

MARXIST SHOOTS TRUMP

By A. J. Reffes

Progress Publishers

For Kathy, friend, mentor and wife. *Stupor Mundi.*

Copyright © 2024 by A. J. Reffes. All rights reserved. Published by Progress Publishers, Berlin, Germany.

TABLE OF CONTENTS	
INTRODUCTION	3
HYPertexts AND HYPERLINKS TO WORLD REVOLUTION	4
FREEDOM GAGGED	6
DESCENT OF A MIND	8
AN ISLAMIC HORROR SHOW CALLED GERMANY	10
SOCIALISM: THE THEORY AND PRACTICE OF MASS MURDER	12
PLANE CRASH BURIES EUROPE	15
CLIMATE HOAX SHUTS DOWN SCIENCE	17
THE STRANGER	19
GLOBAL LEGIONS OF MARX AND MUHAMMAD	22
MARXIST COLLEGE CLOSES ITS DOORS	24
BRITAIN CANCELS FREE SPEECH	26
REDSHIRTS AND BROWNSHIRTS TAKE OVER BRITISH PARLIAMENT	28
CITIZEN KANE'S COMMUNIST MANIFESTO	31
TOTALITARIANS TAKE CONTROL OF FRANCE	33
RUSSIA'S REVOLT AGAINST CIVILIZATION	35
THE SNAKE PIT	38
THE WRECKAGE OF PHILOSOPHY	40
SOCIOLOGY UNDER THE RED STAR	42
MARXIST SHOOTS TRUMP	44
THE KILLERS	46

INTRODUCTION

These reports are intended for a general, nonspecialist audience. They run the gamut of the arts and sciences, historical and current events, and should provide the reader with useful introductions to given topics. May they encourage a few happy hours of suggestive thought!

HYPertexts AND HYPERlinks TO WORLD REVOLUTION

It is a crowd sourced encyclopedia, the first of its kind. Born on January 15, 2001 as "the free encyclopedia that anyone can edit," it has become the largest and most read reference work in the history of mankind. It boasts over twenty billion monthly page views and over sixty million articles written in hundreds of languages. Founded by internet entrepreneurs Jimmy Wales and Larry Sanger, this publication, edited and managed by its own audience, is called *Wikipedia*, and it reflects the political coloration of the masses as no other publication does. In contrast to conventional encyclopedias such as *Encyclopedia Britannica*, which is maintained by expert editors and contributors, *Wikipedia* emanates directly from the masses and directly records the sympathies of the masses without check, balance, intermediaries or review of any kind. If the masses reject individual rights, *Wikipedia* reflects this. If the masses reject limited government, *Wikipedia* reflects this. If the masses reject reason, *Wikipedia* reflects this. If the masses reject the values of the Enlightenment, *Wikipedia* reflects this. If the masses support gender, race and class politics, *Wikipedia* reflects this. If the masses support socialism of any stripe or variety, *Wikipedia* reflects this. If the masses support Marxist revolution and the overthrow of free societies, *Wikipedia* reflects this. Whatever the masses think and feel *Wikipedia* puts on its pages, archives online and fixes in cyberspace for all time to come. The foregoing conditional sentences about the masses are in fact stark realities and have been stark realities for one hundred years. They encapsulate the phenomenon observed by civilized people that Marxism and its various derivatives and mutations has been the number one mass religion of our time since the early years of the twentieth century. Thus Marxism has served as the main text of *Wikipedia* since its inception, and its advocacy by *Wikipedia* is the masses' online global declaration of war against civilization, a cyber declaration which has hurled civilization into the dustbin of history. Read and written by the masses in numbers skyrocketing into the billions, *Wikipedia*, in contrast to *Encyclopedia Britannica*, is Marxist crowd bias. It is the Marxist consensus. It is Marxist unanimity. It is Marxist mass conformity. It is Marxist aggregation. It is Marxist opinions. It is a Marxist camp. It is Marxist antiscience and antischolarship. It is Marxist words and phrases. It is Marxist ideology. It is Marxist fundamentalism. It is a Marxist fever. It is Marxist bias. It is Marxist politics and economics. It is a Marxist code. It is Marxist stories. It is the Marxist fabrication of data. It is the democratic will of the Marxist majority and a billion person landslide vote online to abolish freedom: "Zhu [Feng Zhu] and Greenstein [Shane Greenstein] have long been interested in the question of crowd bias, which itself has been hotly debated by scholars in many fields including psychology and politics over the centuries. Are two heads better than one, or do too many cooks spoil the broth? Does the collective will of the majority lead to democratic consensus or fundamentalist groupthink? The massive, ongoing natural experiment of *Wikipedia* offers a unique view into these questions. 'The Internet makes it so easy for people to aggregate; some scholars worry that people will self select into groups with a similar ideology,' says Zhu. As a result, the Internet may lead to more biased opinions, which only harden over time as users separate into rival virtual camps. To test this theory, Zhu and Greenstein took a database of terms developed by University of Chicago economists Matthew Gentzkow and Jesse Shapiro to examine newspaper bias. Gentzkow and Shapiro studied speeches in the 2005 *Congressional Record* to scientifically identify the top 500 unique phrases used by Democrats (eg, tax breaks, minimum wage, fuel efficiency) and Republicans (eg, death tax, border security, war on terror), rating each according to political slant. Zhu and Greenstein then identified some 4,000 articles that appeared in both *Encyclopedia Britannica* and *Wikipedia*, and determined how many of each of these code words were included, in an effort to determine overall bias and direction. They found that in general, *Wikipedia* articles were more biased—with 73 percent of them containing code words, compared to just 34 percent in *Britannica*. In almost all cases, *Wikipedia* was more left leaning than

