshly condemned liberals for cheering on the assassination in respective episodes of their eponymous podcasts, titled *The EVIL Revolutionary Left Cheers Murder!* and *Why The Left Is Really Celebrating The Murder Of A CEO*. But their followers disagreed with their criticisms and defended the left, arguing that they felt the same way about Thompson's death and bashing Shapiro and Walsh for being 'out of touch' as wealthy media personalities. Multiple users on *YouTube* told Shapiro they were unsubscribing from his channel over the video, telling him to 'Find another audience to play apologist to the people who help make the lives of hard working Americans difficult.' 'I'm a Republican. I voted for Trump. I am unsubscribing from Ben. They not like us,' one wrote in the comments. At least three users expressed to Walsh that even though they agree with a lot of his opinions, he was 'dead wrong on this one.' 'Everyone is angry at the these insurance companies,' one person commented. 'If you've never lost someone or been plunged into debt because of them, you can't possibly understand. It's despicable what they get away with. I don't wish death on them but I'll bet you good money that man probably lost someone he loved because of united healthcares denial policies.' 'Republican pundits don't realize this isn't as politically partisan as they wished it were. We all hate our health care system. We may not advocate for murder, but sympathy for CEOs of these organizations is at an all time low, regardless of R or D,' another wrote. Others joked that they were 'a leftist now,' according to Walsh, and pushed back on the *left wing* label, saying that they're not progressives just because they 'hate a guy who's bread and butter was literally other peoples suffering.' " (*Conservatives Face Backlash From Followers Over UnitedHealthcare Murder* by Katherine Fung). Hans Martin Schleyer was a German business executive, employer and industry representative. The president of the Confederation of German Employers and the Federation of German Industries, he was the voice of postwar German renewal and the European powerhouse which was West Germany. On September 5, 1977, Schleyer was kidnapped by the members of the Marxist terror group, the Red Army Faction. The RAF demanded the release of imprisoned RAF members in exchange for Schleyer. The West German government declined the demand and on October 18, 1977, Schleyer was murdered. The red assassins of capitalism and freedom have been hard at work since the dawn of the twentieth century. Their campaign brought forth the period known as the German Autumn in the 1977, a series of RAF terror attacks which convulsed the nation, culminating in the murder of Schleyer. On December 4, 2024, they struck again, struck down United Healthcare CEO Brian Thompson, in twenty first century wintertime of the West.

THE MASSES IN THE GUTTER WITH RELIGION

A funicular is a cable railway system laid across steep slopes. The system has two counterbalanced carriages connected by a cable looped over a pulley. The carriages move synchronously, and as one carriage ascends, the other descends at an equal speed. The seasaw funicular railway provides a picture

of a tragedy which has pursued mankind since time immemorial. It is a tragedy born from the character of the masses. It is a disaster brought down time and again by the fundamental detestation the masses have toward reason. The endless oscillation is this: whenever one religious movement falls out of favor with the masses, another religion takes its place, and whenever the latter falls out of favor, the former becomes ascendant, and so on to infinity. At no time during the back and forth transit is reason ever recognized as primary in human affairs and granted its rightful station. The West in the twenty first century is a showcase of the latest religious replacement in the endless alternations of religions throughout history. The decline of Christianity among large sectors of the population has seen the rise of other forms of occultism. These other forms have competed with Christianity and eclipsed it. The masses have changed their allegiance from the Christian God in Heaven to other religions new and old. Look at the rise of a new occultism and see the insanity which produced an ancient Abrahamic religion produce its successors. Look at the new millennium and see the mental disease which worshiped Christ on the Cross worship other forms of collapse and renunciation of the mind. The new occultists in the new millennium are thousands of years old, and only their surface colors, candles and content have changed, while the contempt for science, sound judgment and the evidence of the senses has remained the same. Today's new occultists inhabit a world black, shadowy, strange, flickering and frightening. They inhabit cauldrons of uncontrolled emotions and night terrors bubbling into violence. They inhabit smoky chambers pervaded by the Devil's perfume. They fascinate the mentally ill and terrify the mentally well. They worship magic springing from modern screens and from the storybooks of ancient legends. They inhabit witching communities and worship witches' gods. They practice magic black and white. They study secret, mysterious symbols. They gaze into crystals, read tarot cards, prescribe potions, see into the future, contact other dimensions, follow moon cycles, use herbs to heal the sick and ward off evil, and cast spells on people and places. They run pagan networks of alternative, nonChristian brands of nonsense. They reject the natural world and worship nature mysticism. They worship preChristian hellfire. They feed on vital essences and drink the blood of the living. They communicate through magic pictures, hashtags and file sharing. They deny the existence of separate physical objects and worship the mystical harmony, interrelation and oneness of all things. They use words as charms and incantations to achieve goals and success, protect their friends and family, and punish their enemies. They discover meaning and purpose in life, not in Christian forms of antireason but in nonChristian forms, and in place of Christian versions of absorption, apprehension, contemplation and surrender, promote nonChristian versions. Located around the corner, across the street, right next door, and in every home, school, business and office in society, and occupying center stage in popular culture, they are the successors of Christians, storming the ramparts of civilization in the twenty first century: "Dressed head to toe in black, Owlvine Green's fingers hover over a steaming cauldron as a cat looks on, ominously. Candles flicker, casting eerie shadows on the wall. Incense smokes, and a spell book—filled with mystical, arcane symbols—is laid open in front of her. It's a scene that wouldn't look out of place in a *Harry Potter* film. But this isn't a movie. This is an unassuming home in suburban Melbourne, and Owlvine is a real life witch. 'We're everywhere—young and old, in the inner city and out in the middle of the bush,' the 36 year old told *news.com.au*. 'You could be sharing a desk with one of us, or living on the same street.' From the *Wizard of Oz* to *The Craft*, the black caped, cackling witch has been a fixture in popular culture—and a subject of fascination and fear—for centuries. But if you think that these magical beings belong only within the pages of a storybook or on the big screen, think again. Today, tens of thousands of Australians identify as witches and globally, we're in the midst of a bona fide witchcraft boom. While a fascination with the occult is nothing new, witchcraft has never been as big, or as mainstream. But why? And why now? Owlvine's own journey to witchcraft began in childhood. Growing up in a conservative Christian environment in the southeastern suburbs of Melbourne, she began to question her parents' religion and

her place within it. 'I realized that every religion professed that they had the one right way to god, which was incredibly confusing to me,' she recalled. 'But it caused me to look deeper, to question, and to wonder what the common denominators were, and from where the first religions began.' But it wasn't until, at the age of 24, she stumbled upon the online witching community that she began to explore witchcraft in earnest. 'At the time, I felt very alone,' she explained. 'I didn't know anyone who was interested in magic but, thanks to *YouTube*, I discovered a community of like minded practitioners, both in Australia and overseas.' Since that day, Owlvine has become one of the most popular witches on the video platform, with close to 40,000 subscribers who tune in to see her vlog on a variety of subjects, from crystals and moon cycles to herbs and spell casting. Indeed, she is just one of a new wave of modern witches who are showcasing their passion for the craft via videos and posts covering spells, tarot cards, crystals, potions, clairvoyance and everything in between. And it's this new crop of social media sorceresses who are primarily fuelling the resurgence of witchcraft in the country. 'When I started 30 odd years ago, there was a handful of books and no internet,' said spokesman for the Australian Pagan Network David Pan. The image of the witch has been a staple in pop culture for the last century, but it's only in the last few decades that we've seen a major PR job done on the witch archetype. Today's witches are as far away from the cliched old broomstick riding hook nosed hags of our childhood storybooks as you can get. And they can be found on big and small screens alike with everincreasing frequency, with the likes of *Sabrina, A Discovery of Witches* and *Mayfair Witches* adding to the swag of older shows such as *Buffy the Vampire Slayer*, *Harry Potter*, *Charmed* and *The Vampire Diaries*. The fastest growing religion in the western world is, in fact, no religion at all. The 2016 Census showed that 'religious nones' now make up a quarter of the population (a huge change from 50 years ago, when 88 per cent of the population identified as Christian). Clearly, organized religion has fallen on hard times. But with archaic, dogmatic institutions built on judgment and hellfire, is it really surprising? And while interest in traditional religions is decreasing, interest in pagan and nature based faith systems (including witchcraft) is on the rise—more than 33,000 people claimed an affiliation with a nature religion, including paganism and Wicca. 'Many people have been returning to the 'old ways' as they have become disenfranchised,' David said. 'A common misunderstanding is that all witches are all the same,' added Owlvine. 'Witchcraft is a fluid path, as I grow as a person I am able to weave who I am and what I'm learning in to my magical practice. I think people are hungry to bring all of that into their spiritual lives too, rather than simply following someone else's rules.' Magic and the magic esthetic—as evidenced via the trending #witchesofinstagram hashtag (which currently has more than 10 million posts)—is a big part of the appeal of being a witch. But the importance of casting spells differs in the practices of witch to witch. 'Spells play a fairly large part in my practice,' added Owlvine. 'How often I cast depends on what's going on in my world at the time and what I need. Most often I do spells that relate to protection for me and my family, creating harmonious atmospheres, for focus in study and work, or to help support me in achieving my goals. Modern witches are all about harmony and not about claiming external power over others.' With social media posts increasing daily and online occult sites and courses skyrocketing, it's clear that the modern day version of the witch is here to stay. And in a world as stressful and turbulent as ours—one in which our search for meaning and control is becoming more and more urgent—it's understandable. Witchcraft offers both a community and the potential to harness control in a world that it is increasingly fraught and fractioned. 'Witchcraft has completely shifted the way I live my life and view myself,' Owlvine explained." (*Modern Day Witchcraft Is On The Rise In Australia As Support For Organized Religion Plummetts* by Paul Ewart). The new occultists in the new millennium reject the humanities, medicine and the social sciences in favor of the abstruse, the obscure and the incomprehensible. They reject the rationalism of Greece and Rome in favor of the magic, folklore and superstitions of the ages. They are the successors of Judaism, Christianity and Islam, and are the present day comrades in arms of Marxist relativists,

deconstructionists, decolonizers, black supremacists, radical feminists, doomsday environmentalists, social justice warriors and condemners of capitalism in the name of antiracism, and their mission is the destruction of civilization: "The MA Magic and Occult Science is a unique program that allows you to tailor a program to your own interests by choosing a range of modules within humanities and social sciences, or by specialising in a certain area. The core module, ARAM251 Esotericism and the Magical Tradition is a team taught module where students explore key topics including magic in Greece and Rome, occult texts in Judaism, Christianity, and Islam, the history of witchcraft, magic in literature and folklore, deception and illusion, and the history of science and medicine, among other key themes. By housing this program within the Institute of Arab and Islamic Studies, we place the Arabo-Islamic cultural heritage back where it belongs in the center of these studies and in the history of the West. Decolonization, the exploration of alternative epistemologies, feminism, and antiracism are at the core of this program." (*MA Magic and Occult Science, Course Content*, exeter.ac.uk). The rejection of Christianity by large majorities in the West at the dawn of the twentieth century led to a waterfall of successors in its place. The vacuum produced by the rejection would be filled to overflowing. The past one hundred years have seen new gods take the place of old. They have seen the rise of astrology, alchemy, witchcraft, parapsychology, extrasensory perception, esotericism, alien visitations, socialism, nationalism, race Marxism, gender Marxism and nature mysticism. They have seen the spread of beliefs which during the Enlightenment would have been condemned as incompatible with science and sanity. They have seen the ineradicable character of the masses, forever needing and searching for a crutch to stand on and a warm religious embrace, close the story of civilization.

BLACK POWER ARRESTS SUBWAY HERO

At 2 PM on May 1, 2023, on the New York City Subway in Manhattan, Jordan Neely boarded a northbound F train at the Second Avenue station moments before it departed for the Broadway-Lafayette Street station. He immediately began screaming, "I don't have food. I don't have a drink. I'm fed up. I don't mind going to jail and getting life in prison. I'm ready to die. Someone is going to die today." He lunged at passengers, threw garbage at them, and forced a mother and her child to shield themselves behind their stroller. At this point, a white passenger named Daniel Penny put a chokehold on Neely in an attempt to restrain him. The chokehold lasted for five minutes. He asked other passengers to call the police while he had the chokehold. Neely's violent behavior, forcing passengers to flee, and Penny's heroic intervention, are described by a passenger: "[Neely] stopped the door from closing and he got on the train. And he stood in the middle of the train car, and then he started yelling that he didn't have food, that he didn't have water. From what I understood, he was yelling that he was tired, that he didn't care about going to jail. I tried to start filming from that moment, but I didn't because I couldn't see anything—it was too crowded. And then I heard him take off his jacket. He bundled it up and just threw it on the floor, very violently. You could hear the sound of the zipper hitting the floor. At that moment, when he threw the jacket, the people who were sitting around him stood up and moved away. He kept standing there and he kept yelling. It's at that moment that this man came up behind him and grabbed him by the neck, and I think—I didn't see, but I think—that move of grabbing him by the neck also led him to grab Neely by the legs with his own. They both fell. And then in like 30 seconds, I don't know, we got to Broadway-Lafayette, and they were just there on the floor." (*I Wasn't Thinking That Anybody Was Going to Die* by Paula Aceves, interview with Juan Vasquez). The police arrived on the scene at 2:27 PM. Neely was transported to Lenox Hill Hospital and pronounced dead. The cause of death was determined by the medical examiner to be "compression of neck (chokehold)." Soon after the incident, the police questioned Penny but released him without charges. On May 11, 2023, black power entered the scene and commenced the persecution of Daniel

Penny. On this day, Manhattan District Attorney Alvin Bragg announced that Penny would be charged with second degree manslaughter. On June 28, a grand jury indicted Penny on two charges: second degree manslaughter and criminally negligent homicide. Penny pleaded not guilty to both charges. On December 6, 2024, the jury could not reach a verdict on the charge of second degree manslaughter and the charge was dismissed. On December 9, Penny was acquitted of criminally negligent homicide. Daniel Penny was arrested and charged by a monster in control of the twenty first century. This monster is a development of Marxism in the direction of race, and it has brought forth two forms of race politics which have, over the past one hundred years, terrorized continents and claimed the lives of millions of people. It has brought forth the politics of Aryan supremacy ruling Hitler's Germany, and the politics of black supremacy, first appearing in the 1960s, which has rioted, ransacked and burned down cities throughout the West for the past seventy years. It was race Marxism which arrested, charged, indicted and put on trial a man who had saved the lives of subway passengers and protected them from a figure of evil whose race had given him immunity from the law. Jordan Neely was black, and that was his license to kill granted to him by the race Marxist establishment. Daniel Penny is white, and that was his inexpungeable crime committed in the eyes of the establishment. Listen to race Marxism and hear a program of race supremacy and antiliberalism follow in the footsteps of national socialism. This program proclaims that homeless, vagrant, mentally ill blacks have a right to threaten and intimidate passengers on subways. It proclaims that white subway passengers who defend other passengers from black hoodlums are racist vigilantes. It proclaims that insane blacks have a right to injure and kill subway passengers at random. It proclaims that blacks have a right to make subway passengers fear for their lives. It proclaims that white subway passengers who seek to prevent black psychopaths from victimizing others are murderers and must be jailed. It proclaims that white subway passengers who restrain black predators from attacking others are criminally responsible for their interventions. It proclaims that on subways black lives matter and no other lives matter. It proclaims that blacks have a right to assault innocent people. It proclaims that blacks have a right to kidnap children. It proclaims that blacks have a right to commit disorderly persons offenses. It proclaims that blacks have a right to organize drug gangs and terrorize neighborhoods. It proclaims that whites on trial for defending people against black savages and are acquitted must be retried until they are convicted, and the judge should never declare a mistrial. It proclaims that when subway passengers are attacked by black thugs, there is no right of self defense. It proclaims that whites on trial for defending themselves and others against black cannibals should be subjected to character assassination and denied a fair trial by the judge and prosecutor, and be prohibited from presenting evidence in their defense. It proclaims that blacks are above the law and should be allowed to commit crimes with impunity. It proclaims that a progressive criminal justice system has been politicized and weaponized to protect blacks from prosecution and prosecute only whites. It proclaims that a progressive criminal justice system is a black supremacist movement and a black Ku Klux Klan. It proclaims that progressive public prosecutors are black activists and hate mongers dedicated to the subversion of justice, equal rights and the Enlightenment house of liberal order: "Marine veteran Daniel Penny was found not guilty today of criminally negligent homicide in the death of homeless, mentally ill, part time Michael Jackson impersonator Jordan Neely, who threatened and intimidated passengers on a New York City subway car in 2023. It was a trial that divided the nation between those that saw Penny as a hero and those that saw him as a racist vigilante. As you no doubt recall, Neely, 30, was described by witnesses on the subway car as ranting in an 'insanely threatening' way, including declaring 'I want to hurt people,' that 'someone is going to die today,' and that he wanted 'to go to prison.' Court documents indicated that passengers feared for their lives. Penny, a fellow passenger, stepped up to prevent others from being victimized, subduing Neely in a chokehold while a couple of other passengers assisted until officers and responders arrived. Neely died later at the scene, and Penny was subsequently charged