Britannica. Dividing articles into categories, the researchers found, for example, that stories on corporations were 11 percent more slanted toward Democrats, while observing similar leanings on topics such as government (9 percent), education (4 percent), immigration (4 percent), and civil rights (3 percent). Other categories did not have enough data to significantly identify bias." (*Wikipedia Or Encyclopedia Britannica: Which Has More Bias?* by Michael Blanding). *Wikipedia* is the premiere online symptom of the degeneration of the West. A free online encyclopedia created and edited by Red Guard volunteers throughout the world, it the premiere hypertext and hyperlink to a monster and its mutated forms. *Wikipedia* is the world's largest online megaphone for a Marxist gorgon marked *gender, race and class politics*. *Wikipedia* repudiates facts, fairness and neutrality in its campaign of political propaganda. *Wikipedia* sells Marxism in multicolored, rainbow boxes marked *progressivism*. *Wikipedia* sells Marxism in the name of centrism. *Wikipedia* is intolerant of nonMarxist viewpoints and media sources, and censors anything and everything deemed unacceptable when viewed from its red windows of radicalism and revolution. *Wikipedia* is the Marxist mainstream promoting disloyalty to free institutions. *Wikipedia* is the online branch of the global Marxist media monopoly. *Wikipedia* has purged every last voice of freedom from its pages. *Wikipedia* is a Marxist journal, telegraph, times and standard which is partisan and prejudiced down to its periods and commas. *Wikipedia* is Marxist misinformation and fake news. *Wikipedia* is a global online promoter of Marxist mental disorders. *Wikipedia* is black conspiracy theories. *Wikipedia* is feminist conspiracy theories. *Wikipedia* is conspiracy theories peddled by gays and lesbians. *Wikipedia* is conspiracy theories peddled by psychotics who deny the existence of DNA and proclaim they are members of the opposite sex. *Wikipedia* is international Marxist business. *Wikipedia* is the premiere digital world of the populist global Marxist order. *Wikipedia* is the suppression of ideas which deviate to the slightest degree from the Marxist party line. *Wikipedia* is Marxist controlled debate and discussion. *Wikipedia* is a cyber attack dog which is Marxist owned and operated. *Wikipedia* is hermetically sealed cyberspace in which Marxist slogans propagate faster than the speed of light. *Wikipedia* is Marxist ideological adherence, marching and emulation. *Wikipedia* presents a Marxist range of views and a Marxist range alone. *Wikipedia* is a disgrace to the ideals of freedom and tolerance which founded the internet. *Wikipedia* is Marxist chains around conscience and belief. *Wikipedia* tolerates no disagreement or controversy directed against Marxism. *Wikipedia* peddles Marxism as the highest form of democracy. *Wikipedia* is a Marxist conversation without challenge, criticism or examination of any kind. *Wikipedia* is an organ of Marxist power, control, manipulation, Manchurian candidates and the assassination of the freedom's defenders: "*Wikipedia* openly repudiates neutrality, and therefore it is shamelessly hypocritical in how it continues to pay lip service to its 'neutral point of view' policy *Wikipedia's* editors embrace their biases sometimes so fervently that their articles emerge more as propaganda than as reference material. only globalist, progressive mainstream sources—and sources friendly to globalist progressivism—are permitted. It is true that *Wikipedia* permits a few sources, such as *Wall Street Journal*, *Financial Times*, *Daily Telegraph*, and *Weekly Standard*, which are more often tolerant of conservative viewpoints, but these are (or have become) as often centrist as conservative, and they are generally careful never to leave the current Overton Window of progressive thought. They are the 'loyal opposition' of the progressive media hegemony. Why has *Wikipedia* systematically purged conservative mainstream media sources? Is it because such sources have become intolerably irresponsible and partisan? That's what Wikipedians will tell you. As they put it, it is because they do not want what they dismiss as 'misinformation,' 'conspiracy theories,' etc, to get any hearing. In saying so, they (and similarly biased institutions) are plainly claiming exclusive control over what is thinkable. They want to set the boundaries of the debate, and they want to tell you how to think about it. A good illustration of just how radical *Wikipedia's* source banning policies have become can be seen in their treatment of *Newsweek* magazine, which is now marked as 'no consensus (ie, avoid and use with caution)',

because ownership passed in 2013 to IBT Media, the publisher of the centrist, sometimes conservative leaning, *International Business Times*, which is itself deemed 'unreliable.' For these reasons, it is not too far to say that *Wikipedia*, like many other deeply biased institutions of our brave new digital world, has made itself into a kind of thought police that has de facto shackled conservative viewpoints with which they disagree." (*Wikipedia Is More One Sided Than Ever* by Larry Sanger). In the landscape of the internet sits a poisoned well. It is touted as a well of information and education. Its waters are in fact contaminated by Marxist antiEnlightenment and the rotting corpse of freedom. This well is *Wikipedia*. The masses have looked into it and seen a reflection of themselves.

FREEDOM GAGGED

A gag is an order preventing information or opinions from being made public. Free societies prohibit gag orders because they establish censorship through prior restraint, while statist societies deploy gag orders as a stock in trade. In the twenty first century, the former leader of the free world and the preeminent opponent of prior restraint has stopped the presses rolling against tyranny. Its masses have turned their backs on the nation's heritage of freedom and legacies of the Enlightenment. In the twenty first century, the nation's masses have brought to a culmination the movement they voted into power a century before and have outlawed freedom of speech. This movement peddles human slavery in the name of progressivism and human sacrifice in the name of social justice. This movement is known as socialism and it is the number one movement of the disenchanting and the disaffected who crowd streets, neighborhoods, families, homes, schools and offices during the twilight stages of civilization. This movement has swept across the world and is a cancer on society, a cancer which spreads without respite and without reprieve. Its greatest trophy is the nation which defended freedom from its watchtowers and its arsenals through three world wars including the Cold War, and helped to tear down the Berlin Wall. In the twenty first century, a form of socialism called Marxism has ravaged the United States of America and suppressed the few voices of freedom remaining in the nation. America in the twenty first century is a nation bound and gagged by popular vote, and its establishment leads a national march of ideological lockstep. In the twenty first century, America has been covered over by Marxist cloth and civilized people have been prevented from speaking truth to power. In the twenty first century, the focal point of the nation's freedom opposition has been targeted for total destruction. In the twenty first century, Donald Trump and the countermovement against Marxism he leads has been subjected to a campaign of nullification and interdiction without precedent in the history of the nation, a campaign whose creed has pushed the West into the dustbin of failed civilizations. Listen to the proclamation of this creed and hear a movement to destroy freedom the likes of which history has never seen before. This creed proclaims that all criticism of party political prosecutors and their weaponization of the law is dangerous speech and must be suppressed. It proclaims that speech which condemns the nation's Marxist legal system as devoid of integrity must be suppressed. It proclaims that speech which advocates defensive violence against aggressive Marxist judges must be suppressed. It proclaims that speech which condemns the Marxist rigging and corruption of the legal system must be suppressed. It proclaims that speech which condemns partisan Marxist juries must be condemned. It proclaims that speech which does not join forces with the Marxist establishment must be suppressed. It proclaims that speech which condemns Judge Arthur Engoron's levying a fine of a half a billion dollars against Donald Trump for a crime which had no victims, a crime in which there was no fraud, a crime in which nobody lost any money and nobody filed any complaints, must be suppressed. It proclaims that speech which condemns the Marxist use of the legal system to damage and delegitimize the opposition must be suppressed. It proclaims that speech which condemns Marxist directed legal systems must be condemned. It proclaims that speech which condemns Judge Juan Merchan's refusal