with second degree manslaughter and criminally negligent homicide, facing up to 15 years in prison. Penny, now 26, pleaded not guilty. His attorneys argued that their client's chokehold was justified due to Neely's menacing behavior, and they also questioned whether the chokehold even caused the homeless man's death. 'Some people say I was trying to choke him to death, which is also not true. I was trying to restrain him,' said Penny, who had to walk each day to the courthouse through a gauntlet of noisy Black Lives Matter protesters. 'You can see in the video there's a clear rise and fall of his chest, indicating that he's breathing. I'm trying to restrain him from being able to carry out the threats.' Before his death, Neely had been arrested well over 40 times for multiple assaults, attempted child abduction, drugs, and indecent exposure. At the time of his subway confrontation with Penny, he was also under investigation for pushing someone onto the subway tracks. There was a warrant out for his arrest related to a violent attack on a 67 year old woman. NYC Councilwoman Vickie Paladino attended closing arguments in the case and tweeted, 'What I witnessed was a travesty the likes of which I didn't think possible in the United States': 'I saw despicable excuse for a DA stand up in front of a court and flagrantly lie for no other reason but to destroy the life of a good man for the crime of protecting a train full of people from a violent deranged bum. And it shows how nakedly and unapologetically political the Manhattan DA's office has become. Shame on us for allowing our once-great city to descend to this level. I'd call it a clown show but that would imply there's an element of humor in any of this. There isn't. I only pray that the jury can see through this charade and find Daniel Penny innocent. Either way, the damage has been done and the message is clear—the Manhattan DA will not think twice about destroying the life of anyone who stands up to criminals in self defense. We are to be ruled by the lawless.' The jury initially deliberated for three days before ending deadlocked, leading the judge to dismiss the manslaughter charge on Friday. But instead of declaring a mistrial, the judge—determined to find Penny guilty of something—sent the jury back for continued deliberation on the lesser charge, which came with a sentence of up to four years. Today, the jury found him not guilty of that charge. 'The NYPD Officers who initially interviewed Daniel Penny and declined to arrest him got it right,' Maud Maron told *Fox News Digital*. Maron is a former public defender and parents' rights advocate who is running to unseat George Soros backed backed Manhattan District Attorney Alvin Bragg. Maron continued, 'The Manhattan jury who heard all the evidence, deliberated and returned a verdict of not guilty got it right. The New Yorkers and their fellow Americans who were rooting for Daniel Penny as a hero and understood he should never have been charged in the first place got it right.' (*Justice For Daniel Penny* by Mark Tapson). In the Manhattan Criminal Court, while Daniel Penny sat at the defense table fighting the charges against him, every white person in America was present alongside him at his table. Every white person in the nation was on trial for the same crime, for the crime of being white. Every white person in the nation was being prosecuted because of the color his skin. Daniel Penny: a white man on trial who confronted a violent black criminal and tried to help others and save lives in the New York City Subway. The New York criminal justice system: seeking to distort the law and punish the defendant in a politically motivated prosecution springing from the playbook of the Third Reich and designed to enforce race Marxism. The Manhattan Criminal Court delivered its verdict against Daniel Penny and white America: guilty as charged.

BASKETBALL SUPERSTAR SCORES FOR REVOLUTION

She is acclaimed as the greatest collegiate basketball player of all time. She is the all time leading scorer in the National Collegiate Athletic Association, Division I, and was the two time national player of the year for the college team, the Iowa Hawkeyes. In 2024, she was named *Time* magazine's *Athlete of the Year*, and was included in the *Forbes 30 Under 30* list, the *Forbes The World's Most Powerful Women* list, and the *Sports Illustrated Power List*. Her name is Caitlin Clark, and the trend she created,

known as the Caitlin Clark Effect, launched women's basketball into unprecedented, stratospheric heights of popularity. In 2024, at the peak of her power and prestige, this most popular player in the history of the Women's National Basketball Association traded the blue uniform of her professional team, Indiana Fever, for the rainbow colors of Marxist identity politics, and disgraced women's professional basketball forever. In 2024, this player who gave millions of people throughout the world, for the first time in their lives, a reason to watch WNBA games, joined the establishment and reduced herself to a parroting voice of the far left party line. This rejection of sports professionalism in favor of political partisanship was as predictable as it was tragic, illustrating just how sick the West is and how far the cancer of far left ideas has advanced throughout society. It has advanced into the basketball court and transformed the game into a theater of race war. Disappointing her civilized fans but throwing the raw meat of Marxist revolution to the mob which cheers her on, Clark has issued a program and manifesto for the abolition of basketball as an equal opportunity employer and its construction of playing surfaces polished with black power. Listen to Caitlin Clark and hear a race Marxist call to arms issued and the death knell of liberal civilization sounded. Caitlin Clark proclaims that progressive basketball demands Marxist ideological conformity from its players. She proclaims that progressive basketball is a signal tower of far left virtue. She proclaims that progressive basketball pounds out far left noise screeching into the bleachers and galleries. She proclaims that progressive basketball excludes white players in the name of combating white privilege. She proclaims that progressive basketball embodies black privilege and its players are one hundred percent black. She proclaims that successful white basketball players did not earn their success but had it handed to them via white racism. She proclaims that progressive basketball demands that white players give all their awards to black players because, as recipients of white racism, they did not earn them. She proclaims that the only competent basketball players are black, they are the indispensable basis of the game, and white players such as Caitlin Clark count for nothing. She proclaims that progressive basketball demands that white players get on their knees and beg forgiveness for the crime of being white. She proclaims that progressive basketball celebrates black players and no other players. She proclaims that progressive basketball demands that white players immolate and sacrifice themselves on the altar of black supremacy. She proclaims that only black players should be allowed to endorse commercial products or benefit from corporate support. She proclaims that progressive basketball is a campaign rally for the Democrat Party and endorses its identity politics. She proclaims that progressive basketball elevates black players to the status of a new Aryan race. She proclaims that progressive basketball is a group of players working together to spread radical, socialist policies to its billion person fan base and bring about the overthrow of liberal democracy: "Caitlin Clark, the WNBA's first international superstar, has taken a sharp turn down the path of woke politics, leaving many of her fans disheartened. Caitlin Clark's meteoric rise—bolstered by her jaw dropping, record setting college career and stellar rookie season in the WNBA—seemed to offer a much needed respite from the league's ongoing struggles with dwindling viewership and ideological conformity. But instead of sticking to what made her a star, Clark appears to be prioritizing progressive virtue signaling over her unique identity, alienating fans who admired her for standing tall amidst the leftist noise in professional sports. In her *Time* interview after being named Athlete of the Year, Clark chose to frame her success within the woke narrative of 'white privilege.' 'I've been able to captivate so many people that have never watched women's sports, let alone women's basketball, and turn them into fans,' Clark said. 'I want to say I've earned every single thing, but as a white person, there is privilege,' she added. 'A lot of those players in the league that have been really good have been black players. This league has kind of been built on them.' 'The more we can appreciate that, highlight that, talk about that and then continue to have brands and companies invest in those players that have made this league incredible, I think it's very important. I have to continue to try to change that. The more we can elevate black women, that's going to be a

beautiful thing.' Caitlin Clark's recent remarks have sparked backlash, with notable criticism from commentator and podcaster Megyn Kelly. Kelly took to social media and blasted Clark. 'Look at this. She's on the knee all but apologizing for being white and getting attention. The self flagellation. The *oh pls pay attention to the black players who are REALLY the ones you want to celebrate*. Condescending. Fake. Transparent. Sad,' she wrote. Worse yet, this shift coincides with her tacit support for the political elite. After Kamala Harris' disastrous vice presidential debate performance, pop star and self described 'Childless Cat Lady' Taylor Swift took to *Instagram* to endorse Harris and Tim Walz for the 2024 election. To the dismay of many, Clark endorsed this endorsement by liking the post, signaling her alignment with a ticket pushing radical policies. For the record, the WNBA has been losing money each year since its inception. It was the black MEN from the NBA who lifted the league and kept it viable all these years, and that should not be ignored. The league itself is a dysfunctional, socialist environment that only became relevant last year due entirely to Caitlin Clark." (*Caitlin Clark Bends The Knee To Woke Culture: TIME's Athlete of the Year Says She Benefits from 'White Privilege' And Says WNBA Was 'Built' On Black Women Players* by Jim Hoft). Listen to Caitlin Clark proclaim that progressive basketball is a loudspeaker for a woke black supremacist race agenda. She proclaims that white basketball players succeed because they are white and not because they are talented, and their white privilege catapults them above more qualified black players. She proclaims that progressive basketball ignores the achievements of white players and acknowledges only the achievements of black players. She proclaims that white basketball players must fall to their knees in prayer and contrition before the altar of the black master race. She proclaims that white basketball players earn none of the accolades they receive and are only sports stars because they are white. She proclaims that no white basketball player ever deserves to be voted Athlete of the Year. She proclaims that progressive basketball excludes white players and justifies its racism on the grounds of achieving diversity, inclusion and the highest form of fairness and equity. She proclaims that companies should only invest in black basketball players and should only allow black players to be brand ambassadors. She proclaims that black basketball players are the sole heroes of the game and alone deserve to receive public recognition. She proclaims that black basketball players are the athletes of the year, the decade and the century. She proclaims that white basketball players are successful only because of the racism of white fans and not because of any prowess they may possess. She proclaims that white basketball players achieve success and black players are ignored because of the racist capitalist system, and the system must be overthrown: "WNBA superstar Caitlin Clark was sensibly picked as *Time Magazine's Athlete of the Year*, but despite her amazing success, Clark still feels the need to bend her knee to the league's woke racial agenda and cite her 'privilege' as a white person. Clark's outsized success compared to everyone else in the rest of the world of sports in 2024 (not to mention 2023) would have made it hard for *Time* to justify picking anyone else. Just as Donald Trump has taken to saying that his 2024 election win was 'too big to rig,' Clark's incredible rookie year in the WNBA was too big to ignore. Yet, in her retrospective published Tuesday by *Time*, Clark still fell to her knees to pay homage to the WNBA's race agenda. In its December 10 article, *Time* explained that 'Clark is cognizant of the racial underpinnings of her stardom.' 'I want to say I've earned every single thing, but as a white person, there is privilege,' Clark reportedly told *Time* in a perfectly formulated DEI statement. 'A lot of those players in the league that have been really good have been black players. This league has kind of been built on them. The more we can appreciate that, highlight that, talk about that, and then continue to have brands and companies invest in those players that have made this league incredible, I think it's very important. I have to continue to try to change that. The more we can elevate black women, that's going to be a beautiful thing.' *Time* also noted that Clark credited others with 'paving the way' for her success on *Saturday Night Live* in April. 'Clark's segment did include a more serious moment, as she thanked a quintet of black women—Lisa Leslie, Sheryl Swoopes, Cynthia Cooper, Staley, and Maya Moore,

Clark's basketball hero growing up—for paving the way for her success,' the magazine waxed. Indeed, even as the magazine pushed Clark out as its Athlete of the Year, it also planted the seeds of racism to hint that Clark really didn't deserve the accolades." (*Caitlin Clark Bends Knee To The Woke Mob, Says White People Have 'Privilege'* by Warner Todd Huston). The Indiana Fever is an American professional basketball team headquartered in Indianapolis. The 2024 season marked a turning point for the team. Due to the performance of Caitlin Clark, the team drew a record 17,000 fans to home games, beating its men's counterpart, the Pacers, and set WNBA television records. The name of the team bespeaks Caitlin Clark's state of mind. Kowtowing to Marxists, speaking the language of political correctness, and proclaiming that she has achieved her success only because she is white, Caitlin Clark has caught the fever of our times. She is burning up and dying along with civilization.

THE DEVIL'S LAKE

A sacred spring is a body of water emerging from underground which is revered in myths and religions. The myths of ancient Greece are replete with sacred springs, and include the Corycian, Pierian and Castalian waters. In Christian legends, sacred springs are held to flow through the actions of saints. In the mid nineteenth century, the Devil blasted a hole through the earth's surface and let out the waters of violence. They have been flowing ever since, shooting up into the heavens, not bringing healing or goodness, but raining down ruin upon mankind. The boiling groundwater first appeared in the works of the number religionist in the West after Jesus. Like Jesus, he was the founder of a new religion. Like Jesus, he preached a creed of antireason and blind faith and told people to bow down in submission to a being existing beyond time and space. Like Jesus, he told people that they cannot see this being because it is unperceivable, and that they are blind because they have eyes. Like Jesus, he told people that those who do not obey the tenets of the faith must be struck down by the sword, and that infidels have no right to exist. Like Jesus, he told people that violence is justified in the name of religion and whole continents can be massacred in the name of the doctrines of the creed. In the mid nineteenth century, Karl Marx galvanized the sewers of the world and unleashed a fever. He set forth a secular version of Christianity in which he replaced God in heaven with a social group called the Proletariat on earth, and replaced the laws created by a supernatural spirit with the laws of brute matter which deny the existence of spirit altogether, natural and supernatural. He filled a fever swamp called Socialism and put it in place of a fever swamp called the Brotherhood under God. Listen to neoChristian theologian Marx construct a new God called the Proletariat, and advocate the elimination of unbelievers, exploiters, oppressors and victimizers he called the Bourgeois, through class hate, class war, class genocide and the destruction of populations: "The history of all hitherto existing society is the history of class struggles. Freeman and slave, patrician and plebeian, lord and serf, guildmaster and journeyman, in a word, oppressor and oppressed, stood in constant opposition to one another, carried on an uninterrupted, now hidden, now open fight, a fight that each time ended, either in a revolutionary reconstitution of society at large, or in the common ruin of the contending classes. In the earlier epochs of history, we find almost everywhere a complicated arrangement of society into various orders, a manifold gradation of social rank. In ancient Rome we have patricians, knights, plebeians, slaves; in the Middle Ages, feudal lords, vassals, guildmasters, journeymen, apprentices, serfs; in almost all of these classes, again, subordinate gradations. The modern bourgeois society that has sprouted from the ruins of feudal society has not done away with class antagonisms. It has but established new classes, new conditions of oppression, new forms of struggle in place of the old ones. Our epoch, the epoch of the bourgeoisie, possesses, however, this distinct feature: it has simplified class antagonisms. Society as a whole is more and more splitting up into two great hostile camps, into two great classes directly facing each other—Bourgeoisie and Proletariat." (*Communist Manifesto* by Karl Marx and Friedrich

Engels). Marx set into motion a movement of violence perpetrated in the name of social groups which has endured up to the present day. Less than two centuries after Marx founded his religion of the Proletariat, a plethora of social groups has emerged, inspired by the creed of the master, and to standing ovations of the mob, has opened up a torrent of blood which has flooded into every home, school, office and sector of society. The dawn of the twentieth century brought the advent of a new age, the age of Marxist violence, and the new collectors of human sacrifice are the tribes of gender, race, class, ethnicity, culture and sexual orientation. Look at the past one hundred years and see murder justified in the name of fighting corporate greed. See murder justified in the name of promoting progressive politics. See murder justified in the name of fighting the healthcare industry. See murder justified in the name of fighting the pharmaceutical industry. See murder justified in the name of fighting Big Oil. See murder justified in the name of fighting conservatism. See murder justified in the name of fighting the supporters of Donald Trump who seek to make America great again by making it free again. See murder justified in the name of fighting corrupt corporate chief executive officers. See murder justified in the name of liberating Palestine from liberal democratic Israel. See murder justified in the name of advancing social justice. See murder justified in the name of advancing black civil rights and Black Lives Matter. See murder justified in the name of critical race theory, which is critical of reason, individual rights and the Enlightenment and promotes the creed of black supremacy. See murder justified in the name of fighting those opposed to the mandatory wearing of covid masks. See murder justified in the name of fighting parents opposed to the Marxist indoctrination of their children at school, parents condemned as domestic terrorists. See murder justified in the name of communism. See murder justified in the name of anarchy. See murder justified in the name of fighting capitalist imperialism and colonialism. See murder justified in the name of Che Guevara. See murder justified in the name of Mao Zedong. See murder justified in the name of the people's centers of justice. See murder justified in the name of the Black Panthers. See murder justified in the name of Bernie Sanders and democratic socialism. See murder justified in the name of fighting American's national voice of the freedom opposition, the voice of nonintervention at home and abroad, Donald Trump, condemned as Hitler because of his antiestablishment stance: "Even before we knew the targeted killing of UnitedHealthcare CEO Brian Thompson by Luigi Mangione was politically motivated, many leftists were justifying, celebrating and rationalizing the shooting. There's a real debate going on in some quarters of the progressive Left over whether slaying CEOs is a bad thing. And it's unsurprising. Of course, if any MAGA professors or journalists were online publicly defending the killing of perceived political enemies, there would be thousands of wringing hands lamenting the menacing rhetoric of conservatism. And rightly so. But the unhinged demonization of the health care insurance industry, the pharmaceutical industry and Big Oil are now the norm. A generation of college students have been indoctrinated into believing the profit motive is killing people when the opposite is true. And there's a clear ideological continuum between those who rationalize the shooting of a CEO and rationalize the murder and rape of Jews by Palestinian terrorists and rationalize the burning down of cities for social justice. One expects Mangione's writing will be largely indistinguishable from what a person hears from elected progressives and pundits. Few will ponder why a seemingly rational Ivy League educated engineer decided to become a hitman. When Black Lives Matter rioting enveloped the nation, causing billions in damage, destroying thousands of lives, one could barely get anyone in the media to admit it was even happening. To the Left, parents who protest school boards over critical race theory and mask mandates are 'domestic terrorists,' but those who burn down cities are 'mostly peaceful.' The Left has been prone to violence since Year Zero. In the early 1900s, the United States was awash in communist and anarchist bombings, culminating in the deaths of over 30 people on Wall Street in 1920. Most cultural depictions of the 60s upheavals were of a genteel, peace loving movement, but it was imbued with extremists, as well. By the 1970s, left wing terrorist groups such as the Weather Underground

were setting off bombs at the Capitol, police stations, the Pentagon and state attorneys general offices. In an 18 month period between 1971 and 1972, there were an amazing 2,500 bombings in the US by leftist groups. Worse, then as now, violence was often ignored or idealized by the 'intellectual' Left. When I was young, socialists would sometimes commemorate mass murderers such as Che Guevara or Mao Zedong on T shirts. Today, feted contemporary public intellectuals such as Ta-Nehisi Coates write bestselling books celebrating terrorism. The late Kathy Boudin, a former Weather Underground member who was involved in a Brinks truck robbery that killed three innocent people, operated the Columbia University's 'Center for Justice' for decades. And I would be remiss if I didn't mention Angela Davis, widely considered a hero by younger progressives, who not only championed murders and terrorist regimes her entire career but bought two guns used in a courtroom kidnapping-shootout perpetrated by the Black Panthers in 1970, when three hostages and a superior court judge were killed in Marin County, California. There is simply no comparable mainstreaming of right wing extremists. It was James Hodgkinson who walked onto an Alexandria, Virginia, baseball field in 2017 and opened fire at a Republican congressional delegation. He was a Bernie Sanders fan. Certainly, no reporter ran around the halls of Congress asking every elected Democrat if they were going to lower the rhetorical temperature. When Paul Pelosi was attacked by a deranged man, the entire media conversation revolved around conservative rhetoric. When we had two attempted assassinations of Donald Trump, most of the Left could barely stop calling him Hitler." (*Time For A National Conversation About Left Wing Violence* by David Harsanyi). On December 4, 2024, in New York City, Brian Thompson, CEO of UnitedHealthcare, was gunned down in cold blood and became another victim of Marxist violence. Americans from coast to coast, from all walks of life and on every page of the internet praised the killer as a hero fighting health insurance predators and corporate price gouging. On streets, sidewalks and squares and in videos, memes and group chats, the killer received accolades from ordinary people for his anticapitalist politics: "They came in hoodies, they came in masks, shuffling their feet and laughing nervously while waiting for a winner to be announced. Just a few days after UnitedHealthcare CEO Brian Thompson was murdered on a New York City sidewalk, these young men had lined up in Washington Square Park to compete in a lookalike contest for the man wanted for his murder. It underlined an obsession with a murder suspect that has gripped social media since the killing on 4 December, fuelled by latent anger directed at America's private health insurers. 'There was a lot of tinder already there, a lot of discontent, a lot of frustration already there, and [this] sort of threw a match on it,' Ms Jane [Talia Jane] said. In TikTok videos, memes and group chats, a young man accused of shooting a father of two in the back on a New York City sidewalk has been fawned over and praised as a kind of folk hero. This fetishisation was remarkably widespread, not limited to radical corners of the internet or any political affiliation, troubling many observers." (*The Dark Fandom Behind Healthcare CEO Murder Suspect* by Holly Honderich and Mike Wendling). Located within a volcanic crater and heated by geothermal vents, Frying Pan Lake in Waimangu, New Zealand is the hottest spring in the world. Its temperature is 55 degrees Celsius, and it continually steams and emits sulfur. In the mid nineteenth century, a volcano erupted in Europe and the Devil's lightning, brimstone and ideological fire shot across the world. Marxist violence soon engulfed the West and in the twenty first century, the West is an ocean of combustion.

SOLAR ECLIPSE: OCTOBER 1, 1949

Date: October 1, 1949. Location: Beijing, Tiananmen Square. Mao Zedong, chairman of the Chinese Communist Party, proclaims the establishment of the People's Republic of China. A new national flag is unfurled, a five star Red Flag. A new national anthem, *March Of The Volunteers*, is played. In the distance a twenty one gun salute blasts out. The People's Liberation Army parades down the square. A

land of freedom, the Republic of China, a sovereign state which had ruled the nation since 1911, retreats to Taiwan. After 5000 years of glorious history which saw a cradle of civilization emerge on the steppes of Central Asia and produce paper, gunpowder, the Silk Road and the Great Wall, China loses its freedom and independence and becomes a satellite of an international movement and conspiracy known as communism. The loss of China to communism was one of the greatest tragedies of the past one hundred years and was brought about by an act of treason so immense as to defy all belief. It was brought about by an act of treason committed by a nation obligated by its constitution to "secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity" and to protect freedom from enemies at home and abroad which threatened its existence. On October 1, 1949, the world's largest nation was surrendered to communism by the United States of America. During the Chinese Civil War from 1927 to 1949 between the anticommunist Nationalist government led by Chiang Kai Shek, and the Chinese Communist Party led by Mao Zedong, the Soviet Union gave massive assistance to the Chinese communists. From captured Japanese stocks and from its own arsenal, it gave the Chinese thousands of weapons, guns, mortars, tanks, aircraft and places to store their supplies and assemble their troops, and it helped to form, equip and train the Chinese Red Army: "When the USSR entered the war against Japan, the People's Liberation Army of China was in an extremely difficult position. After Northeast China was liberated, the Soviet Commander handed over to the People's Liberation Army the weapons and equipment of the former Kwantung Army, and later, Soviet weapons too. The materiel captured by two Soviet fronts alone included more than 3,700 guns and mortars, 600 tanks, 861 aircraft, and nearly 680 military depots. The USSR helped to form, equip and train an 800,000 strong army which played the decisive role in routing the Kuomintang counterrevolutionary forces." (*Who Lost China?* by Anne Carroll, quoting Dmitri Yefimov). In 1923, Chiang Kai Shek visited the Soviet Union and stood aghast at the workings of a totalitarian regime. He had never witnessed such a regime before in his life. He saw the Marxist dialectics of war equalling peace, slavery equalling freedom and ignorance equalling knowledge, the Marxist confiscation of private property, the Marxist outlawing of free movement and the Marxist abolition of free speech construct a barbed wire fence around the lives of the people. He saw the menace of communism in its nightmare reality and was determined to protect China from it. He was betrayed and stabbed in the back by America. Look at the record of America's treason and see symptoms of how sick the West has become over the past one hundred years. The Americans ordered the Nationalists to form a coalition government with the communists. They held meetings of their own with the communists. They ordered the Nationalists to agree to a ceasefire. They established an arms embargo on the Nationalists: "Marshall [General George Marshall] was sent by President Truman as his personal representative to China, arriving on December 20, 1945. His specific instructions from Secretary of State Byrnes were to insist on a coalition government as a condition for continued aid to the Nationalists. Because the Truman Administration had made a firm decision not to provide US combat troops to Chiang, and since Marshall was convinced that Chiang could not win without US troops, he therefore agreed with the decision to insist on a coalition government as the only alternative, though Marshall later stated in his testimony in the 1951 Senate hearings on the fall of China that he never had any doubts that Mao's forces were Marxist communists. Marshall held frequent meetings with Chiang or his delegates and with Mao's representative, Chou En-lai, and arranged two separate ceasefires in the civil war, in January and June of 1946. When Chiang would not cooperate with Marshall's efforts to set up a coalition government, Marshall ordered an arms embargo, in effect from July 29, 1946 through May 26, 1947." (*Who Lost China?* by Anne Carroll). The American arms embargo on the Nationalists turned the tide of the civil war toward the communists. It lowered the Nationalists' morale. It prevented offensive action by the Nationalists and put them in a position of disadvantage, defeat and retreat: "There is no question that Chiang was winning the majority of battles fought before the arms embargo, that he continued to win in the fall of 1946 before the full effects of

the arms cut off would have been felt, and that he won almost no battles after that. Richard C. Thornton makes a strong case that Chiang's defensive strategy began only because of the arms embargo. General [Albert Wedemeyer] persuasively testified that the loss of morale was indeed a cause of the defeat, but that the arms embargo and other US failures to support the Nationalists, as well as the constant anti-Nationalist propaganda, were a cause of poor morale. Admiral Oscar Badger, General Claire Chennault, and Brigadier General Francis Brink also regarded the arms embargo as a significant factor in the loss of China." (*Who Lost China?* by Anne Carroll). America betrayed China through the policies of the China Hands at the State Department. Proclaimed to be nonpartisan scholars and experts on China, they were as sympathetic to communism as they were disloyal to the nation. They sought to cover up the criminal character of communism. They defended and downplayed the regime of Marx, Lenin and the Soviet Union. They sought to cover up the Marxist dialectic of A equalling nonA, the Marxist denial of mind, spirit and human dignity, the Marxist reduction of man to the level of an animal, and the Marxist advocacy of revolution to overthrow liberal democracy. They denied that Mao Zedong, the founder of the Chinese Communist Party and a faithful, Moscow trained echo of Soviet propaganda, was in fact a communist and misrepresented him as a liberal minded reformer. They proclaimed that the communists seek only to destroy the feudal system and have nothing whatever to do with Sovietism, socialism, the rule of force or the nationalization of land. They denied the documented record of Chinese communist persecution of the anticommunist opposition and the communist denial of freedom of conscience and belief. They demanded that the Nationalists share power with the communists and form a free-unfree coalition government with them. They proclaimed that the communists stand for progress, transparency, integrity and honesty in government in contrast to the corrupt, oligarchical, tyrannical regime of the Nationalists. They proclaimed that the communists support the right to vote in free and fair elections. They proclaimed that the communists stand for patriotism and national independence and will not sell the nation into the arms of international slavery directed by the Soviet Union. They proclaimed that the communists stand for constitutions and the rule of law and have nothing whatever to do with the terrorization of the individual. They proclaimed that the communists support the right to private property and private enterprise. They proclaimed that the communists are peaceful, law abiding people just like the English and should be supported by the Chinese people and by the West: "American policy in China was largely shaped by the so-called China Hands in the State Department: John Stewart Service, John Paton Davies, John Carter Vincent, and others. Their defenders say that they were loyal patriots who understood the problems of China better than anyone else, proposed the right solutions, and unjustly suffered. Their critics say they were procommunist at best and disloyal at worst. It was their job to understand the true nature of communism. Though this article is not the place to explore it, there was more than enough evidence at the time to know what communism in the Soviet Union was really like. Even lacking that, a careful reading of Marx and Lenin would have shown that the characteristics of communism--the dialectic, denial of human worth, materialism, espousal of revolution--were sufficient reason for resolutely opposing communism. It was their job to know that Mao was a communist and was not just 'red outside and white inside' as some believed. Mao was present at the founding of the Chinese Communist Party and had always followed the Soviet propaganda line. The 1934 Constitution of the Chinese Soviet Republic stated that the 'Chinese Soviet government has the goals of eliminating the feudal system. It has the further goal of eventual nationalization of all land.' At the Senate hearings on the fall of China, General Omar Bradley testified that he had no doubts that 'Mao himself is a communist and was a communist many years ago,' and Dean Acheson added that the State Department had 'very little doubt that these [Mao and his followers] were Moscow trained communists.' A report prepared for the US War Department in July declared, among other things, 'The Chinese communists are communists.' The report added that the communists were more rigidly controlled than the KMT, allowed no opposition

groups to exist in their areas (in contrast to the KMT), and were part of the international communist movement. General Chu Teh, commander in chief of the Chinese Communist Army, said, 'Chinese communists are Marx-Leninists. The Chinese communists will certainly continue to apply and develop Marxism-Leninism dialectically in accordance with our own conditions.' Both Protestant and Catholic missionaries could have provided information on the persecution of religion in the communist held areas. It was their job not to be misled by communist propaganda into thinking that a coalition government would solve all China's ills.' " (*Who Lost China?* by Anne Carroll). A solar eclipse occurs when the moon passes between the earth and the sun, blocks out the sunlight, and turns the day into darkness. The longest solar eclipse in history occurred on June 15, 743 BC, and lasted for seven minutes and twenty seven seconds. This was five seconds short of the maximum duration possible. On October 1, 1949, America allowed a communist megalith, bringing down prehistoric tyranny, to fall on the light of Chinese freedom and blot it out. America's dissimulation and defense of communism and Mao Zedong, its demand that he be included in the government, and its arms embargo on the Nationalists brought five thousand years of Chinese history to a crashing halt. It brought a state of moral darkness to the nation which has endured up to the present day.

JIHAD GERMANY

Had Charles Martel not been victorious at Poitiers [Battle of Tours] then we should in all probability have been converted to Mohammedanism, that cult which glorifies heroism and opens up the seventh Heaven to the bold warrior alone. Then the Germanic races would have conquered the world. (Hitler's Table Talk: 1941-1944 edited by H. R. Trevor-Roper). One of the three reasons why Germany has some interests in the Arabs is because we are jointly fighting the Jews. This led Khalid Al Hud to discuss Palestine and the conditions there, and he stated that he himself would not rest until the last Jew had left Germany. Khalid Al Hud observed that the Prophet Mohammed had acted the same way. He had driven the Jews out of Arabia. (The Arabs And The Holocaust: The Arab-Israeli War Of Narratives by Gilbert Ashcar, quoting Adolf Hitler). The close relations between the Third Reich and the Islamic world are as documented as they are terrifying. Two totalitarian regimes, united by their hatred of Jews and the Enlightenment, the former whom they condemned as embodiments of the latter, joined forces to advance the Holocaust. Two enemies of the free world confronted a common enemy, the West, exalted a cult of war and violence, and were determined to exterminate Jews, the voices of liberal democracy. In the twenty first century, the fever of radicalism and socialism which drove Germany mad under Hitler still circulates in the body politic and has driven the nation to again forge an alliance with the Community of the Faithful. In the twenty first century, the nation which produced Hegel's exaltation of the state as divine, Karl Marx's international communist slave empire, Adolf Hitler's Marxist inspired, national socialist race empire, and declared war against Western liberal democracy twice, in World Wars I and II, has returned to type and continued Hitler's conversations, friendship and diplomacy with the Arabs. In the twenty first century, the land which brought the world fascism, communism, class socialism, race socialism, national socialism, and the dialectical logic which equates slavery with freedom, has resurrected the ghosts of yesteryear and become allies once again with a hate and war movement emanating from the East. In the twenty first century, Germany has joined the struggle to defend Islam and establish a commonwealth of true believers and which will overthrow the West. Look at Germany in the twenty first century and see Hitler's goose steppers parade down the streets of civilization and pierce the air with poison and collapse. See the nation's proclamations of Islamic revolution. Germany today proclaims that a progressive society sanctions jihad violence and sharia oppression of women. It proclaims that a progressive society sanctions the stabbing of infidels and unbelievers. It proclaims that a progressive society charges people who criticize Islamic terror with