to disqualify himself in a case against Trump because the judge made financial contributions to Joe Biden and his daughter is a Democrat Party fundraiser and activist, must be suppressed. It proclaims that speech which condemns accusations against Trump which have no basis in fact must be suppressed. It proclaims that speech which condemns trials against Trump which are politically motivated must be suppressed. It proclaims that speech which condemns legal proceedings which place Marxist interests above the public interest must be condemned. It proclaims that speech which condemns a justice system in which a person's political affiliation determines whether he or she will be held accountable for a crime, and Marxists are never punished, never see the inside of a courtroom and never see the inside of a jail cell, must be suppressed. It proclaims that speech which condemns political prosecutions conducted without evidence of any kind must be suppressed. It proclaims that speech which condemns Marxist bar associations demanding ideological lockstep from lawyers must be suppressed. It proclaims that speech which condemns a Justice Department which conducts investigations of political opponents in order to destroy them must be suppressed. It proclaims that speech which condemns threats made by public officials against courts defending the rule of law must be suppressed. It proclaims that speech which condemns Marxist plans and conspiracies must be suppressed. It proclaims that speech which condemns Marxist hurricanes of illegality must be suppressed. It proclaims that speech which condemns the accepted theory and practice of our time, the theory and practice of a movement of tribalism which reduces individuals to race, genders, classes and sexual orientations, and declares war against successful, white, heterosexual, biological males who do not claim to be women, must be suppressed: "The Connecticut Bar Association is warning lawyers against criticizing the politicized prosecutions of President Donald Trump because that could become 'dangerous rhetoric.' The association recently dispatched to members a message signed by Maggie Castinado, its president, James T. Shearin, president elect, and Emily Gianquinto, vice president. They warn against 'reckless words' that attack the integrity of the nation's judicial system. They charged, 'In the wake of the recent trial and conviction of former President Donald Trump, public officials have issued statements claiming that the trial was a 'sham, a hoax, and rigged; our justice system is corrupt and rigged; the judge was corrupt' and highly unethical; and, that the jury was partisan and precooked.' There also have been comparisons to communist show trials, in which the defendant is guilty and the court hearings are simply to create the appearance of a judicial proceeding. The bar missive claims, without documenting whether those charges are, in fact, true, those statements are 'unsubstantiated and reckless.' And they can 'provoke acts of violence against' members of the judiciary. Further, they 'sow distrust in the public for the courts.' 'Those comments', the association claims, 'cross the line from criticism to dangerous rhetoric.' The attempt to suppress speech with doesn't align with the politics of the association comes as a number of Democrat prosecutors continue their courtroom assaults on Trump. Already, Arthur Engoron, a judge in New York, has demanded a punishment of nearly half a billion dollars for the way Trump negotiated loans for his corporation, practices that industry insiders described as routine. In fact, no one lost money or complained and the bankers involved wanted to do more business with Trump. And Manhattan District Attorney Alvin Bragg took claims of business reporting misdemeanors that already had passed the statute of limitations and claimed they were felonies because they led to a further, unspecified, crime. A jury in the leftist enclave of New York convicted Trump in a decision that now is on appeal. Several other cases, such as a prosecutor allegedly being appointed improperly and another with ethical clouds because the DA had hired her paramour, with tax money, to work her allegations against Trump, have significant obstacles looming. Now Jonathan Turley, the Shapiro Professor of Public Interest Law at George Washington University, an expert witness on the law before Congress and popular commentator, said the message from the association is 'chilling' for those lawyers who see the lawfare against Trump as 'raw political prosecution.' He noted that the officials are demanding 'members to speak publicly in support of the

integrity of these legal proceedings.' He noted the reality is something else. 'For example, criticizing Judge Juan Merchan (who heard the business records case) for refusing to recuse from the case is considered beyond the pale.' But, he noted, 'Many lawyers believe that his political (financial) contributions to Biden and his daughter's major role as a Democratic fundraiser and activist should have prompted Merchan to remove himself (and any appearance of a conflict).' His own opinion is that Merchan's rulings were biased. He said it's likely that verdict will be overturned, and he blames not the jury 'but rather the judge and the prosecutors for an unfounded and unfair trial.' He identified the problem with the bar demands is the 'suggestion that lawyers are acting somehow unprofessionally in denouncing what many view as a two tier system of justice and the politicalization of our legal system.' Evidence? 'Notably, the bar officials did not write to denounce attacks on figures like Bill Barr or claims that the Justice Department was rigging justice during the Trump years.' Further, those officials never published warnings about attacks on conservative justices and labeling them 'insurrectionist sympathizers.' It didn't worry the bar when Senator Richard Blumenthal, D-Conn, threatened judges with 'seismic changes' or Senator Chris Murphy, D-Conn, called the Supreme Court 'corrupt.' " (*State Bar Quaking, Warns Lawyers Not To Criticize Lawfare Against Trump* by Bob Unruh). They are a staple of crime fiction used by malefactors seeking to silence their victims. They are pieces of cloth pulled over a victim's mouth and tied at the back of his head. They are called gags, and in America in the twenty first century, they have sprung out of the pages of fiction, grown to monstrous proportions, blanketed families, homes, schools and offices, and silenced those who defend the ideas which gave birth to a nation.

DESCENT OF A MIND

He commenced his illustrious career in a state of cognitive normality, holding ideas planted firmly in reality. This world famous physicist, recipient of honorary degrees from eighteen universities, and winner of the Albert Einstein Award, the Enrico Fermi Award, the National Medal of Science and the J. Robert Oppenheimer Memorial Award, embarked upon his studies of nature promoting the sane man's creed, the creed of realism, common sense and ordinary experience. This creed affirms that reality is constituted of physical objects which are as they are. It affirms that man is a conscious being and is conscious of something, that a consciousness held to be conscious of nothing but itself is a contradiction in terms, and that man thus perceives physical objects. This creed affirms that the doctrine of subjectivism, which proclaims that man does not perceive physical objects but only his own mental states, is a contradiction in terms, because it is the doctrine that man is blind because he has eyes, and perceives nothing because he perceives something and has a functioning visual system. In mid career, this man's descent into insanity commenced. He rejected the creed of the sane man and went down the path of psychotic withdrawal. He proclaimed that physical objects do not exist and what we perceive is an illusion. He proclaimed that reality is constituted of fields, forces, space and geometry. His break with reality was at this time only partial because he still affirmed that reality is physical in character. The final stage of his career saw a free fall into insanity and a total break from reality. He now proclaimed that reality is constituted of pure mind, which he termed "information". There are no particles in nature, no fields in nature and no physicality of any kind in nature, just pure mind or information, in various states of connection and complexity. Thus was reached stage two of his inner disease and his terrifying death as a mentally functioning individual. Hallucinations, disordered thoughts and a loss of all sense of an external world: these are the symptoms of schizophrenia; this was the final stage of the mental collapse of the late Professor John Archibald Wheeler; and his descent into darkness was a symptom of the times in which we live and mirrored the decline and fall of the West. Look at the mental development of John Wheeler and see the culmination of a movement of madness