incitement to hate and puts them in jail. It proclaims that a progressive society outlaws freedom of speech and makes it a crime to expose Islam for what it is: a bloodthirsty gutter religion. It proclaims that a progressive society makes it a crime to tell the truth and expose the *Quran* as an eighth century edition of *Mein Kampf*. It proclaims that a progressive society makes it a crime to cite passages in the *Quran* calling Jews liars, defamers, hypocrites, rebels, subversives, disloyal, arrogant, prophet killers, promise breakers, sabbath breakers, people cursed by Allah, and apes and pigs who must be exterminated. It proclaims that a progressive society makes it a crime to tell the truth about Islam that it sanctions the mass rape of women. It proclaims that a progressive society makes it a crime to tell the truth about Islam that it poses a clear and present danger to the survival and success of human freedom. It proclaims that a progressive society makes it a crime to tell the truth about Islam that its adherents are dangerous extremists who unleash panic and fear in the streets. It proclaims that a progressive society allows Muslims opposed to the liberal values of pluralism, tolerance, empirical science and freedom of thought and opinion to settle within and grants them citizenship. It proclaims that a progressive society condemns as right wing populists those who oppose mass migration from the moral deserts of the Muslim world. It proclaims that a progressive society is ruled by the mobs and elites of Marxist politics, weaponizes the legal system against the opponents of Islam and persecutes them by all possible means, abolishes the system of individual rights built up over centuries, and plunges its citizens into an ocean of spiritual darkness: "Here is a story that neatly encapsulates the threat that the West faces and the weakness of its response to that threat. In Germany, a vociferous critic of jihad violence and sharia oppression of women and others, Michael Sturzenberger, was stabbed several months ago by a jihadi precisely because of his opposition to those evils. Now, a German court has added insult to injury, convicting Sturzenberger of 'incitement to hatred' and fining him €3,600 (\$3,800). So it has come to this: what the jihadi began, the German government is now continuing. What will be the effects of this on the freedom of speech in Germany? That's obvious: if this continues, Germany is dead as a free society. In *Spiked* on Wednesday, the publication's Germany correspondent Sabine Beppler-Spahl was generally sympathetic to Sturzenberger, but added a significant and telling caveat. She asserted that 'there's little doubt that Sturzenberger can be offensive. He claims that his criticism only applies to 'political Islam', calling it a threat to democracy and an ideology that oppresses women. But he has also compared parts of the *Koran* with Hitler's *Mein Kampf*, and—while saying that not all Muslims are rapists—has talked of 'thousands of women' who have been sexually assaulted by Muslims from Northern Africa and Arabia.' Here yet again we see how unpopular and unwelcome truths are stigmatized as offensive even among people who should know better; but does that make them any less true? Sabine Beppler-Spahl appears to be unaware of the fact that the *Quran* contains numerous passages (not just one or two) that are profoundly and disturbingly antisemitic. The Jews in the *Quran* are called the strongest of all people in enmity toward the Muslims (5:82). They are accused of hiding the truth and misleading people (2:109; 3:78); staging rebellion against the prophets and rejecting their guidance (2:55); being hypocritical (2:14, 2:44); giving preference to their own interests over the teachings of Muhammad (2:87); and being arrogant about their being Allah's beloved people (5:18). They are depicted as slandering the true religion (Islam) (4:46); killing the prophets (2:61); being merciless and heartless (2:74); and never keeping their promises or fulfilling their words (2:100). Allah transforms the disobedient Jews into apes and pigs for breaking the Sabbath (2:63-65; 5:59-60; 7:166). They are under Allah's curse (9:30), and Muslims should wage war against them and subjugate them under Islamic hegemony (9:29). And there is much more of this, making *Mein Kampf* look practically benign by comparison. And as for 'thousands of women who have been sexually assaulted by Muslims from Northern Africa and Arabia,' take just one British city as an example. The BBC reported in 2014 that 'at least 1,400 children were subjected to appalling sexual exploitation in Rotherham between 1997 and 2013,' and British officials did nothing; they 'described their nervousness

about identifying the ethnic origins of perpetrators for fear of being thought as racist; others remembered clear direction from their managers not to do so.' Those perpetrators were largely Pakistani, not North African or Arab, but they shared with many North African and Arab rapists the same understanding of the *Quran's* permission for the sexual abuse of infidel women (4:3, 4:24, 23:1-6, 33:50, 70:30). The fact that even a friendly observer would think Sturzenberger had gone too far in making these statements is an indication of the depth of ignorance regarding this problem in Germany and all over Europe and North America. Even among patriots in the US, there are all too many who still wear rose colored glasses regarding the teachings of the *Quran*, the likelihood of 'moderate Muslims' saving the day, and so on. So it's no wonder that the German government, when faced with the jihad stabbing of this critic of Islam, thought it best to clamp down on him, rather than try to ensure that the new arrivals to Germany shared Western values of pluralism, tolerance, and the respect for the freedom of speech." (*Germany: Man Stabbed By Jihadi Fined For Criticizing Islam* by Robert Spencer). Look at Germany today and see the voices of reason, activists and bloggers on behalf of the Enlightenment, attacked on two fronts. See them attacked by private Muslims on the streets allowed to settle by the state, and attacked by Islamified police, prosecutors and courts whose wires are pulled by Marxists: "Earlier this year, activist and blogger Michael Sturzenberger became the victim of an Islamist attack because of his harsh criticism of Islam. Now, six months later, he has been convicted for incitement to hatred and given a fine by a regional court in Hamburg—also because of his harsh criticism of Islam." (*Stabbed By An Islamist, Now Silenced By The State* by Sabina Beppler-Stahl). Time: November 28, 1941. Place: the Reich Chancellery in Berlin. Adolf Hitler meets Hajj Amin Al Husayni, the Grand Mufti of Jerusalem. After the meeting, Hitler states that "the struggle against a Jewish homeland in Palestine will be part of the struggle against the Jews. The struggle will continue until the complete destruction of Jewish-European power. When the German army is in proximity to the Arab world, it will issue an assurance to the Arab world that the hour of liberation is at hand. Germany will not intervene in internal Arab matters and the only German goal at this time will be the annihilation of Jewry living in Arab space under the protection of British power." In the twenty first century, Germany has revived its war against Jews. The Third Reich-Muslim coalition active during World War II has been replaced by a new partner. Muslims are now sponsored by Hitler's Jew hating successors, Marxists and their sympathizers, and a Marxist-Islamist axis has spread the war of extermination of Jews and liberal democracy across Germany and across the world.

THE NATIONAL SUBVERSION FOUNDATION

In November 1944, President Franklin Roosevelt wrote a letter which changed the course of American science. The letter was to Vannevar Bush, director of the Office of Scientific Research and Development, and it requested recommendations for the formation of a national policy for science. In 1945, Bush presented a report to President Harry Truman, entitled *Science: The Endless Frontier*. The report recommended the establishment of an agency which would promote science through federal government funding of basic research conducted at universities. In 1950, Truman signed Public Law 81-507 establishing the National Science Foundation. Its mission shined a light of inspiration across the nation: "To promote the progress of science; to advance the national health, prosperity, and welfare; and to secure the national defense; and for other purposes." In the twenty first century, this prestigious agency funding one quarter of all federally funded research conducted by American universities, with a budget of over ten billion dollars, has betrayed its mission and descended into the fever swamps of gender, race and class politics. In the twenty first century, this agency which helped ferry the nation through the Sputnik Crisis of 1957, the period of public fear about the technological gap between America and the Soviet Union caused by the Soviet launching of Sputnik I, the world's first man made

satellite, has embraced the ideology of the former Soviet enemy together with its latter day neoMarxist race and gender derivatives. In the twenty first century, the National Science Foundation, the premier science funding institution of a nation which leads the world in science, technology and innovation, has issued a program and manifesto for the transformation of science into a handmaiden of religion. This religion of the reds has expanded into a rainbow colored flag and taken over the American scientific establishment, and its embrace by the American people is a nightmare illustration of how sick the West has become in the twenty first century. Listen to the new religion in control of the National Science Foundation and hear the death knell sound for science, sanity, civilization and Enlightenment in America and across the West. The new riders of the apocalypse proclaim that progressive science is partisan, political science. They proclaim that progressive science sacrifices integrity on the altar of race and gender ideologies. They proclaim that progressive science calls its policies of racism and sexism *diversity, inclusion* and the highest form of *fairness, equity* and *accessibility*. They proclaim that progressive science places the planning, execution and communication of science into the hands of race and gender ideologues and condemns the values of objectivity and empiricism as forms of disruption. They proclaim that a progressive science agency is a political agency dedicated to spreading the gospel of race and gender discrimination on behalf of black power and radical feminism. They proclaim that progressive science sacrifices the method of observing and measuring nature on the altar of mystical social ideologies. They proclaim that progressive science is decolonized science which excludes the achievements of white males. They proclaim that progressive science promotes cultural change to overthrow the capitalist system and the traditions of the Enlightenment. They proclaim that progressive science promotes the intersectional politics of race and gender and is a voice of black female power. They proclaim that progressive science is an education in race and gender politics which promotes hatred of white males as the highest form of race and gender equity. They proclaim that progressive science, technology, engineering and mathematics are expressions of the will to power of women and nonwhites. They proclaim that progressive science sacrifices intellectual rigor and thoroughness on the altar of Marxist myths and legends. They proclaim that progressive science rejects the traditional scientific method of observation and induction in favor of apriorism, subjectivism and ideological assertion. They proclaim that progressive science rejects the traditional scientific aim of understanding the nature of the natural world in favor of political proselytizing and headline grabbing. They proclaim that progressive science promotes tribal hostility and disagreement and declares war on the tribe of white males. They proclaim that progressive science condemns capitalism as a regime of white male oppression. They proclaim that progressive science judges people on the basis of their skin color, ethnicity and politics and gives preferential treatment to black, hispanic, female Marxists. They proclaim that progressive science is biased, dishonest, theological pseudoscience whose aim is to advance the needs and interests of women and nonwhites. They proclaim that progressive science is a communist, black supremacist and radical feminist manifesto which seeks to overthrow the liberal capitalist system and spread terror through the streets. They proclaim that progressive science seeks to place all economic, political, and social power into the hands of women, nonwhites and the poor and establish a Marxist totalitarian regime and revolution of destruction. They proclaim that progressive science is violent, extremist, racist, antisemitic, terrorist and genocidal, and seek to annihilate whites, Jews, Israel, the capitalist system and Western civilization: "For the US to remain the world's economic hegemon, it will need to invent new tools and methods to meet modern needs. As just one example, while data and data related services drive global economic growth, the centers that store data will need up to ten percent of all American electricity production alone by the end of the decade. This increased demand for energy means that the US will need to produce or import more energy. Innovative scientists will need to find more efficient methods and equipment to drive production and store data. The work that leads to these scientific discoveries is traditionally done at American businesses and research

universities, supported by federal research and development (R&D) grants from agencies such as the NSF. It is essential that the agencies tasked with supporting and training the scientific community spend taxpayer dollars responsibly. As it has done with most aspects of the federal government, however, the Biden-Harris administration has politicized these agencies' work. Such blatant partisanship naturally destroys the public's faith in, and the credibility of, federal programs. In the first days of this administration, President Biden and Vice President Harris created a Task Force on Scientific Integrity charged with 'a review of the impact on scientific integrity of diversity, equity, and inclusion practices' at all science agencies. The Task Force portentously declared 'activities counter to DEIA [Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Accessibility] values are disruptive to the conduct of science' and called on all federal agencies to 'incorporate DEIA considerations into all aspects of science planning, execution, and communication.' The Biden-Harris NSF has responded by sending over \$2 billion to projects geared towards advancing divisive social ideologies rather than investigating hard science. In 2022, NSF gave Columbia University \$4.4 million for its Implementing Novel Solutions for Promoting Cultural Change in Geoscience Research & Education (INSPIRE) program to 'decolonize geoscience.' The following year, NSF awarded \$5 million to Arizona State University and two other schools to build 'an intersectional learning ecosystem toward gendered racial equity in artificial intelligence education.' The project is part of NSF's Racial Equity in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math (STEM) Education program. This report outlines how these kinds of projects mask Marxist social ideology as rigorous and thoughtful investigation. Many of these awards—based on subjective, qualitative research incapable of repetition—failed to follow basic tenets of the Scientific Method. This is more than a simple story of political grandstanding or government waste. Federal funding of ideologically based pseudoscience leads to inherently biased results that further public distrust and, more problematically, produce flawed conclusions and inaccurate understandings of how the physical world works. If these types of projects continue to receive funding, the US will not only fail to meet the technological challenges of the next decade, but the hate and discord that have exploded across the country, especially at institutions of higher education, will continue. American university campuses have become saturated with DEI initiatives and courses that use neoMarxist theories to code individuals as members of an 'oppressed' or 'oppressor' class based on the color of their skin, heritage, or political perspectives. In Marxist theory, the 'oppressor' is typically the class or group that holds economic, political, and social power over others, while the 'oppressed' are defined as those who must support the oppressors—through their labor or service—to survive. In his *Communist Manifesto*, Karl Marx called for the dismantling of the current capitalist order through a revolutionary process. He later wrote 'revolutionary terror' was needed to bring about a 'new society' that would allow the oppressed class to rule. This extremist classification structure has been responsible for an upsurge of violent racism in the US as new revolutionaries applied these labels to groups based on race and ethnic origin. As the 2023–24 school year came to a close, college campuses erupted in protests in support of the terrorist group Hamas and its genocidal attack against Israel, one of the US's closest allies." (*DEI: Division, Extremism, Ideology. How The Biden-Harris NSF Politicized Science*, United States Senate Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation). Time: 1935. Place: the Kremlin. One Trofim Lysenko delivers a speech to his comrades listened to attentively on his right by Joseph Stalin. Trofim Lysenko: Soviet pseudoscientist who claimed that all science is class based, and that there is a proletarian science and a bourgeois science, and no objective, empirical, universal science. Trofim Lysenko: condemned the science of genetics on the grounds that it is forbidden by Marxism because it asserts the existence of fixed, innate genetic entities immune from the whirling flux of the environment. Trofim Lysenko: led a campaign to suppress Soviet scientists who supported genetics, a campaign which saw the dismissal, imprisonment and execution of 3000 scientists, and set back Soviet biology, neurophysiology and agriculture by decades. In the twenty first century, the ghost of Lysenko haunts

America's National Science Foundation. The nation which led the free world's struggle against communism has succumbed to mob pressure. In the twenty first century, the National Science Foundation has disgraced its founding motto, *Where Discoveries Begin*, and rendered it null and void. Rejecting scientific discoveries, observations, nonpartisan inquiry and the empirical method, the National Science Foundation has become the national funding center for Marxist witchcraft.

RED CAESAR ROOSEVELT

However successful our intelligence services and police may be in detecting enemy agents, we must never rely on the dangerous assumption that this menace has been completely eradicated from our midst. Modern methods of transport—the aeroplane, the submarine and the speed boat—and modern methods of communication, such as wireless, all facilitate the work of the spy. While we have been glad to welcome to our country many thousands of genuine refugees from nazi oppression, the presence of so many foreign subjects in our midst can only make the detection of the spy more difficult. Whereas an English accent, heard in the streets of Berlin might immediately betray the presence of a British agent, a foreign tongue spoken in Britain today does not even call for comment. It is therefore a most important duty for all of us to make the work of the enemy spy, who may be present in this country, as difficult as possible. We can do this quite easily if we make it an absolute rule never to discuss any subject, likely to be of interest to the enemy, in a public place. (Enemy Agents, nationalarchives.gov.uk).

Enemy agent, a threat to a citizenry operating inside a nation, a figure of treason in the employ of a foreign movement and ideology, a stranger in society on a mission of revolution and subversion. In the twentieth century, the greatest crime against freedom in modern times was committed by an enemy agent elected by the people. It was the greatest crime because it was committed in the greatest nation in modern times championing the cause of freedom, a nation known and revered throughout the free world as the arsenal, the policeman, the lighthouse and the City Upon A Hill of freedom. It was committed in the United States of America by a man worshiped by the mobs as the emperors of ancient Rome were worshiped by their mobs. It was committed at the highest levels of government by the thirty second president of the United States, by the longest serving president in the nation's history, serving almost four terms, by a member of the prominent Delano and Roosevelt families who filled the ranks of the nation's ruling circles, and whose ancestors reached back to the seventeenth century English Pilgrims and Dutch immigrants to Manhattan. In the twentieth century, the greatest act of treason against freedom in modern times was committed by Franklin Delano Roosevelt. Taking his constitutionally mandated oath of office, swearing "I will to the best of my ability, preserve, protect and defend the Constitution of the United States," Roosevelt rendered the affirmation null and void by the sponsorship of a set of programs and policies which transformed the nation into a swampland infested with a socialist fever, a collectivist ground in which the waters of radicalism have collected and risen to terrifying heights over the past one ninety years. **Enemy Agent.** Franklin Roosevelt shifted the tone and attitude of America from individualism to collectivism. He exalted social groups including the state above the intrinsic dignity and worth of the individual. He established the American welfare state and expanded government regulation of the economy. He expanded economic interventionism and government economic planning. He brought to a culmination a trend which had commenced at the dawn of the twentieth century, the trend of socialism and statism justified as progressivism, and gave socialism and statism new names. He called them Social Security and a New Deal and wrote them in every home, school, office and business in the nation. He threw the American mobs the raw flesh of class envy and class hatred, and they went on a rampage, red in tooth and claw, and mauled apart freedom. He proposed the Judicial Procedures Reform Bill of 1937 in which he sought to add more judges to the United States Supreme Court to obtain favorable rulings to the New Deal which the Court

had previously ruled unconstitutional. He rejected the constitutional guarantees of private property and freedom of contract implied by the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments. He threw the Constitution to the winds and sought to establish a Supreme Court predicated on politics, ideology and mob rule, and unfettered by the rule of law: "The Supreme Court of the United States soon invalidated many of the president's New Deal measures as unconstitutional. No Supreme Court had ever struck down so many laws so quickly. An adamantly conservative bloc of Justices known as the 'Four Horsemen' consistently held that governmental regulation of commerce and labor infringed on personal liberties. They held that Roosevelt's reforms restricted an individual's right to form contracts—in violation of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments. On a nine man court, the Four Horsemen only needed one more vote for a majority. Justice Owen Roberts almost always voted with them. Often, so did Chief Justice Charles Evans Hughes. As measure after measure was revoked, including a popular minimum wage law from New York state protecting women and children workers, FDR realized that his New Deal was no match for an old court." (*FDR & The Court Packing Controversy*, supremecourthistory.org). Franklin Roosevelt submerged the individual within an alphabet soup of federal agencies and made him a rightless cog in the wheels of the central government. Rejecting the rights of the states, the right of the Supreme Court to strike down unconstitutional laws and the rights of the people guaranteed by the Bill of Rights, Roosevelt sought to pack the court with communist judges and plunge the nation into a totalitarian nightmare: "Roosevelt had implemented many reforms during his presidency, such as laws that increased the power of the government and blurred the traditional boundaries between state and central government. The Supreme Court challenged many of the alphabet agencies, including the National Recovery Administration (NRA) and the Agricultural Adjustment Administration (AAA), as its members believed they were unconstitutional. They argued that Roosevelt did not have the power to create these laws, as the laws interfered with the powers of individual states. Roosevelt's solution to the Supreme Court's challenge was to reform it. He wanted to allow himself to appoint up to six extra judges, knowing that he could choose judges who would make the court more likely to vote for his plans. His solution was extremely unpopular, as there was a fear that he was trying to become more powerful. His plan was not allowed to proceed. Despite Roosevelt's plan not being accepted, the threat made to alter the Supreme Court was effective. It made the court more accepting of his other New Deal policies." (*Roosevelt And The New Deal*, bbc.co.uk). Franklin Roosevelt pushed state power beyond delimited by the Constitution. He sought to refashion the nation in the image of communism, the Soviet Union and Joseph Stalin. He expanded state direction and interference in private businesses. He established an imperial presidency and rule by a caesar which placed the economy under executive administration and regulation. He unleashed class war by giving unions power over businesses. Rejecting the traditional American values of self reliance and self responsibility, he showered the people with government make work programs and welfare payments, and made the nation morally and culturally unrecognizable: "The Republicans believed that the New Deal had gone too far, pushing government control beyond what the US Constitution allowed. They felt America was becoming a communist country, like the Soviet Union, and Roosevelt was seen as behaving like Joseph Stalin. The Republican Party argued that Roosevelt had given the federal government too much power. There were even some Democrats who agreed with this view. Business leaders. The New Deal faced some opposition from business leaders who were worried about the growing costs of Roosevelt's reforms. They also thought that enormous amounts of money were being wasted on creating temporary jobs that had little long term value. They did not like how Roosevelt tried to interfere in how they ran their businesses or how much power he gave to the trade unions. Some people believed that welfare payments would make people too dependent on the government. Conservatives, in business and politics, came together to form the American Liberty League. They campaigned against the costs of the New Deal and the power of the president. They also demanded a return to the old idea

of rugged individualism." (*Roosevelt And The New Deal*, bbc.co.uk). **Enemy Agent.** Franklin Roosevelt formed a wartime alliance with the Soviet Union because he admired communism. He had an affectionate relationship with Joseph Stalin because he admired communism. He journeyed by multiple means, halfway around the world, and visited Stalin in Russia because he admired communism. He was obedient to Stalin and attentive to his every demand because he admired communism. At the Yalta Conference, held in Crimea, from February 4 to 11, 1945, he agreed to Stalin's demand for a Soviet sphere of influence, the satellitization of Eastern Europe, and a slave empire crushing Poland, because he admired communism: "Franklin Roosevelt's fourth inauguration was held on January 20, 1945. Three days later, the President boarded the cruiser *Quincy*. For several months his fondest dream, next to his reelection, had been another love feast with 'Uncle Joe' Stalin, but now the Russian dictator had made it plain that if the President of the United States wanted to see him, he would have to trek to Russia to do it. The conference would be on the soil of the Soviet Union or nowhere. General John R. Deane, head of the American Military Mission in Moscow, had seen Americans, under White House policy, licking the Russians' boots ad nauseam for three years, but this troubled him more than anything. 'No single event of the war irritated me more,' he wrote in *The Strange Alliance*, 'than seeing the President of the United States lifted from wheel chair to automobile, to ship, to shore, and to aircraft, in order to go halfway around the world as the only possible means of meeting J. V. Stalin.' " (*Roosevelt's Road To Russia* by George Crocker, Chapter XV). As asterisk is a typographical symbol used in a text as a pointer to a footnote. It calls attention to brief words at the bottom of the page in which the author comments on the subject and names references. The story of America's presidents ends with the presidency of Franklin Roosevelt. Accepting the New Deal dispensation of welfare statism and business regulation, adding to it and expanding it, or if not, if challenging, as Donald Trump does, its latter day race and gender excrescences, never seeking to reverse it or roll it back in a fundamental way, every American president since Roosevelt has been a footnote on a presidency which overthrew the nation.

WHITE FLAG IN KOREA

It was called the Forgotten War because it failed to reach the scale of World War II, which had ended just five years before, and failed capture the attention of the public as the war had. In reality, it will live forever in the annals of infamy, a tragic illustration of the darkness the free world allowed to descend on the people of a remote peninsula in the Far East. In early 1950, Soviet leader Joseph Stalin made a decision based on two developments. First, America had failed to stop communists under Mao Zedong from seizing power in China on October 1, 1949. Second, the Soviets had cracked codes used by Washington to communicate with its embassy in Moscow, and the dispatches indicated that America did not have the will or interest to defend South Korea in the event of a communist attack. Thus in April 1950, Stalin allowed North Korean leader Kim Il Sung to attack South Korea, and thus commenced the Korean War. The war raged for three years, from June 25, 1950 to July 27, 1953, and was fought by North Korea, supported by China and Russia, and South Korea, supported by the United Nations Command led by America. The war saw one million South Korean casualties, 36000 American casualties and 4000 casualties from other United Nations forces. The fighting ended with an armistice but no peace treaty. The fighting ended with America consigning the people of North Korea to communist slavery which has endured up to the present day. The fighting ended with an act of surrender by America which would portend the surrender of South Vietnam to North Vietnam in 1975 and the victory of communism over one third of the earth's population by 1980. The fighting whose possibility was allowed in 1948, when America allowed the Soviets to form an occupation zone in the Korean Peninsula north of the 38th parallel, ended in disgrace on July 27, 1953 in the border village of

Panmonjom, when America signed the Korean Armistice Agreement and agreed to stop fighting the communists, refused to punish them for their act of aggression, and allowed them to retain control of North Korea. The Korean War ended in an armistice which froze America's attempt to liberate North Korea. It saw 36000 American soldiers give their lives for the sake of a stalemate. It saw communist aggression committed with impunity. It saw the continuance of political instability across the region. It saw the North Koreans sentenced to serve life behind communist bars in a living hell of poverty, starvation and oppression. It saw America's ruling circles surrender to communism in the name of preventing escalation. It saw the prosecution of a war against communism without strength, courage or resoluteness. It saw American military reversals not offset by total victory. It saw freedom fighters frustrated by America's pusillanimous agreement to an armistice. It saw America chain itself to the coward's flag of limited action to fight communism. It saw a theater of war against communism transformed into a harvest of shame and submission. It saw America's security establishment unwilling to confront and defeat Soviet power in the Far East. It saw America's security establishment exclude South Korea from its defensive perimeter and foreswear its constitutional obligation to defend the blessings of liberty at home by battles fought anywhere in the world if necessary. It saw America refuse to fight on behalf of the civilized world to defeat the greatest evil ever to afflict mankind. It saw Stalin's and Kim's prophecy come true: that America would not fight courageously in Korea and would not seek to roll back communism in the North. It saw American police action turn into an American police rout before Russian weapons and Chinese reinforcements. It saw America unprepared to fight, its troop strength decimated, its equipment deteriorated, and its training and combat abilities poisoned by budget cuts, and unable to defeat the Soviet empire and its friends and fellow travelers. It saw America unable to properly respond to the Soviet trained, Chinese manned communist offensive and annihilate the enemy. It saw America's money, manpower and might, on land, sea and air, unutilized because of fear and faintheartedness in the face of communism. It saw the Soviet and Chinese war to resist America and aid North Korea achieve victory. It saw the Chinese army defeat the world's number one anticommunist power. It saw communist imperialism stream through China's borders and crush American steel cracking from cowardice. It saw America brought to its knees by communist will. It saw communist titanism defeat America and its Western allies which had descended into far left fever swamps. It saw the communist torture and starvation of prisoners of war. It saw communists achieve victory on the battlefield, on the negotiating table and in the court of global opinion. It saw America bow down in submission, beg for a ceasefire, become unnerved from battlefield losses, cave in to international pressure from decadent nations, enter communist held territory in the South to negotiate, and wave the white flag of surrender of Western civilization: "Seventy years ago this week, the armistice that froze the Korean War was signed. During a year of savage battlefield maneuvering and two more of bitter stalemate, nearly 40,000 American troops gave their lives. Several thousand more allied troops also died, as did millions of Koreans, many of them heroically in combat against communist aggression, and even more as its civilian victims. The southern half of the Korean peninsula, now a thriving democracy, took decades to recover. The northern half never has, remaining impoverished, oppressed and a source of instability. The Korean War is ambiguously sandwiched in the US public consciousness between memories of victory in World War II and perceptions of tragedy in Vietnam. An elite consensus has settled on approval of President Harry Truman's leadership during the Korean War, particularly his focus on preventing escalation. At the time, however, Americans took a dimmer view of Truman's handling of the conflict, which opened with shocking military setbacks and continued for two years of self imposed, costly stalemate before ending in a frustrating armistice. Americans have long struggled to interpret, let alone celebrate, this brutal but limited action fought in a secondary theater, coming so soon after victory and ending in a tie. The first lesson is that Washington must not neglect deterrence and readiness. The Korean War was almost lost as a consequence of the

Truman administration's failures on both fronts. By the late 1940s, America's security establishment was committed to facing the challenge presented by Soviet power—but deeply divided about what doing so would require and what, precisely, should be defended. In January 1950, five months before North Korea invaded its southern neighbor, US Secretary of State Dean Acheson gave a speech on US policy in Asia at the National Press Club. When he listed the countries included within the US 'defensive perimeter' in the region, he conspicuously excluded South Korea, even though it was occupied by American troops until the middle of 1949. Acheson suggested that Washington's 'direct responsibility' for South Korea had ended. He implied that like any other sovereign country, South Korea would now need to rely on itself in the event of an attack, and failing that, to rely on 'the commitments of the entire civilized world under the Charter of the United Nations.' If anyone had bothered to ask who might do the fighting on behalf of the civilized world and the United Nations, the essential incoherence of the administration's policy would have been swiftly revealed. Acheson's remarks were reviewed carefully in the Kremlin. The Soviet leader Joseph Stalin was looking for opportunities to probe American resolve beyond the Cold War's main European theater, and two weeks after Acheson spoke, he gave the North Korean ruler Kim Il Sung permission to invade. Together, the two despots wagered that the United States would not fight for the South, a wager that many American leaders would also have placed before the invasion began. A Communist invasion in Asia coming on the heels of the CCP's takeover of China was covered with shock and drama by the American media, and U.S. public opinion coalesced swiftly in support of intervention. A poorly timed Soviet boycott of the UN Security Council permitted that body to officially condemn the invasion, and Truman decided that US intervention, described as a 'police action,' would proceed under the UN banner. Washington, however, was unprepared to fight. The Truman administration's tragic diplomatic shortsightedness was compounded by the deterioration of the U.S. military's capabilities. From an admittedly unsustainable peak of over 12 million in 1945, the number of active US troops had plummeted by nearly 90 percent; there were far too few to man the distant ramparts against the Soviet empire and its allies. Defense spending had similarly withered, dropping from 40 percent of GDP in 1945 to roughly 5 percent in 1950. The quality of the active force's equipment and its training and fighting culture had also degraded in ways difficult to quantify but ably documented in histories of the period. The result was that when the United States responded to the communist offensive by sending ground troops to the peninsula, large US formations were frequently defeated and sometimes annihilated by Soviet trained North Korean units and, later, Chinese 'volunteers.' " (*Why America Forgets—And China Remembers—The Korean War* by Mike Gallagher and Aaron Maclean). The Korean War. Communists act as the fascist powers, Germany, Italy and Japan, acted during World War II. The Korean War. Sympathizing with the aims and ideals of communism, the social welfare states of America and the West allow communist aggression against the South to go unpunished, pledged not to attack the North, and sought to appease it by agreeing to a ceasefire while not demanding a peace treaty. The Korean War. America's failure to roll back communism in the North set into motion a chain reaction which would see surrounding nations fall like dominoes to the enemy. The Korean War. The commencement of a linked sequence of events transpiring in a short span of time and consuming free nations. The dominoes fell. First Korea in 1953, then Vietnam, Laos and Cambodia in the 1970s. The Korean War. American abdication in the face of communist aggression was the shape of things to come.

WHAT TRUMP WILL AND WILL NOT DO

At what point then is the approach of danger to be expected? I answer, if it ever reaches us, it must spring up amongst us. It cannot come from abroad. If destruction be our lot, we must ourselves be its author and finisher. As a nation of freemen, we must live through all time, or die by suicide. I hope I am

overwary; but if I am not, there is, even now, something of ill omen, amongst us. I mean the increasing disregard for law which pervades the country; the growing disposition to substitute the wild and furious passions, in lieu of the sober judgment of Courts; and the worse than savage mobs, for the executive ministers of justice. This disposition is awfully fearful in any community; and that it now exists in ours, though grating to our feelings to admit, it would be a violation of truth, and an insult to our intelligence, to deny. Accounts of outrages committed by mobs, form the everyday news of the times. (The Perpetuation of Our Political Institutions: Address Before the Young Men's Lyceum of Springfield, Illinois by Abraham Lincoln, January 27, 1838). Thus spoke Abraham Lincoln, in one of his earliest speeches, on the rise of mob rule across America and the collapse of the nation. Mobocracy: a regime in which the blind will of the majority rules in place of laws and constitutions, and disputes are settled by brute force and the weight of numbers. Mobocracy: a nightmare in which reason has been voted out of power and the individual is subjected to the blackjacks, beerhalls, passions and pitchforks of the masses, and his inherent rights, freedoms and dignity have been repealed. The record of history has shown that mobocracy is the inevitable end stage of democracy and afflicts free civilizations in their final stages of decline. As the Roman Republic, based on the Senate and Constitution, degenerated into caesarism, circuses and class war, so the West, since the dawn of the twentieth century, has been on a downward trajectory of statism and socialism brought, as these were brought in ancient Rome, by the majority. In an illustration of just how sick the West has become over the past one hundred years, the world's former arsenal, policeman, watchtower and City Upon A Hill of freedom has shredded its constitution and descended into mob rule. In the twenty first century, one hundred years after the first appearance of socialism and statism packaged under the name of progressivism, the United States of America has become morally and culturally unrecognizable, and its regime of welfare entitlements and business regulations has become a fixed as the stars in the constellations. In the twenty first century, the reelection of Donald Trump in 2024 signaled the momentary dissatisfaction of forty nine percent of the electorate with the excrescences of the far left, with open borders, skyrocketing inflation, affirmative action policies and transgender activism, and was not a mandate to dismantle the regime of socialism and statism built up steadily since the administration of Theodore Roosevelt. During his first term, Trump tried repeatedly and failed to repeal the latest addition to American socialism, the Affordable Care Act, which for the first time in the nation's history established healthcare as a universal entitlement. Furthermore his aim in his repeal attempts was not to reestablish freedom but only to improve the new entitlement: "INSKEEP: [Steve Inskeep]: OK, what is the law that Trump tried to repeal the first time? SIMMONS-DUFFIN [Selena Simmons-Duffin]: That would be the Affordable Care Act, also known as Obamacare. It was passed in 2010, and it transformed lots of aspects of the health care system. And for years, Republicans wanted it gone. In Trump's first year as president, Republicans tried many, many times to repeal and replace Obamacare. This campaign, Trump spoke very differently about the law. Here he is during the presidential debate on ABC in September. DONALD TRUMP: I had a choice to make--do I save it and make it as good as it can be, or do I let it rot? And I saved it." (*What Does A 2nd Trump Term Mean For The Affordable Care Act?* by Selena Simmon-Duffin and Steve Inskeep). Donald Trump cannot and will not sponsor a repeal of America's popular horsemen of socialism, Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid and unemployment insurance, whose existence has been mandated by the mob for decades: "People like to say they want less government spending but hate it when their favorite program is cut. Senior citizens don't want anyone to touch Social Security or Medicare. The biggest portion of federal spending is mandatory spending—money that goes directly back to the citizen; this includes Social Security, (the largest), Medicare and Medicaid, veteran benefits, and unemployment insurance. Who gets these benefits and how much they get them is set in law. The DOGE [Department of Government Efficiency] team could chalk up a lot of savings by cutting Social Security benefits, but they would have to go to Congress to change the law