begun centuries before in the West, a movement whose early voices were the elevation of *Cogito* or "I think" above the existence of the physical world as the sole certainty known to man by Rene Descartes, and the proclamation by Immanuel Kant that "I have found it necessary to deny knowledge in order to make room for faith." Now look at John Wheeler's full denial of the validity of perception in the final stage of his mental disorder. His first stage rejects the affirmation, made during his brief time of sanity, that particles and particles alone are the primary existents. His first stage proclaims that fields are the primary existents, fields which exist without particles, fields which are mediated by nothing, fields which are carried by nothing, fields which are fields of nothing. Now in his final stage he hits rock bottom with the belief that information is the primary existent, information which exists apart from physical facts, information which consists of details and particulars of nothing: "In 1932-1952, like most all physicists, he presumed that elementary particles are nature's most fundamental building blocks, so he focused his research on particle physics and nuclear physics, and in a related detour he devoted great ingenuity and energy to the development of nuclear weapons. In 1952-1976, he focused on curved spacetime, as embodied in Einstein's general relativity and its quantization, as nature's more likely most fundamental building block; and from 1976 onward he focused on quantum information as the most likely foundation for all we see." (*John Archibald Wheeler: 1911 to 2008. A Biographical Memoir* by Kip Thorne). Look at Wheeler's successive embrace of three ontologies during the course of his career. His first ontology is the ontology of sanity which affirms that everything is particles. His second ontology opens the door to his schizophrenia and proclaims that particles do not exist and everything is fields. His final ontology walks through the gates of ignorance and unknowing and feeds on the blue flowers of mental blank out in the proclamation that everything is information without physicality of any kind: "In his autobiography, Wheeler describes being successively in the grip of three metaphysical ideas: Everything Is Particles, Everything Is Fields, and then, at the end of his career, Everything Is Information. Elaborating on the last of these ideas, Wheeler wrote: 'The more I have pondered the mystery of the quantum and our strange ability to comprehend this world in which we live, the more I see possible fundamental roles for logic and information as the bedrock of physical theory.' " (*How Informational Realism Dissolves The Mind-Body Problem* by William Dembski). Look at John Wheeler hit rock bottom in the process of his mental collapse and proclaim the following tenets of a raving madman. Nothing physical exists, no physical particles, no physical continuums, no physical fields, no physical forces, no physical space and no physical time, but only mind, language and bits of information. What we call reality is in fact completely nonphysical and linguistic in character. The universe is theory and information without objects of any kind. Man is not an observer of reality but a participator in and creator of reality. Reality is devoid of physical structures, plans, organizations and frameworks and is an endless, sealed night of pure consciousness. Physical objects are phantasms and deceptions, and reality is empty, insubstantial and pure nothingness. There are no physical objects or numbers and the belief that there are is a product of religion and superstition. Nothing exists which transcends consciousness and what is called existence is nothing more than consciousness. Existence is logic and information which are the logic and information of nothing. Reality is a product of our conceptual schemes and has no existence outside the mind. What are called physical objects are nothing more cultural posits and pragmatic fictions and have no greater reality than the myths of Homer. What is called reality is nothing more than a mode or condition of the mind and the hallmark of philosophic enlightenment consists in the realization that nothing exists: "*It from bit*. Otherwise put, every *it*—every particle, every field of force, even the space time continuum itself—derives its function, its meaning, its very existence entirely—even if in some contexts indirectly—from the apparatus elicited answers to yes or no questions, binary choices, *bit*. *It from bit* symbolizes the idea that every item of the physical world has at bottom—at a very deep bottom, in most instances—an immaterial source and explanation; that what we call reality arises in the last analysis from the posing

of yes-no questions and the registering of equipment evoked responses; in short, that all things physical are information-theoretic in origin and this is a participatory universe. To the question, 'How come the quantum?' we thus answer, 'Because what we call existence is an information-theoretic entity.' But how come existence? Its as bits, yes; and physics as information, yes; but whose information? How does the vision of one world arise out of the information gathering activities of many observer-participants? In the consideration of these issues we adopt for guidelines four no's. First no: 'No tower of turtles', advised William James. Existence is not a globe supported by an elephant, supported by a turtle, supported by yet another turtle, and so on. In other words, no infinite regress. No structure, no plan of organization, no framework of ideas underlaid by another structure or level of ideas, underlaid by yet another level, by yet another, ad infinitum, down to a bottomless night. To endlessness no alternative is evident but loop, such a loop as this: Physics gives rise to observer-participancy; observer-participancy gives rise to information; and information gives rise to physics. Existence thus built on 'insubstantial nothingness'? Rutherford and Bohr made a table no less solid when they told us it was 99.9. percent emptiness. Thomas Mann may exaggerate when he suggests that 'we are actually bringing about what seems to be happening to us,' but Leibniz reassures us, 'Although the whole of this life were said to be nothing but a dream and the physical world nothing but a phantasm, I should call this dream or phantasm real enough if, using reason well, we were never deceived by it.' A half century of development in the sphere of mathematical logic has made it clear that there is no evidence supporting the belief in the existential character of the number continuum. 'Belief in this transcendental world,' Hermann Weyl tells us, 'taxes the strength of our faith hardly less than the doctrines of the early Fathers of the Church or of the scholastic philosophers of the Middle Ages'. This lesson out of mathematics applies with equal strength to physics. 'Just as the introduction of the irrational numbers is a convenient myth which simplifies the laws of arithmetic, so physical objects,' Willard Van Orman Quine tells us, 'are postulated entities which round out and simplify our account of the flux of existence. The conceptual scheme of physical objects is a convenient myth, simpler than the literal truth and yet containing that literal truth as a scattered part.' " (*Information, Physics, Quantum: The Search For Links* by John Archibald Wheeler). *To see a World in a Grain of Sand/ And a Heaven in a Wild Flower,/ Hold Infinity in the palm of your hand/ And Eternity in an hour.* Thus speaks William Blake in *Auguries Of Innocence*. In the mad grains, the mad sands, the mad flowers, the mad infinities and the mad hours of John Wheeler are a world in its final stages of disintegration. Mirrored in the madness of John Wheeler, this world is the West of the present day.

AN ISLAMIC HORROR SHOW CALLED GERMANY

Not one of them would sit down, or eat a bit of any thing. On expressing my surprise at this, they were all taboo, they said; which word has a very comprehensive meaning; but, in general, signifies that a thing is forbidden. (*A Voyage To The Pacific Ocean* by James Cook). Thus writes Captain James Cook describing his visit to Tonga, when he invited members of the Tongan aristocracy to have dinner with him aboard his ship. Taboo: a social group's ban on something based on the group's determination that it is offensive. Taboos have been present in all societies throughout history and have been banned by legal systems and moral judgments. Among the most prominent taboos have been cannibalism, the killing of relatives and family members, and the desecration of the dead. In the twenty first century in Germany, there is a taboo strictly enforced by the establishment, a taboo banned in every neighborhood, family, home, school and office, a taboo banned in every square centimeter and sector of society in this ancient, storied land. It is a taboo banned by a Marxist dispensation which in a twenty first century landslide has assumed control over the nation, a dispensation whose censors demand absolute political correctness in all thought, speech and action. A breach of this taboo brings down a