first. No executive can unilaterally impose spending reductions on programs already approved by Congress. Indeed, Trump himself, who made one of the wisest political decisions ever when he promised not to touch people's Social Security or Medicare, would probably be the first politician in line to throw the DOGE team out if they want to cut Social Security. Don't mess with Social Security checks. A screwup there will create such a blowback that it will have Trump running his efficiency experts out of the White House faster than you can say 'Department of Government Efficiency.' " (*Cut The Government With A Scalpel, Not An Axe* by Elaine Karmack). The reelection of Donald Trump in 2024 signaled the end of certain aspects of the far left regime forty nine percent of the electorate found objectionable. It signaled the end of the regime in which the FBI collaborated with foreign operatives to manufacture false information about political opponents. It signaled the end of the regime in which the FBI violated the First Amendment and forced social media to suppress news reports of scandals unfavorable to a presidential candidate in an attempt to rig an national election. It signaled the end of the regime in which the FBI knowingly told a falsehood and condemned as Russian disinformation news reports of the malfeasance of a presidential candidate in an attempt to rig an election. It signaled the end of the regime in which the FBI conducted massive raids on the homes of a political opponent and ransacked every room. It signaled the end of the regime which launched a Marxist race and gender war and established policies of race and gender discrimination against white males, and called its racism and sexism the achievement of diversity, inclusion, the fight against white male supremacy, and the highest form of fairness and equity. It signaled the end of the regime whose racist and sexist affirmative action policies decimated the ranks of the nation's military. It signaled the end of the regime which ignominiously surrendered and retreated in Afghanistan and left behind in its rout 50 billion dollars worth of state of the art military equipment to the forces of terror. It signaled the end of the regime which weaponized the law enforcement and intelligence agencies to persecute the voices of freedom, and enacted laws which made freedom a stranger in the land whose revolution for the cause of freedom was the shot heard around the world: "Trump's nominee for FBI director, Kash Patel, is already drawing severe criticism. What will Patel not do as the new director? He will not partner with a foreign national to collect dirt and subvert a presidential campaign as the FBI did with Christopher Steele in 2016. He will not use the FBI to draft social media to suppress news unfavorable to a presidential candidate on the eve of an election. He would not have suppressed FBI knowledge that Hunter Biden's laptop was genuine—to allow the lie to spread that it was 'Russian disinformation' on the eve of the 2020 election. He will not raid the home of an expresident with SWAT teams [or] monitor parents at school board meetings. Decorated combat veteran Pete Hegseth is another controversial nominee for secretary of defense. What will Hegseth likely not do? Install race and gender criteria for promotion and mandate Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion training? Insinuate falsely that cabals of white supremacists had infiltrated the military—only to alienate that entire demographic and thus ensure the Pentagon came up 40,000 recruits short. Oversee the scramble from Kabul that saw \$50 billion in US military equipment abandoned to Taliban terrorists. Trump's nominee for Director of National Intelligence, former congressional representative and military veteran Tulsi Gabbard, will soon be defamed in congressional hearings. But what has Gabbard not done? Joined '51 former intelligence authorities' to lie on the eve of the 2020 election that the Hunter Biden laptop 'had all the hallmarks' of a Russian information/disinformation operation'—in an effort to swing the election to incumbent Joe Biden. Encourage the FBI to monitor a presidential campaign in efforts to discredit it—in the manner of former CIA Director John Brennan, who lied not once but twice under oath." (*What The Trump Nominees Have Not Done. And Will Not Do* by Victor Davis Hansen). The reelection of Donald Trump signaled the end of the regime which violated the Nineteenth Amendment mandating equal protection of the laws, and in its place institutionalized racism and sexism. Calling it diversity, equity and inclusion, and proclaiming it to be the strength and foundation of America, Joe Biden stamped it in

every sector of the federal government, and allowed it to guide his selection of Kamala Harris as vice president. A paradigmatic diversity hire, selected for her post because she is black, female and politically far left, Harris epitomized the extra growth of a cancer which the voters rejected in the 2024 election: "Outgoing Democrat President Joe Biden himself called his own Vice President Kamala Harris a 'diversity, equality, and inclusion' pick just two months ago. Of course, what this means is that Biden himself called Harris a DEI vice presidential selection. In late May 2024, when Biden was still running for president and before the debate with Republican presidential nominee former President Donald Trump in late June, Biden gave a speech in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, alongside Harris. In the speech, Biden explicitly referred to Harris as a DEI vice president. 'To me, the values of diversity, equality, inclusion are literally—and this is not kidding—the core strengths of America,' Biden said in the address, according to a White House website transcript. 'That's why I'm proud to have the most diverse administration in history that taps into the full talents of our country. And it starts at the top with the Vice President.' " (*Joe Biden Himself Called Kamala Harris A DEI Vice President Just Two Months Ago* by Matthew Boyle). The political history of America since the dawn of the twentieth century is the story of a nation's descent into the fever swamps of the far left under the auspices of the mob. It is the story of a democracy run amok and repeal the lineaments of liberal order. Theodore Roosevelt's Square Deal was Progressivism 1.0. Franklin Roosevelt's New Deal was Progressivism 2.0. Lyndon Johnson's Great Society was Progressivism 3.0. Barack Obama's early gender, race and class agitation and Affordable Care Act were Progressivism 4.0. Joe Biden's open borders, massive money creation and government spending, and DEI initiatives and transgender invasions were Progressivism 4.0. Donald Trump was elected to reverse this latest development of socialism and statism and was elected to do no more. As America goes the way of ancient Rome, the first three products of mob rule are untouchable.

UNIVERSITY OF JEW HATRED AT LOS ANGELES

Nilavarai is a location in Jaffna District, Sri Lanka, popular among tourists throughout the world. It is the home of the Nilavarai Bottomless Well, a natural underground well where the water supply is never depleted. This miracle of nature has irrigated the fields in the area for thousands of years and provided endless drinking water to the people. Fifteen thousand kilometers away from this storied location is another bottomless well. It is well of hatred and its supply is inexhaustible and will feed malcontents for the indefinite future. Its aquifer is a body of rock, sand and earth laid down by the Devil. Millions of years ago, while the planet was being formed, the Devil laid down this groundwater in anticipation of the time when civilization would emerge and he could burst it asunder by rising tides of savagery. This is the subterranean water of a religion of class war known as Marxism, and in the nineteenth century it shot up and began to flood across the West. In the twentieth century it brought forth offshoots and derivatives called race war, gender war, gay war and lesbian war. In the twenty first century, these neoMarxist tribes multiplied still further and saw the addition of indigenous tribal war, transgender war and Islamic war, a veritable universe of tribes, endlessly increasing, united one and all by their hatred of reason, individual rights, liberal democracy and the world of the Enlightenment. Fifteen thousand kilometers away from the geologic treasure known as the Nilavarai Bottomless Well, the Devil's well of Marxism has shot up and produced an endless supply of hatred. It has shot up at a public research university in America, founded over a century ago on May 23, 1919. It has shot up at a member of a ten campus state university system in California, the largest state university system in the nation. It has shot up at the most applied to university in America, enrolling 32,000 undergraduate students and 15,000 graduate students every year. It has shot up at a university whose employees and alumni include sixteen Nobel Prize laureates, eleven Rhodes Scholars, two Turing Award winners, to Chief Scientists of the United States Air Force, one Pritzker Prize winner, seven Pulitzer Prize winners, two US Poet

laureates, one Gauss Prize winner and one Fields Medallist. Fifteen thousand kilometers away from the endless salubrious springs of the Nilavarai Bottomless Well, a fountain of Marxist, Islamist Jew hatred has shot up at the University of California at Los Angeles and made a mockery of the university's motto, *Let There Be Light*. In the twenty first century at the prestigious UCLA, in an illustration of just how sick America and the West have become, the darkness of an axis of Marxism, Islam and Jew hatred has descended and transformed the campus into a fever swamp threatening the survival of civilization. Listen to its terrifying program and hear the death knell of campus sanity tolled by the Marxist worshipers of antiEnlightenment. UCLA's program proclaims that a progressive university does not hire Jews or zionists because of their liberal capitalist points of view. It proclaims that a progressive university discriminates against students who reveal their Jewish identity. It proclaims that a progressive university prohibits Jewish students from practicing their religion. It proclaims that a progressive university keeps lists of Jewish students as lists of no hires. It proclaims that a progressive university excludes Jewish students from employment because Judaism and Israel equal capitalism which equals antiblackness, colorism, racism, white supremacy, xenophobia, homophobia, transphobia, sexism, misogyny, ableism and every form of hatred and bigotry known to mankind. It proclaims that a progressive university is a Palestinian support organization dedicated to the destruction of Israel. It proclaims that a progressive university promotes antisemitism, opposition to Israel and the exclusion of Jews from campus in the name of achieving diversity, inclusion, decolonization, and the highest form of fairness and equity. It proclaims that a progressive university is a fighter on the front lines of the Palestinian war to exterminate Jews in Israel from the river to the sea. It proclaims that a progressive university is a Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine from the liberal capitalist oppression brought down by Israel, and for the establishment of a state in which terror is sovereign and king. It proclaims that a progressive university is a foreign terrorist organization whose guiding ideals are antisemitism, antizionism, antiliberalism and the destruction of Western civilization: "A petition alleging that the Cultural Affairs Commission of the University of California, Los Angeles Undergraduate Students Association Council actively avoided hiring Jews because of their assumed zionist perspective was accepted by the USAC Judicial Board, according to a memorandum. A preliminary hearing will be held on Tuesday for the petition filed by the editor in chief of *Ha'Am*, UCLA's Jewish news magazine, Bella Brannon, on Monday against CAC Commissioner Alicia Verdugo. According to *Ha'Am*, the petition contended that every student who revealed their Jewish identity in their applications for CAC staff was rejected. One rejected applicant allegedly said they would need to observe Shabbat during the staff retreat, and another noted that as a Jew, one campus issue that was important to them was the right to express religious identity. In an allegedly leaked internal communication published by *Ha'Am*, Verdugo allegedly warned subordinates that 'lots of zionists are applying—please do your research when you look at applicants, and I will also share a doc[ument] of [a] no hire list during [the] retreat.' In another supposed leaked document, CAC laid out its hiring policy as reserving the right 'to remove any staff member who advocates antiblackness, colorism, racism, white supremacy, Zionism, xenophobia, homophobia, transphobia, sexism, misogyny, ableism, and any/all other hateful/bigoted ideologies.' *Ha'Am* noted that not only was the movement for the self determination of the Jewish people in their historic homeland singled out among political movements to be included among forms of discrimination, but antisemitism was not mentioned in the list. The petition reportedly argued that the references to zionism and the rejection patterns indicated that Jews were not hired because it was assumed that because they were Jewish, they were zionists. A UCLA spokesperson said the institution condemned all forms of discrimination and was reviewing the complaint 'as it is absolutely critical that every single member of our community is evaluated fairly for opportunities within student government.' USAC leadership was unable to comment on the proceedings because it is an ongoing case. Jewish Faculty at UCLA, which claims to represent Jewish and

nonJewish faculty at the institution, said on social media last Wednesday that CAC's use of the term *zionist* was code for being Jewish, and the word was being twisted 'into a slur to exclude Jews.' Former secretary of state Mike Pompeo weighed into the situation by arguing on X/Twitter on Tuesday that 'of the many examples of antisemitism driven by campus DEI, UCLA may be the worst.' Ha'Am noted that the Jewish magazine had previously accused Verdugo of denying access to four of its Jewish reporters to a November CAC event protesting the prohibition of on campus encampments. On October 9, in the wake of the October 7 massacre, Verdugo issued an official CAC statement declaring that it would continue to provide unwavering support to Palestinian 'liberation.' 'We also stand on the grounds that decolonization is not a metaphor,' read a CAC Instagram statement. 'Thus, we honor the Palestinians on the frontlines taking their land and sovereignty back! From the river to the sea, Palestine will be free.' The CAC Instagram post also recommended that followers read the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine terrorist organization's Strategy for the Liberation of Palestine. PFLP is designated as a foreign terrorist organization by the US State Department. Verdugo emphasized in the statement that the condemnation of Israel was not mutually exclusive with condemnations of antisemitism and assured that 'Judaism is separate from the political movement of zionism.' " (*UCLA Student Culture Group Accused Of Hiring Discrimination Against Jews* by Michael Starr). The University of California at Los Angeles proclaims that a progressive university prevents Jewish students from accessing campus activities. It proclaims that a progressive university deprives Jewish students of their civil rights. It proclaims that a progressive university is a staging ground for Palestinian terror. It proclaims that a progressive university is run by far left activists who assault journalists exposing their violence. It proclaims that a progressive university cancels activities in support of Israel, America and the West. It proclaims that a progressive university constructs metal barricades around proPalestinian protests to prevent Jewish students from entering. It proclaims that a progressive university is an administration of Marxist politics dedicated to transforming the land of Israel into a land of Islam and overthrowing liberal society: "US Judge Mark C. Scarsi slammed the University of California Los Angeles (UCLA) on Tuesday in a preliminary injunction ordering it to make sure Jewish students can access campus activities—or else cancel all activities. The order was the latest development in a case in which students sued UCLA to enforce their civil rights, after they were blocked from classes and activities by a large proPalestinian encampment in the center of campus this spring. Only students who denounced zionism—the right of Jews to self determination in the land of Israel--could pass. This author visited the site of the encampment in April and was assaulted by the activists at the site, who appeared to have been given full authority to exclude anyone they wished, including the media, by the UCLA administration. UCLA responded to the students' lawsuit by admitting that it had erected metal barricades around the encampment but claimed it had done so to prevent the protest from expanding. It said it was not responsible for the discrimination. In a blistering decision, Judge Scarsi expressed horror that Jewish students had been excluded." (*Federal Judge Slams UCLA Over Antisemitic Encampments* by Joel Pollak). The University of California at Los Angeles began its existence as a teachers' college, founded to educate teachers in liberal pedagogy and curriculum. In the twentieth century, it became a leading research university impacting the arts, culture, education, technology and healthcare throughout the world. In the twentieth century, it has ended its days transformed, becoming the venue of a cult rejecting liberal norms and the rule of reason in the arts, sciences and humanities. It has ended its days as a center of Marxist indoctrination seeking to program students into serving as assassins and sleeper agents, placing Israel, Jews and the West in their crosshairs.

CAUSE OF DEATH: RELIGION

Cancer is a disease condition in which cells grow and reproduce uncontrollably. Originating in one part

of the body, the cancerous cells invade and destroy surrounding organs. This process of cancerous spreading is called metastasis. There are over two hundred types of cancer and they are treated in a variety of ways, including surgery, chemotherapy and radiation therapy. In the mid nineteenth century, a cultural disease hit the the West, emanating from a mind in a state of fever. A German theologian named Karl Marx sat in a reading room of the British Museum, sat in the only nation in Europe which would grant him freedom of speech to express his nervous excitement, and composed tracts which galvanized the moral sewers of society. He composed tracts of class hatred and envy which demanded a revolution to overthrow freedom, violate the right of private property, share the wealth, nationalize businesses, and place absolute power into the hands of animal hordes. These hordes Marx called the proletariat, and the liberal enemies of the proletariat he called the bourgeois, and thus was born, on the pages of Marx's black gospel, a religion of mystical tribalism which rejected the Enlightenment values of reason, sanity, science, the evidence of the senses, individual rights and liberal democracy which made the West the envy of the world, and planted the seeds of a cancer which would begin metastasizing in the twentieth century. Rejecting human essentialism and universality championed by the Enlightenment, and dividing mankind into two enemy camps, Marx opened a fateful door called tribalism, and impelled by an internal dynamic and logic of secession, this religion brought forth an endless multiplication of tribes, united one and all by their opposition to the liberal capitalist system. Rejecting the notions of objective truth and science which formed the basis of the Enlightenment, Marxism and its nightmare progeny acquired widespread popular support in the twentieth century and assumed control over the Western cultural, political and academic establishment. In the twentieth century, the disease introduced by Marx became a cancer and spread into every sector of society, consumed homes, schools, offices and businesses, became a leading symptom of a new age of religion which had dawned, an age which in the twenty first century has seen the resurgence of Islam, Christianity and Orthodox Judaism, and helped to sound the death knell of civilization. In the twenty first century, the cancer of Marxism has spread uncontrollably and is so far advanced that no amount of cultural surgery, cultural chemotherapy or cultural radiation bombardment can reverse its course. It has eaten away at the soul of the liberal order and reduced civilization to a skeletal form. Listen to the twenty first century, Marxist manifesto of civilizational decay. It proclaims that a progressive university is an antiEnlightenment university which rejects the quest for truth discovered by empirical evidence, argument, inference, questioning, challenge and debate. It proclaims that a progressive university rejects the study of reality in favor mystical pseudosciences studying the theology of social justice. It proclaims that a progressive university rejects the inculcation of rational moral truths in favor of ideological indoctrination. It proclaims that a progressive university is a woke activist university which seeks to reach out and destroy constitutional government. It proclaims that a progressive university has smashed the ivory tower and thrown the debris of dispassionate, disinterested, scholarly inquiry into the streets and gutters. It proclaims that a progressive university rejects academic subjects and is a social movement to overthrow liberal traditions and institutions. It proclaims that a progressive university graduates students to advocate for far left revolution in offices, corporations and governments. It proclaims that a progressive university is a radical feminist university which condemns capitalism as a regime of oppression of women. It proclaims that a progressive university features women's and gender studies programs promoting a gender war against males and the capitalist system. It proclaims that a progressive university promotes lesbian politics and demonizes males as the mortal enemies of females. It proclaims that a progressive university is a neoAryan movement of female supremacy which condemns men as poisonous and condemns all heterosexual relations as the rape of women. It proclaims that a progressive university is a feminist university hosting feminist faculties, departments and curriculums, which rejects the Enlightenment notion of universal empirical science, and teaches feminist physics, chemistry, biology, sociology, anthropology, English, history, education, literature, the

arts and social work. It proclaims that a progressive university condemns capitalism as a regime of patriarchal oppression. It proclaims that a progressive university rejects empirical methods as male constructs and advocates for the wholesale acceptance of feminist dogmas. It proclaims that women constitute a master gender and are the source of all that is good in the world, while men constitute an inferior gender and are the source of all that is evil. It proclaims that a progressive university is a clearinghouse for feminist grievances, collecting and distributing messages of hatred of males. It proclaims that a progressive university rejects the Enlightenment search for truth and is an ideological crusade and campaign of subversion justified in the name of social justice. It proclaims that capitalism is a regime of oppression by whites. It proclaims that capitalism is a regime of oppression by Asians. It proclaims that capitalism is a regime of oppression by Jews. It proclaims that capitalism is a regime of oppression of indigenous people. It proclaims that capitalism is a regime of oppression of people of color. It proclaims that capitalism is a regime of oppression of gays and lesbians. It proclaims that a progressive society is a gay and lesbian society which has outlawed heterosexual behavior. It proclaims that a progressive society promotes the dogma of transgenderism. According to this, the empirically established fact that human gender is determined by genes and is coded in every cell in the body does not exist. Gender is not intrinsic or immutable but can be changed by human action or belief. Males who pretend to be females are transgender females, and females who pretend to be males are transgender males, and these pretenders are entitled to enjoy the legal rights and privileges real females and real males enjoy. Not only can males change into females, and females change into males, but people can be both male and female at one and the same time, and can be neither male nor female, and can possess an indefinite number of genders. Today's establishment proclaims that capitalism is a regime of oppression against transgenders and two spirit or multiply gendered people. It proclaims that capitalism is a regime of systemic racism and sexism. It proclaims that a progressive society promotes the intersectional politics of those who are members two or more master tribes, and is ruled by black lesbians, disabled male gays, autistic females and disabled black transgenders. It proclaims that a progressive university rejects as racist and sexist the liberal notions of merit, achievement, equal opportunity and academic standards, and admits, funds, hires and rewards the victims of the white, male, heterosexual, nontransgender capitalist system: women, nonwhites, gays, lesbians, bisexuals and transgenders. It proclaims that universities which uphold liberal ideals and reject these policies of discrimination must be punished financially and put out of business. It proclaims that a progressive society is a discriminatory society which targets whites, males, heterosexuals, nontransgenders, Asians and Jews for exclusion and elimination, and peddles its discrimination as the achievement of diversity, equity, inclusion, and the highest form of fairness and equity: "The Enlightenment inspired higher education that I encountered during my 1958-72 studies at Antioch College and the University of Chicago, and at McGill University for much of the time when I was a professor there beginning in 1968, was conceived as a quest for the truth. The means for this quest was an evidence and argument process in which questioning and debating evidence and inferences were meant to elicit the soundest, the nearest to reality understandings about the world. But in the latter decades of the 20th century, the Enlightenment quest eroded and was superseded during the first decades of the 21st century by a new vision, which was labeled 'social justice.' Setting aside the quest for truth through evidence and argument, social justice was a moralizing approach, asserting allegedly known moral truths that must be indoctrinated and implemented, and never questioned or challenged. The new woke university was devoted to changing society according to its new moral vision through internal structural changes at the university and outward directed activism. No more ivory tower: into the streets, corporations, and governments they would spread 'social justice' enlightenment. This transformation of our universities began with the Women's Movement of the late 1960s. Set free from the constraints of biology by the arrival of the birth control pill, women organized to free themselves, not unjustly, from the constraints

of society by demanding gender equality. Nascent women's studies programs were established by the demands of some in many universities. The women's movement was conceptualized as a fight against the patriarchy, thus introducing the neoMarxist concept of class conflict between evil oppressor males and innocent victim females. Female college students were in some institutions urged not to date the enemy, but to commit to political lesbianism. But it did not take long before the women's movement morphed into the feminist movement, and 'gender equality' morphed into a quest for female supremacy. This was justified by men being characterized as toxic, violent, and heterosexual relations, married or not, construed as rape." (*What Can We Do About Our Corrupted Universities?* by Philip Carl Salzman). The Greek philosopher Socrates advised young people to look at themselves in the mirror for one of two reasons. If they were beautiful, they should strive to become worthy of their beauty, and if they were ugly, they should strive to compensate for their ugliness throughout a life of learning. Today's citizen of the West looks at himself in the mirror and sees death staring back at him. He sees the symptoms of a moral sickness in its terminal stages of development. He sees the reversion of society into a savage jungle transpire under the auspices of religion. Proceeding under the flags of Marxism, Islam, Christianity, Judaism and other religions new and old, this movement of time reversal is taking the world on a journey into the abyss.

GERMANY TODAY: ISLAM BLOODIES THE PUBLIC SQUARE

On December 21, 2024, the Christmas market website for Magdeburg, Germany went black. On the screen were only words of mourning for what had happened the day before and the announcement that the Christmas market was over. What happened the day before was one more attack on Germany by Islam. On December 20, at 7:04 PM, Taleb Al Abdulmohsen, a refugee from Saudi Arabia who had immigrated to Germany in 2006 and was granted refugee status in 2016 with a lifetime settlement and residence permit, drove a black BMW into a crowd at the market at high speed. The car had traveled 400 meters before killing five people, including a child, and injuring 205. The market was reduced to pools of blood and a carpet of emergency blankets. At the nearby St John's Church, hundreds of people created a memorial for the victims decorated with flowers, toys and lit candles. They knew who had driven that deadly car. They knew there were multiple drivers in that car in addition to Taleb Al Abdulmohsen. They knew that the Western cultural and political establishment drove that car. They knew that Western multiculturalism drove that car. They knew that Western open borders drove that car. They knew that Western refugee programs drove that car. They knew that Muslim mass migration into the West drove that car. They knew that the Western media drove that car. They knew that *Wir Schaffen Das!* or *Yes, We Can!* drove that car. They knew that Angela Merkel drove that car. As they mourned the dead at the Magdeburg Cathedral and saw flags lowered to half mast throughout the nation, they saw a car manned by an axis power comprised of Marxism and Islam slam into a nation and leave the corpse of liberal society in its wake. The physical driver of the car was not who he said he was. Those who knew Taleb Al Abdulmohsen, such as Mahyar Tousi, Iranian filmmaker and founder of Yousi TV, and Maral Salmassi, Iranian-German businessman, knew that he was a practitioner of *taqiyya*, the Islamic tactic of engaging in secrecy and dissimulation in order to infiltrate free societies and place Islam into power. He was a Shia extremist who pretended to be nonIslamic and antiIslamic in order to gain asylum. They knew he was a member of global Islamic conspiracy to overthrow Western governments. World renowned human rights activist Yasmine Mohammed knew that he regularly threatened those who left the faith: "Taleb Al Abdulmohsen, the 50 year old who killed 5 people and injured 200 at a Christmas market in Germany isn't an exMuslim, claim other exMuslims, some of whom have known him for years. They say the Saudi attacker was using the Islamic concept of *taqiyya* to hide his identity, and warn of a bigger conspiracy. Taleb worked as a doctor and claimed to be an exMuslim atheist. The information didn't add up for many and a large section of exMuslims are

claiming that Taleb wasn't an exMuslim at all, and it was a big conspiracy." (*ExMuslims Claim Germany Christmas Market Attacker Isn't ExMuslim, Warn Of Bigger Plot* by Yudhajit Shankar Das). Sitting alongside Taleb Al Abdulmohsen in his car, spreading death and terror at the Magdeburg Christmas market, were the Western media. The media refused to name the murderer of five people because he is from Saudi Arabia. The media concealed the terrorist's identity because he is a Muslim. The media refuse to expose the perpetrator of an atrocity because the media protect the agents of the Marxist-Muslim axis power which controls the establishment. There is a monster on a rampage throughout the world. It breathes the fires of violence and has multiple heads. Rejecting news reportage in favor of political propaganda, these heads have such names as Associated Press, *The Guardian*, CNN, CBS and BBC, and their goal is to peddle the myth of Muslim supremacy and lead a movement and conspiracy to overthrow the free world. Their latest victims were five people at the Magdeburg Christmas market on December 21, 2024: "Major media outlets, including the Associated Press, are facing criticism for their coverage of the car attack at a German Christmas market. The headline carried by the news agency Associated Press, *A Car Has Driven Into A Group Of People At A Christmas Market In Germany*, has been accused of downplaying the driver's role, suggesting the incident was an autonomous act by the vehicle. The backlash intensified after video evidence emerged, clearly showing the driver being apprehended at the scene. US Vice President elect JD Vance was among those who added his voice to the debate, questioning the language used in the reporting. 'Who was driving the car,' asked JD Vance. A community note on X (formerly Twitter) also flagged the AP headline for its misleading nature, clarifying, '*A car has driven* implies the car drove itself, which is factually incorrect. A man from Saudi Arabia intentionally drove the car into the Christmas market as a terror attack.' The AP's headline, along with those from other outlets, triggered a wave of criticism online. A user posted, 'So everyone is clear, this is what *a car has driven into a group of people* looks like. Nothing close to the passive headline that the AP wrote. Just another example of why you don't hate the LM (Legacy Media) enough.' Others questioned whether the media's phrasing was an attempt to avoid highlighting the driver's background, with one poll asking, 'Is the Associated Press deliberately avoiding mentioning the driver to downplay the growing global hostility toward Christians?' A person added, 'Based on the AP's reporting we are to believe the helpless Saudi man in the driver's seat was a victim of the car kidnapping him.' A person added, 'Based on the AP's reporting we are to believe the helpless Saudi man in the driver's seat was a victim of the car kidnapping him.' 'Was it driverless? How did the car drive into a market intentionally all on its own? *Saudi Arabian terrorist drives car into market killing many*. Fixed it for you, read a comment. Elon Musk also took aim at legacy media, including the AP. He wrote on X, 'You don't hate the lying legacy media enough.' *The Guardian* also faced similar backlash for its headline that described the vehicle as a "dark BMW" without mentioning the driver. Sharing a screenshot of the headline in *The Guardian*, a person said, 'Hey *The Guardian*, I'm pretty sure a *dark BMW* didn't decide to drive into a crowd enjoying their Christmas market. You know as well as we do that a man who was arrested at the scene was responsible for this atrocity so give the insulting narrative a rest.' " (*"Who Was Driving?" JD Vance, Musk Slam "Misleading" Headlines On Germany Attack Involving Saudi Man*, NDTV News Desk, December 21, 2024). Sitting alongside Taleb Al Abdulmohsen in his car, spreading murder and mayhem at the Magdeburg Christmas market, were Western refugee programs. These programs committed nine counts of murder and 200 counts of attempted murder with grievous bodily harm. These programs claimed the life of a nine year old child. These programs gave a Muslim Marxist activist, promoting the cause of Saudi Arabian refugees, the right to live in Germany and a license to murder its citizens: "The suspected perpetrator of the Magdeburg Christmas market attack was reportedly an asylum seeker activist who was promoted by legacy media outlets, including the BBC. *Update 1700*: Prosecutors have announced that the suspect has been charged with five counts of murder and 200 counts of attempted murder with dangerous

bodily harm. They revealed that one of the slain victims was a nine year old child and that 41 people were seriously injured and, therefore, the death count may continue to rise, *Welt* reports. Senior public prosecutor Horst Walter Nopens said that the suspect acted alone and said of his motive: 'According to the current situation, the background could have been dissatisfaction with the treatment of Saudi Arabian refugees in Germany.' " (*Christmas Market Attack Suspect Was A Saudi Arabian 'Leftist' Asylum Activist Promoted By BBC* by Kurt Zindulka). Sitting alongside Taleb Al Abdulmohsen in his car, spreading doom and destruction at the Magdeburg Christmas market, was Muslim mass migration. Created by the German establishment with the aim of Islamizing the West, Muslim mass migration has succeeded in its aim and rendered Germany unrecognizable. Commenced by Angela Merkel and supported by Germany's major political parties, the Christian Democrats, the Social Democrats, the Free Democrats, the Greens and the Left Party, Muslim mass migration has opened the nation's gates to the forces of subversion, sabotage and Saudi Arabia, and sentenced five people to death at a Christmas market in Magdeburg on December 21, 2024: "The leader of the antimass migration Alternative for Germany (AfD) party Alice Weidel has surged to the top of the polls of preferred chancellors in the upcoming February elections. In a survey conducted by the INSA polling firm for the *BILD* newspaper, AfD leader Alice Weidel surged by three points over the previous week to 24 points, surpassing the leader of the centrist neoliberal Christian Democratic Union (CDU) Friedrich Merz, who fell by one point to 20 per cent since the previous week. Meanwhile, Chancellor Olaf Scholz, the current candidate for the leftist Social Democrat Party (SPD) languishes in third place, falling one point from last week to 15 per cent support. Scholz is followed by his Economic Minister Robert Habeck, the chancellor candidate for the Greens, at 14 per cent. The survey, which polled 1,005 people, was conducted between December 18th and 19th, the day before the Christmas market attack in Magdeburg that left at least five dead and over 200 injured. The attack, carried out by a migrant from Saudi Arabia, is likely to play a major role in February 23rd elections. Although the attack happened under the watch of Chancellor Scholz, the suspected attacker was reportedly granted asylum in 2016, under the CDU government of Angela Merkel." (*Populist AfD Leader Alice Weidel Surges To Top Of German Chancellor Polls Ahead Of February Election* by Kurt Zindulka). Millionfold Muslim migration: this is Germany in the twenty first century. Millionfold Muslim migration: the Marxist-Muslim policy to rob the nation of its freedom and independence and send it into the orbit of international Islam. Millionfold Muslim migration: its name is Taleb Al Abdulmohsen, the killer of five people at the Magdeburg Christmas market on December 21, 2024, Anis Amri, the killer of thirteen people at the Kaiser Wilhelm Memorial Church Christmas market in Berlin on December 19, 2016, and Marxists and Muslims throughout the nation at home, in school, at work, living next door, around the corner and across the street, who have stabbed Germany in the back.