rain of destruction upon the individual the likes of which he has never seen before, a punishment which includes stigmatization, expulsion and imprisonment. This taboo is a ban on proclaiming the true cause of the violence sweeping the nation. The cause cannot be named because it is a movement which with the Marxist establishment has formed an alliance in its war against liberal democracy. The members of this movement, like their Marxist partners, are moved by a passionate hatred toward the values of the Enlightenment and have made common cause with the Marxist preachers of gender, race and class politics. This movement is known as Islam and its alliance with Marxism forms an axis present in every nation of the West. In Germany, this axis has banned the public recognition that Germany has descended into a savage jungle ruled by the followers of Islam. Listen to the truths which cannot be spoken out loud and cannot be spoken to power, and see a regime of ideological lockstep sprung from the playbook of the Third Reich and the Soviet Union. **Truth.** Islamic violence has caused severe and extensive damage to Germany. **Truth.** Islam is a scourge on German society. **Truth.** Open borders to Islam and the promotion of multiculturalism have sent sudden increases in violent crime surging through the nation. **Truth.** Violence in Germany wears a dark face: young, male and Islamic. **Truth.** The main circumstance and situation driving violence in Germany is the Islamic community. **Truth.** Islamists are present in crime statistics as perpetrators far out of proportion to their numbers in the general population. **Truth.** Knives have made streets flow with blood across the nation, knives gleaming with the passion of Islam. **Truth.** Every day in Germany sees spells of unrestrained stabbings perpetrated by Islamists. **Truth.** Chanting verses from the Quran, Islamic knifemen have stabbed Germany to death. **Truth.** German law enforcement has been assassinated by the black hand of Islam. **Truth.** Islamic terrorists operating under the guise of asylum seekers have placed public squares in the grip of fear. **Truth.** A tidal wave of Islamification, a wave of alien hordes from the East, a wave of migrants with strange names, strange faces and strange passports, has swept away public safety and security and transformed the nation into a theater of war: "Young foreign males are the driving force behind the violence ravaging Berlin, the chief of the German capital's police force admitted. In an interview with broadcaster NTV, Berlin Police President Barbara Slowik tacitly conceded that the open borders agenda of the German government has led to more crime on the streets of its largest city. 'To put it bluntly: according to our figures, the violence in Berlin is young, male and has a nonGerman background. This also applies to knife violence,' Slowik said. 'The police crime statistics distinguish between German and nonGerman suspects. And in recent years we have seen an increase in violent crime overall, as well as in the number of nonGerman criminals in the capital. NonGermans are overrepresented,' she explained. The police chief went on to say that there has been a definite increase in knife crime among younger offenders, especially among children. She also said that her officers have reported that they have noticed that 'respect is declining among parts of the population. The threshold for attacking a police officer, even if it is just by shoving them, has also dropped significantly.' The frank comments from the Berlin Police President come after a string of high profile stabbings carried out in recent weeks by Afghan migrants. In one instance, a failed asylum seeker from Afghanistan went on a stabbing spree in Mannheim at a rally against the Islamification of Germany. The migrant knifeman stabbed multiple people, including a police officer, who ultimately died of his wounds. This was followed last week by another knife rampage by an Afghan migrant, who stabbed a fellow Afghani to death before wounding another three people. Last year saw a dramatic rise in violent crime among foreigners, making up 79,088 suspected violent criminals in 2023, a 14 per cent increase over 2022 when 69,086 nonGermans were suspected of violent crime. Commenting on the rise of violent crime among foreign populations within Germany, the *Welt am Sonntag* newspaper wrote: 'One thing is certain: many people's sense of security has deteriorated. These are incidents that have shaken the country: knife attacks again, again committed by people who had fled war zones and found a new home in peace in Germany. And who then themselves became a threat to public safety. Violent crime

continues to rise, and people without a German passport are significantly overrepresented in the statistics. Nobody is talking about isolated cases anymore.' " (*Berlin Police Chief: Young Foreign Males Behind Violent Crime Wave* by Kurt Zindulka). Look at Germany today and see a reality which cannot be told. **Reality.** Germany's regime of multiculturalism and open door immigration have filled to overflowing the ranks of its violent criminals with the followers of Islam. **Reality.** The criminals posing the greatest threat to Germany today are not German, not Western, not civilized and Islamic. **Reality.** A disproportionate number of evil doers subverting freedom in Germany are Islamic. **Reality.** Germany's rate of violent crime is a raging inferno fueled by Islamic petrol. **Reality.** Germany's preeminent criminals lowering the curtain on its civilization are Islamic thieves, terrorists and violent offenders: "Nearly six in ten suspects in violent crime cases in Germany were committed by foreign migrants, according to federal police crime statistics released this week, sparking debate over the country's open door immigration system. NonGerman foreigners make up a disproportionate number of suspected criminals and nearly 60 per cent of violent crime suspects, despite making up just 14.6 per cent of the population, statistics released by the Federal Criminal Police Office on Tuesday revealed. According to the data, foreigners without a German passport represented 111,517 suspects alleged of violent crimes out of the total 190,605 suspects for the country as a whole, or 58.5 per cent, broadcaster NTV reports. The figures also showed that while the number of suspected German violent offenders rose by 2.2 per cent over the previous year, the number of nonGerman suspected violent offenders increased by 14.5 per cent, contributing to an overall rise of 8.6 per cent in violent crime reports over the previous year and the highest level since 2007. Reports of theft also saw an increase of 10.7 per cent over the previous year, with nonGermans accounting for 187,000 out of the total 424,000 suspected thieves. Excluding immigration crimes, the number of nonGermans suspected of any crime rose by 17.8 per cent in 2023 to 923,269 suspects, representing nearly half of the 2.25 million total suspected criminals last year." (*Migrants Make Up Nearly Six In Ten Violent Crime Suspects in Germany* by Kurt Zindulka). Look at Germany today and see a land abandoned and overrun by a cancer from an alien culture. The cancer stands revealed in the form of Afghan citizens, assailants killing in the name of Allah, and knives sharpened to a razor's edge and running riot. The cancer has spread into every institution in society and rendered the nation unrecognizable: "German police shot to death an Afghan man after he fatally attacked a compatriot and later wounded three people watching the televised opening game of the Euro 2024 soccer tournament in eastern Germany, police said Saturday. The 27 year old Afghan citizen first attacked a 23 year old compatriot with a 'knife like object' in Wolmirstedt, a small town about 130 kilometers west of Berlin, on Friday evening, police said. The victim later died of his wounds. The man later attacked a group of people watching the soccer game between Germany and Scotland in the yard of a private house, injuring three German men, two of them seriously, police said. Police said the assailant, still armed with the same object, also attacked officers called to the scene. Two of the officers shot and wounded the man, who died soon after in a hospital." (*Afghan Migrant Shot And Killed After Going On Stabbing Rampage In Germany*, Associated Press, June 15, 2024). A nightmare has come alive in Germany. It is the nightmare of an Islamic attack on civilization reversing the victory of the West at the Battle of Tours. It is the nightmare of a nation subverted and stabbed in the back by its Marxist ruling circles.

SOCIALISM: THE THEORY AND PRACTICE OF MASS MURDER

Murder: when a person, of sound memory and discretion, unlawfully kills any reasonable creature in being and under the king's peace, with malice aforethought, either express or implied. Thus writes William Blackstone in his *Commentaries On The Laws Of England*, setting forth the definition of murder. In their studies of political systems, civilized people have reeled back in horror at one