LOCKED INSIDE THE MIND

A spider's web is a structure created by a spider from its silk producing organs. The spider builds the web to catch its prey. The organs are called spinneret glands and they are located in the spider's abdomen. Spiders began making silk from their own substance hundreds of millions of years ago when they moved from water to land. The spider's web is a metaphor for the subjectivism characterizing philosophy and the sciences today. Proclaiming that man produces his own perceptions and ideas from his own consciousness in the manner in which a spider creates its web from its own substance, and that man's perceptions and ideas refer to nothing physical in reality, subjectivism has been the leitmotif of Western thought since the time of Descartes, and the latter's proclamation that the existence of physical objects is not self evident, and only thing man can be certain of is just the existence of his own mind. From this proclamation of the "prior certainty of human consciousness" and the "I think" as the sole existence which can be directly known, subjectivism was off and running and has poisoned philosophy

and the sciences for the past four hundred years. Listen to the avatars of subjectivism and hear insanity pervade the thought of a culture whose technical achievements have brought miracles to mankind, and see a tragedy, in the dichotomy between subjectivist thought and technological action, the likes of which history has never seen before. After Descartes, subjectivist ideas rained down in endless numbers, and caused the illness and death of the West through radioactive particles carrying psychosis and schizophrenia. Listen to Immanuel Kant proclaim in his *Critique Of Pure Reason* that "I have found it necessary to deny knowledge in order to make room for faith." Listen to him proclaim that human perception is a filter and screen which prevents all knowledge of reality, and that man is blind because he has eyes, is blind when his eyes function, and sees nothing when he sees something. Listen to John Locke proclaim that physical objects do not exist because reality is an immaterial "something I know not what." Listen George Berkeley proclaim that physical objects do not exist because reality is constituted of ideas perceived by the mind and "to be is to be perceived." Listen to David Hume proclaim that physical objects do not exist because reality is unknowable and man perceives only his own ideas and impressions. Listen to G. W. F. Hegel proclaim that physical objects do not exist because reality is Absolute Mind. Listen to Friedrich Nietzsche proclaim that physical objects do not exist because reality is the Will to Power. Listen to Gottlob Frege proclaim in *On Sense And Reference* that meaning is not necessarily reference, as common sense affirms, and the meaning or "sense" of a word does not necessarily refer to anything in reality. Listen to Edmund Husserl proclaim that physical objects do not exist because reality is unknowable and man perceives only the phenomena, forms and essences of consciousness. Listen to John Dewey proclaim that physical objects do not exist because reality is plastic and malleable "experience" which is shaped by human needs and interests. Listen to Martin Heidegger proclaim that physical objects do not exist because reality is *Dasein* or human consciousness. Listen to Ludwig Wittgenstein proclaim in *Philosophical Explanations* that physical objects do not exist because words mean nothing and refer to nothing in reality, "look not for the meaning, but for the use" and "treat of the network and not what the network describes." Listen to Stephen Toulmin proclaim in *The Philosophy of Science* that that physical objects do not exist because substances are unknowable: "Nothing in geometrical optics gives us any occasion to discuss the question *what* is it that travels. So far as geometrical optics is concerned, it is enough that we have as the grammatical subject of our sentences the bare substantive *light*, and it does not matter whether or not we can say anymore about it. We know that light starts off from lamps, stars and other shining bodies, and ends up on illuminated surfaces: all we need ask, therefore, in geometrical optics are the questions, 'Where from? Where to? And by what path?' The whole of geometrical optics could have been, and much in fact was developed, without there being real backing for any particular answer to the question, 'What is it that travels?' Even the question, 'How fast? Was answered by Romer in 1676 from observations on the eclipses of the satellites of Jupiter, before any substance had been given to the bare grammatical substantive *light*." Listen to critical theory proclaim that physical objects do not exist because reality is a construct of gender, race and class ideologies, and true philosophy is critical of the Enlightenment belief that all thought proceeds from empirical observations. Listen to W. V. O. Quine proclaim that physical objects do not exist because reality is a cultural posit and what are called physical objects possess no greater reality than the Homeric gods: "Physical objects are conceptually imported into the situation as convenient intermediaries not by definition in terms of experience, but simply as irreducible posits comparable, epistemologically, to the gods of Homer. But in point of epistemological footing, the physical objects and the gods differ only in degree and not in kind. Both sorts of entities enter our conceptions only as cultural posits." Listen to Jurgen Habermas proclaim that physical objects do not exist because reality is that which is manufactured by society, culture, interaction and communication. Listen to postmodernism proclaim that physical objects do not exist because reality is constituted of language and text and all true philosophy is postEnlightenment

philosophy which rejects empirical methods. Listen to Jacques Derrida proclaim that physical objects do not exist because reality is constituted of texts which are endlessly deconstructible or interpretable. Listen to Thomas Kuhn proclaim in *The Structure Of Scientific Revolutions* that physical objects do not exist because reality is manufactured by man's concepts and thought patterns called paradigms, and perceptions are the perceptions of nothing: "The operations and measurements that a scientist undertakes in the laboratory are not 'the given' of experience but rather 'the collected with difficulty.' They are not what the scientist sees—at least not before his research is well advanced and his attention focused. Rather, they are concrete indices to the content of more elementary perceptions, and as such they are selected for the close scrutiny of normal research only because they promise opportunity for the fruitful elaboration of an accepted paradigm. Far more clearly than the immediate experience from which they in part derive, operations and measurements are paradigm determined. Science does not deal in all possible laboratory manipulations. Instead, it selects those relevant to the juxtaposition of a paradigm with the immediate experience that that paradigm has partially determined. As a result, scientists with different paradigms engage in different concrete laboratory manipulations." Listen to Karl Popper proclaim in *The Philosophy Of Karl Popper* that physical objects do not exist because reality is shaped by human opinions: "[T]here *are* no such things as good positive reasons; nor do we need such things. But [philosophers] obviously cannot quite bring [themselves] to believe that this is my opinion, let alone that it is right." Listen to C.I. Lewis proclaim in *Mind And The World Order* that physical objects do not exist because reality is that which is determined by man's concepts and is not that which is perceived by man. An analysis of reality is nothing more than an analysis of man's concepts. What is experienced is a product of antecedent forms and categories. The choice of conceptual systems is arbitrary, subjective, instrumental and pragmatic, and has nothing whatever to do with empirical observations: "The construction here attempted turns principally upon three theses: (1) Apriori truth is definitive in nature and rises exclusively from the analysis of concepts. That reality may be delimited apriori, is due, not to forms of intuition or categories which confine the content of experience, but simply to the fact that whatever is denominated real must be something discriminated in experience by criteria which are antecedently determined. (2) While the delineation of concepts is apriori, the application of any particular concept to particular given experience is hypothetical; the choice of conceptual systems for such application is instrumental or pragmatic, and empirical truth is never more than probable. (3) That experience in general is such as to be capable of conceptual interpretation, requires no peculiar and metaphysical assumption about the conformity of experience to the mind or its categories; it could not conceivably be otherwise. Since this point of view will be likely to acquire some sort of label in any case, I shall venture to give it one myself and call it conceptualistic pragmatism. Without the earlier conceptions of Peirce, James, and Dewey, especially Peirce, it would probably not have been developed. But these more orthodox pragmatists should not, of course, be made responsible for this view as a whole nor, particularly, for the doctrine of apriori truth which is included." Listen to Paul Feyerabend proclaim in *Against Method* that physical objects do not exist because reality is whatever people find fit, expedient or useful to believe. Reality is a product of human decision. The method of empiricism and the belief in the existence of physical objects are naive and simple minded and have no place in progressive, sophisticated science: "Anarchism, while perhaps not the most attractive political philosophy, is certainly excellent medicine for epistemology, and for the philosophy of science. The reason is not difficult to find. 'History generally, and the history of revolution in particular, is always richer in content, more varied, more many sided, more lively and subtle than even' the best historian and the best methodologist can imagine (*Left Wing Communism: An Infantile Disorder* by V. I. Lenin). History is full of 'accidents and conjunctures and curious juxtapositions of events' and it demonstrates to us the 'complexity of human change and the unpredictable character of the ultimate consequences of any given act or decision of men'. (*The Whig*

Interpretation Of History by Herbert Butterfield). Are we really to believe that the naive and simple minded rules which methodologists take as their guide are capable of accounting for such a 'maze of interactions'? And is it not clear that successful participation in a process of this kind is possible only for a ruthless opportunist who is not tied to any particular philosophy and who adopts whatever procedure seems to fit the occasion?" The light produced by stars in the sky has been traveling for millions of years, and a number of the stars producing the light are now extinct. The light observed is a remnant of stars which no longer exist. The astounding progress made by the sciences, technology and engineering in the twenty first century is the product of an extinct star. The progress is generated by fading light. This is the light of the Enlightenment, an age long since repudiated by the West. The Enlightenment's bedrock belief in the existence of physical objects was rejected by mainline philosophers as soon as it was proclaimed and has never been an integral part of Western philosophy. The scientific and technical progress achieved by the West today is an existence on borrowed time and is close to death.

AMERICA'S HEARTLAND HOSTS SUBVERSION

For well over a century and a half the people of Indiana have been called Hoosiers. It is one of the oldest of state nicknames and has had a wider acceptance than most. True, there are Buckeyes of Ohio, the Suckers of Illinois and the Tarheels of North Carolina--but none of these has had the popular usage accorded Hoosier. (Indiana Historical Bureau). Indiana. The Hoosier State. The official demonym for the people of the nineteenth state of the American Union, admitted on December 11, 1816, the name is a synonym for the settlers who built it up from the wilderness, simple people, rough people, woodsmen and yokels, and has been, since its adoption over 150 years ago, a byword for common sense. An idea or system of beliefs based on common sense is held to be a "thing for Hoosiers." In the twenty first century, the Hoosier State has revoked its storied commitment to sound judgment and fallen into line. It has joined the trend of America and descended into a fever swamp of radicalism and revolution. It has become a faithful voice of the people's "progressive" party line and policy of political correctness which forms the basis of the American establishment, and is at present the Hoosier State in name only. In the twenty first century, Indiana has taken up arms on behalf of the number one religion of our time and donned the battle fatigues of Marxism. At a gargantuan system of public educational institutions established over two and half centuries ago, a system whose student body exceeds 100,000 and academic staff exceeds 10,000, and is spread out over nine campuses, the Marxist war against the Enlightenment is underway with nonstop volleys raining down poison on students and faculty. At Indiana University, the monster of Marxist identity politics has burned down the grounds, buildings, schools and centers, and left political indoctrination and mental destruction in its wake. At Indiana University, the motto, *Light and Truth*, has been rendered null and void, and the university's colors, cream and crimson, have been replaced by the pure deep red of the Soviet star signifying global destruction for the sake of a social religion. The first point in this star was class war, but over the decades, new points were added, the products of natural evolution and mutation. Today this expanded star shines over Indiana University, projecting the dark light of a thousand points of Marxist reversion to the Stone Age of tribal and clan politics. Illuminating just how sick the West has become in the twenty first century, the new multipoint star of neoMarxism has blinded the students of the largest and oldest university in the Hoosier State, located in the heartland of America, shut down their critical faculties, taken away their right of free speech, and reduced them to Manchurian candidates programmed to kill. Listen to Indiana State University's new curriculum for the new millennium and hear a course of study advocating the overthrow of liberal traditions and institutions. It proclaims that capitalism is a regime run by privileged, dominant white males. It proclaims that capitalism is a regime of white male oppression. It proclaims that people must be judged on the basis of the identity groups to

which they belong. It proclaims that capitalism is a regime which subordinates blacks to whites. It proclaims that capitalism is a regime of social oppression. It proclaims that capitalism is a regime of exploitation of the poor, blacks, women, gays, lesbians and bisexuals. It proclaims that people are not people; people are not flesh and blood individuals; but are phantom abstractions of tribes of gender, race, class, culture and sexual orientation. It proclaims that white people are an oppressor race and must apologize for their crime of being white and atone for their original sin by giving away their freedom and wealth to blacks. It proclaims that a progressive university is a college of Marxist arts and sciences. It proclaims that a progressive university grades students on the basis of their support for gender, race and class politics and expels them if they come out in support of liberal individualist values. It proclaims that a progressive society is officially and systemically racist and sexist against white males in the name of achieving pluralism. It proclaims that a progressive society is a racist and sexist society which discriminates against white males in the name of achieving race and gender diversity. It proclaims that a progressive society discriminates against whites and is ruled by a coalition of blacks and hispanics in the name of achieving race and ethnic diversity. It proclaims that a progressive society is ruled by a coalition of black women. It proclaims that some white students may find being white to be disturbing and distressing because of white original sin, and such students should be assisted, guided and given therapy by sensitive progressive psychologists. It proclaims that a progressive society is ruled by a global coalition uniting blacks in Africa and throughout the diaspora. It proclaims that a progressive university only hires and admits blacks and women, and calls its racist and sexist hiring and admissions policies the achievement of diversity, inclusion and the highest form of fairness and equity. It proclaims that a progressive university requires all candidates for employment or admissions to sign statements expressing unswerving support for racist and sexist diversity, equity and inclusion policies. It proclaims that a progressive university reviews the positions of all faculty members every five years to ensure continued support for racist and sexist diversity, equity and inclusion policies. It proclaims that a progressive university is a Marxist monoculture which prohibits intellectual diversity and the free and open exchange of ideas and opinions. It proclaims that a progressive university is a racist university which offers race based scholarships to black students. It proclaims that a progressive university violates liberal rules, laws, constitutions, and civil rights and equal protection acts, and is a campus crusade for communism colored black, hispanic, female, gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender and indigenous: "An Indiana University class required students to determine their 'privileged' and 'dominant' identities—like being white or male—so that they could 'address issues of oppression.' The mandatory exercise was part of a course that fulfils the school's 'social and historical studies' requirement needed to graduate. Students were instructed to write an 'in depth reflection of two parts of [their] identity: one subordinate identity and one dominant identity,' according to documents obtained by the *Washington Free Beacon*. The final assignment for the eight week course, worth 26 percent of the final grade, assumes that each student has at least one identity group that gives them power over their peers and another that leads to their oppression. Students were given a chart showing examples of 'dominant' identities—like being white—and 'subordinate' identities—like being black—and separated them by 'manifestations of social oppression,' such as classism, racism, and heterosexism. Effectively, the chart shows that straight, white males are dominant over everyone else. Or, put another way, everyone else is subordinate to straight, white, Christian males, according to the chart. Some students, however, may find it difficult to find subordinate identities since the dominant traits are, in fact, predominant in America. One student told the *Free Beacon* about having had to 'make something up' to complete the exercise. 'I'm being punished through an assignment for my identity as a person, and that's just crazy,' the student said. 'I had to make something up and I don't enjoy doing that.' 'It's very rich that in a class where we are supposed to be talking about identity and not suppressing identity, I'm forced to suppress my own identity,' the student continued. 'I have to suppress myself because I'm presumed to

be some privileged, horrible human being that didn't grow up without food. That's insane to me.' After identifying the subordinate and dominant groups they belong to, students were tasked with reflecting on their privileges, listing the groups they held dominance over, and exploring ways to reshape their relationships with subordinate groups. 'You will gain insight into your experiences of identity in the US, including both advantages and disadvantages you have experienced related to these identities,' the instructions read. 'Self awareness of identity, as well as experiences of privilege and oppression, serve as the basis for understanding the complexity of identity and how you can address issues of oppression.' The class, *Understanding Diversity in a Pluralistic Society*, fulfills Indiana University's 'social and historical studies' requirement. Other classes that suffice include *Ancient and Medieval Art* and *Introduction to Archaeology*. The class, however, does not satisfy the 'diversity in the United States' requirement for students enrolled in the College of Arts and Sciences. Classes that do fulfill the requirement include *Black Women in the Diaspora*, *American Ethnic Diversity*, and *Sexual Diversity*. Colleen Rose, the course instructor, did not provide guidance on how students should complete the assignment if they do not identify with any 'subordinate' groups, according to the student. She did, however, include an optional written note on a submission form allowing students to share concerns about the assignment. Rose, who 'has close to 20 years of leadership experience in higher education with Indiana University,' also serves as the director of student engagement at the university's Center for Rural Engagement, where she 'leads student based initiatives,' according to her online bio. Rose did, however, encourage her students to avoid writing about 'traumatizing' aspects of their identities. 'If it is sensitive for you to reflect about a particular aspect of your identity, choose something different,' the instructions read. 'Sometimes students have trauma or other reasons that makes thinking about that identity difficult. This reflection should be constructive, not retraumatizing.' Indiana is one of six states that passed antiDEI laws this year." (*Indiana University Requires Students To Detail How Their Privilege Caused 'Oppression' Over 'Subordinate Groups'* by Jessica Costescu). *Bring on tomorrow. We're focused on building a better future today* proclaims Indiana University to its students. Enforcing Marxism in the twenty first century, the university leads an antiEnlightenment religion of identity politics. Proclaiming that capitalism is a regime of oppression against women, nonwhites, gays, lesbians, bisexuals, transgenders, Muslims, the disabled and the poor, Indiana University has brought on a movement of social reversion and the long night of yesterday, and extinguished the light of liberal education.