particular system they have come across. They can scarcely believe what they have seen and read concerning this system, but the evidence in the proclamations of its pages and the actual blood and gore flowing through the streets is irrefutable. Civilized people have identified socialism as the theory and practice of mass murder and the diametrical opposite of all systems of humanitarianism. Socialism is mass murder because it advocates killing in the name of a myth. A myth is a belief which is not true. The myth is thus nothing more than an excuse for murder. It is an excuse provided for the acts of thrill killing, excitement killing, tensional release killing, psychopathic killing, and the killing of innocent, unarmed civilians. Because it proceeds on the basis of myths, socialism is nothing more than the intent to kill, the theory of killing and the practice of killing innocent people. The history of socialism reveals a plethora of myths deployed to justify the intent to commit mass murder. Marxism justifies the intent in the name of the liberation of the proletariat from the oppression and exploitation of the bourgeois. The proletariat is defined as the class of people who are wage earners, are unskilled, and do not work with the use of their minds. This class does not in fact exist because all human beings, in whatever work they perform, from sweeping the streets to performing scientific research, work with the use of their minds. It does not exist because the definition of man is that of a rational animal, a being whose distinguishing characteristic is the capacity to reason, a being who survives and succeeds by the use of his reason. The bourgeois is defined as the class of skilled knowledge workers who work with the use of their minds. These are proclaimed to do no work and to oppress and exploit the unskilled, manual laboring proletariat. Since every person who works does so by the use of his mind, every person who works is a member of the bourgeois, and the advocacy by Marxism of the expropriation and extermination of the bourgeois is a justification to commit the greatest act of mass murder possible or imaginable. The genocides committed by Marxist regimes in the Soviet Union, Maoist China and Pol Pot's Cambodia, justified as killing the bourgeois enemies of the proletariat, add up to tens of millions of victims from all walks of life, genocides which faithfully carried out Marx's program of mass murder. National socialism justifies the intent to murder in the name of the liberation of the Aryan race. The Aryan race is proclaimed to be a synonym for an alleged Nordic race. The Nordic race does not in fact exist. The term *Nordic* in fact refers to an ethnic subgroup of the white race, a white ethnicity which includes Anglo-Saxons, Germanic peoples, Celts, Balts and Slavs. Hitler's national socialism, a variant of Marxism which substituted race politics for class politics, launched a holocaust against the Jews in the name of protecting the mythical Aryan race, and engaged in thrill killing, excitement killing, tensional release killing, psychopathic killing, and the killing of innocent, unarmed civilians under the guise of conducting a race war. Read Marx's words and see the construction of a myth, the myth of the proletariat. See a psychopathic intent to kill innocent people justified in the name of lifting the oppression suffered by this mythical proletarian class. See the justification provided for the intent to murder members of the bourgeois, which in reality include anybody and everybody on the planet who works, and to commit an act of mass murder dwarfing all previous acts of mass murder: "The history of all hitherto existing society is the history of class struggles. Freeman and slave, patrician and plebeian, lord and serf, guildmaster and journeyman, in a word, oppressor and oppressed, stood in constant opposition to one another, carried on an uninterrupted, now hidden, now open fight, a fight that each time ended, either in a revolutionary reconstitution of society at large, or in the common ruin of the contending classes. In the earlier epochs of history, we find almost everywhere a complicated arrangement of society into various orders, a manifold gradation of social rank. In ancient Rome we have patricians, knights, plebeians, slaves; in the Middle Ages, feudal lords, vassals, guild-masters, journeymen, apprentices, serfs; in almost all of these classes, again, subordinate gradations. The modern bourgeois society that has sprouted from the ruins of feudal society has not done away with class antagonisms. It has but established new classes, new conditions of oppression, new forms of struggle in place of the old ones. Our epoch, the epoch of the bourgeois, possesses, however, this

distinct feature: it has simplified class antagonisms. Society as a whole is more and more splitting up into two great hostile camps, into two great classes directly facing each other--Bourgeois and Proletariat." (*Communist Manifesto* by Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels). Look at the theory and practice of socialism and see the theory and practice of disturbed and disordered impulses. See violence for the sake of thrills, excitement and tensional release justified in the name of politics. See criminal devastation justified in the name of staging a revolution. See rioting without reason justified in the name of fighting fascism and protecting black lives. See psychopathic violence perpetrated under flags marked *Weather Underground*, *Black Panthers*, *Days of Rage*, *Summer of Floyd*, and *Hamas*. See a civil war commenced by a movement of resistance to civilized norms, a movement which engages in killing for the pleasure of killing, a movement called left wing: "Google the term *political violence* and try to find coverage of leftwing violence in American news. Try to find mainstream articles labeling as 'political violence' the incalculable devastation and deaths wrought by the Marxist revolutionaries of Black Lives Matter and the anarchic shock troops of Antifa. The mainstream media has previously whitewashed BLM rioting as 'mostly peaceful protests,' and defended the brownshirts of Antifa as just 'an idea, not an organization,' as Biden himself stated. Despite the disinformation and bias in Big Tech resources like Google, and the relentless gaslighting and projection from the left wing mainstream media like MSNBC and Democrat leaders like Maxine Waters, the demonstrable truth is that all but a fraction of political violence—not only recently but for decades—is attributable to the Democrat Party. From the Weather Underground and the Black Panthers to today's Hamas supporters, violent revolution drives the left. If Trump loses in November—which will only happen through massive Democrat voter fraud—it is possible that some fed-up Trump supporters may lash out in violence. Indeed, I wrote recently about the very possibility that we are on the verge of a hot civil war, which another stolen election could trigger. But that would pale in comparison to the level of left wing political violence we will witness if Trump wins in November. It will dwarf the unrest this country has suffered over the last 60 years, including during the 1969 Days of Rage and the Summer of Floyd rioting of 2020. And still the media will label it 'mostly peaceful,' justifiable 'resistance' to the perceived fascism of Donald Trump and his voters who just want to make America great again." (*Democrats: The Party of Political Violence* by Mark Tapsen). Look at the theory and practice of socialism and see the rhetoric and reality of rank criminality. See assassinations perpetrated for the sake of pleasure and justified in the name of socialism, communism and class consciousness. See bomb throwers and terrorists kill innocent people in the name of Occupy Wall Street, Black Lives Matter and animal rights. See Marxists of all stripes and varieties, gender Marxists, race Marxists and class Marxists, together with other Marxist derivatives, such as the Animal Liberation Front, the Earth Liberation Front, Greenpeace, the Sea Shepherd Conservation Society, People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals, and Earth First!, place nations in the grip of fear by blasts of extremism justifying mindless murder and mayhem: "After a Bernie Sanders campaign volunteer attempted to assassinate Republican members of Congress in Virginia, many on the left began insisting that 'both sides' need to moderate their violent political rhetoric. But history proves that most major incidents of political violence and assassination attempts were committed by leftists in the United States. At the turn of the 1900s, when American leftism adopted a more Marxist, class conscious bent found in the socialist, communist, and anarchist movements that often overlapped, political violence kicked into high gear in the United States as it had in Europe. While the old continent succumbed to those leftist trends and incorporated them into its politics, the US never quite did. But that didn't stop extremist groups from perpetrating a long list of acts of political violence, which continues from the 1900s era anarchists all the way to the Occupy Wall Street and Black Lives Matter movements today. It began over one hundred years ago as the United States became afflicted with extremist actions perpetrated by ideological bomb throwers and assassins. Ecoterrorism and animal rights activists have also been responsible for numerous acts of

violence starting in the 1970s and continuing right up to today. Members of groups such as the Animal Liberation Front, the Earth Liberation Front, Greenpeace, the Sea Shepherd Conservation Society, People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals, and Earth First! have all been identified as perpetrators of acts of terror over the last thirty years by the FBI." (*Acts Of Terror: A History Of Left Wing Political Violence In America* by Warner Todd Huston). A helter skelter is a fairground attraction resembling a lighthouse. It consists of a spiral slide winding around a tower. Fairgoers climb up a flight of stairs and then slide down the spiral. In homes, schools and offices throughout the West is a message scrawled out in blood. The message reads *helter skelter*. It has announced the fall of the West in a downward spiral into violence. This violence is the handiwork of socialism. Proclaiming that the state, the community or a gender, race and class tribe has the right to own the mind, body and property of the individual, to commit acts of aggression against him and sacrifice his life on the altar of its needs and interests, socialism has been the number creed of violence in the history of mankind. It is doctrine which in its theoretical formulation is uncaring, uncompassionate and unconcerned with human welfare. It is a doctrine of mass murder and has made rivers of blood flow in the modern age.

PLANE CRASH BURIES EUROPE

October 10, 1933. United Airlines Flight 23 crashes near Chesterton, Indiana. The flight carried three crew and four passengers. At 9 PM at an altitude of three hundred meters, the aircraft bursts into flames and all on board are killed. FBI investigations establish bombing as the cause of the explosion. This is the first bombing of a commercial airliner in the history of commercial aviation. Over the past century, bombing attacks on commercial airliners have been all too frequent occurrences and have composed a timeline of terror which has darkened the skies. But they have been dwarfed by the damage and destruction inflicted on the doomsday flight Europe was on when it crashed in the twenty first century. The bomb was planted by a religion which rules the West in the present day. It is a religion fouling the air and water on the continent which gave the world freedom. It is a religion voted into power by the masses who stabbed their continent in the back. Centuries ago, their continent invented light; it set into motion a Scientific Revolution and an Age of Enlightenment which championed the rule of reason, objective truth, the evidence of the senses, and the freedom and dignity of the individual; but in the twentieth century, the masses turned their backs on this heritage and embraced religion. In the twenty first century, religion detonated an explosion which razed the continent and rendered it unrecognizable. During the twilight stage of civilization, the continent self destructed and blew itself up. The religion in question is Marxism, and in its various stripes and varieties it is a bomb which has sabotaged the Europe airship of Western civilization and killed every man, woman and child on board. This bomb contains two canisters holding two explosive substances. One substance is called class Marxism and advocates the sacrifice of the individual to "the people", "the working class" or the proletariat. The other substance is called race Marxism and it advocates the sacrifice of the individual to a race, nation or ethnicity. Obeying the first imperative of branding, which is to differentiate one's product from the competition, and if necessary invent differences where none exist, the class Marxists and the race Marxists have been conducting a one hundred year old advertising campaign to convince people that they occupy opposite ends of the political spectrum. The class Marxists proclaim that they represent the forces of progress opposed to the regressive, racist, reactionary right, while the race Marxists proclaim that they represent the forces of antiMarxism and patriotism. Both camps deny the reality that each represents one side of the same coin, a coin marked *collectivism*. Both camps deny the reality that they proceed from the same source and use the same method, the method of viewing people as members of groups and not as individuals, and viewing the stage of history as acted on by groups and not by individuals. They both deny their common Marxist lineage, legacy, method and adherence to identity

politics. They both deny the reality that they differ from each other in superficial respects alone, one camp advocating collectivism in the name of a class, the other camp advocating collectivism in the name of a race, both camps reducing the individual to group characteristics, and both camps denying the right of the individual to live and work for himself in freedom. The wreckage of an exploded Europe lies strewn across the continent. Read the messages contained in the class Marxist and race Marxist bomb canisters and see the cause of the crash. They proclaim that a progressive society is ruled by a Communism Unbowed party and a New Communist Popular Front alliance. They proclaim that a progressive society is a communitarian society which rejects free minds, free markets and individual rights, and advocates universal enslavement in the name of collective responsibility. They proclaim that a civil war must be fought between the liberating forces of racial socialism and the oppressive forces of class socialism and must be fought to the finish. They proclaim that Europe must withdraw from NATO, oppose Ukraine, support Russia, and provide logistical and material support to Vladimir Putin's fascist regime. They proclaim that people must support racial socialism as patriotic and republican. They proclaim that a progressive society is an antisemitic, antiIsrael society because Israel and Jews represent the oppressive capitalist system. They proclaim that a progressive society supports Hamas terror and sends missiles of mass destruction raining down on the only free society in the Middle East, Israel. They proclaim that a progressive society demands that Israel withdraw from Gaza, surrender to Hamas, and cease to exist as a civilized society. In Europe today, voters are concerned with abolishing the liberal order. The democratic processes have taken hold of the clock of history and sent the hands racing backward into the communist and fascist nightmares of yesteryear: "French politics was plunged into turmoil by Macron calling snap legislative elections after his centrist party was handily beaten by the far right National Rally (RN) in a European vote earlier this month. Weekend polls suggested the RN would win 35-36 percent in the first round on Sunday, ahead of a left wing alliance on 27-29.5 percent and Macron's centrists in third on 19.5-22 percent. A second round of voting will follow on July 7 in constituencies where no candidate takes more than 50 percent in the first round. Speaking on the podcast *Generation Do It Yourself*, Macron, 46, denounced both the RN as well as the hard left France Unbowed party. He said the far right 'divides and pushes towards civil war', while the hard left France Unbowed party, which is part of the New Popular Front alliance, proposes 'a form of communitarianism', adding that 'civil war follows on from that, too'. Prime Minister Gabriel Attal of Macron's Renaissance party has poured scorn on the RN's economic program, telling Europe 1 radio the country was 'headed straight for disaster' in the event of an RN victory. On foreign policy, Bardella said the RN opposed sending French troops and long range missiles to Ukraine—as mooted by Macron—but would continue to provide logistical and material support. He added that his party, which had close ties to Russia before its invasion of Ukraine, would be 'extremely vigilant' in the face of Moscow's attempts to interfere in French affairs. Macron insisted that France would continue to support Ukraine over the long term as he met with NATO chief Jens Stoltenberg on Monday. 'We will continue to mobilize to respond to Ukraine's immediate needs,' he said alongside Stoltenberg at the Elysee Palace. The election is shaping up as a showdown between the RN and the leftist New Popular Front, which is dominated by the hard left France Unbowed. Bardella claimed the RN, which mainstream parties have in the past united to block, was now the 'patriotic and republican' choice faced with what he alleged was the antisemitism of Melenchon's party. France Unbowed, which opposes Israel's war in Gaza and refused to label the October 7 Hamas attacks as terrorism, denies the charges of antisemitism. In calling an election in just three weeks Macron hoped to trip up his opponents and catch them unprepared. But analysts have warned the move could backfire if the deeply unpopular president is forced to share power with a prime minister from an opposing party. RN powerhouse Marine Le Pen, who is bidding to succeed Macron as president, has called on him to step aside if he loses control of parliament. Macron has insisted he will not resign before the end of his second term in 2027 but has vowed to heed voters'

concerns. Speaking on Monday, Macron once again defended his choice to call snap elections. 'It's very hard. I'm aware of it, and a lot of people are angry with me,' he said on the podcast. 'But I did it because there is nothing greater and fairer in a democracy than trust in the people.' " (*Macron Says Both Far Right, Hard Left Policies Could Lead To 'Civil War' Ahead Of Snap Polls*, France 24, June 24, 2024). Look at Europe today and see communists and fascists, red shirts and brown shirts, class Marxists and race Marxists, psychopaths killing under the sign of the hammer and sickle and psychopaths killing under the sign of the swastika, brawl in the streets and submerge them in rivers of blood: "Clementine Autain has come under criticism for appearing to suggest on national television in France a preference for violence against right wing populist politicians for Marine Le Pen's National Rally (RN) party. 'If we could eradicate them all that would be great,' she said on broadcaster LCI as reported by the *Entrevue* magazine while stressing the need for a majority in the National Assembly for the leftist New Popular Front, comprised of her own leftist La France Insoumise (LFI) party, the Socialists, the green Ecologistes, and the French Communist Party. The longtime LFI member of the French National Assembly parliament and current candidate in the snap elections for the 11th constituency of Seine-Saint-Denis quickly backtracked from the apparent violent rhetoric. 'Well, eradicate them is not a good word, but if we could drastically reduce the score of the extreme right that would be wonderful,' she said." (*French Far Left Politician Suggests 'Eradicating' Right Wing Populists* by Kurt Zindulka). Two enemy camps are on the march against Europe. Each camp has administered poison to free institutions but proclaimed itself to be the antidote to the other camp's poison. Such is the state of Europe today: poison delivered as a killer and poison delivered as a cure. The twin poisons brought by the left wing and the so-called right wing, brought by two strains of a single bacterium called collectivism, have placed a false distance between each other as they have vanquished their common enemy.

CLIMATE HOAX SHUTS DOWN SCIENCE

Among the most conspicuous of the revolutions which opinions on this subject have undergone, is the transition from an implicit trust in the internal powers of man's mind to a professed dependence upon external observation, and from an unbounded reverence for the wisdom of the past, to a fervid expectation of change and improvement. Thus writes William Whewell in his *Philosophy Of The Inductive Sciences*. External observation. The evidence of the senses. These are the watchwords of a revolution which altered the course of history as it transformed the face of the Earth. This was the Scientific Revolution which commenced in the mid sixteenth century with the publication of *On The Revolutions Of The Heavenly Spheres* by *Nicolaus Copernicus*. This book disproved the dominant theory, enforced by the Catholic Church, that the Earth is the center of the universe, and affirmed with irrefutable empirical evidence that the Earth and planets revolve around the Sun. The Scientific Revolution is also known as the Age of Enlightenment and its leading lights, in addition to Copernicus, include Francis Bacon, John Locke and Issac Newton. The recognition, championed in this glorious age, that all knowledge of the world is obtained by way of experience would come under attack in the subsequent centuries by the purveyors of religion. These enemies are multifarious and range from the Lysenkoist deniers of the existence of genes to the creationists' attack on the natural origins of life to the transgenderists' attack on the existence of sex chromosomes. The religions these enemies of the Enlightenment purvey have proceeded from two bibles: the Christian bible and the Marxist bible. The Marxist bible is divided into subsections entitled *gender politics*, *race politics*, *ethnicity politics*, *culture politics*, *class politics* and *environmentalist politics*. These sections are united in their defense of mystical tribes against the ideas of reason, empiricism and individual rights defended by the Enlightenment. In the twenty first century, the environmentalist section of the Marxist bible has

launched an attack on science which has become a global movement supported by the establishment. This popular religion, preached in every home, school and office in the world, has lowered the curtain on science six centuries after it was founded in the West by the Enlightenment. This religion of a Marxist proletarian class which hates science, technology, industry and human progress has shouted out the tenets of a program of civilizational destruction. Listen to its ear splitting fulminations whose decibel levels are inversely related to its rational ground. It proclaims that man made greenhouse gas emissions are heating up the planet and will shortly incinerate it unless civilization is shortly destroyed. It proclaims that science should be reduced to a handmaiden of religion and climate science should be replaced by climate politics. It proclaims that the manmade causes of global warming should be exaggerated while natural causes should be downplayed in the interest of politics. It proclaims that the fact that the Earth's climate has alternated between hot and cold phases since the planet was formed should be ignored in the interests of politics. It proclaims that the fact that there was a Little Ice Age during the Industrial Revolution while atmospheric carbon emissions were increasing should be ignored in the interests of politics. It proclaims that the fact that global temperatures have increased significantly less than than politically based models predict should be ignored. It proclaims that the fact that there is a significant difference between real world global temperatures and computer predicted global temperatures, with the latter higher than the former, should be ignored in the interests of politics. It proclaims that the fact that atmospheric carbon dioxide is ingested by plants, increases crop yields and is essential to life should be ignored in the interests of politics. It proclaims that the fact that global warming has not increased natural disasters and has had no effect on hurricanes, floods or droughts should be ignored in the interests of politics. It proclaims that a net zero carbon policy under which greenhouse gas emissions must be balanced by offsets must be implemented precisely because of its negative consequences for civilization. It proclaims that measures to eliminate the man made causes of global warming must be implemented precisely because they negatively impact on science, technology, industry and progress, and because human civilization is the mortal enemy of Mother Earth: "Climate science should be less political, while climate policies should be more scientific. Scientists should openly address uncertainties and exaggerations in their predictions of global warming, while politicians should dispassionately count the real costs as well as the imagined benefits of their policy measures. Natural as well as anthropogenic factors cause warming The geological archive reveals that Earth's climate has varied as long as the planet has existed, with natural cold and warm phases. The Little Ice Age ended as recently as 1850. Therefore, it is no surprise that we now are experiencing a period of warming. Warming is far slower than predicted. The world has warmed significantly less than predicted by IPCC on the basis of modeled anthropogenic forcing. The gap between the real world and the modeled world tells us that we are far from understanding climate change. Climate policy relies on inadequate models. Climate models have many shortcomings and are not remotely plausible as policy tools. They do not only exaggerate the effect of greenhouse gases, they also ignore the fact that enriching the atmosphere with CO2 is beneficial. CO2 is plant food, the basis of all life on Earth. CO2 is not a pollutant. It is essential to all life on Earth. More CO2 is favorable for nature, greening our planet. Additional CO2 in the air has promoted growth in global plant biomass. It is also profitable for agriculture, increasing the yields of crops worldwide. Global warming has not increased natural disasters. There is no statistical evidence that global warming is intensifying hurricanes, floods, droughts and suchlike natural disasters, or making them more frequent. However, there is ample evidence that CO2-mitigation measures are as damaging as they are costly. Climate policy must respect scientific and economic realities There is no climate emergency. Therefore, there is no cause for panic and alarm. We strongly oppose the harmful and unrealistic net zero CO2 policy proposed for 2050. Go for adaptation instead of mitigation; adaptation works whatever the causes are. OUR ADVICE TO THE EUROPEAN LEADERS IS THAT SCIENCE SHOULD STRIVE FOR A SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER

UNDERSTANDING OF THE CLIMATE SYSTEM, WHILE POLITICS SHOULD FOCUS ON MINIMIZING POTENTIAL CLIMATE DAMAGE BY PRIORITIZING ADAPTATION STRATEGIES BASED ON PROVEN AND AFFORDABLE TECHNOLOGIES." (*World Climate Declaration: There Is No Climate Emergency*, Global Climate Intelligence Group). Listen to the environmentalists proclaim that fossil fuel companies must be prohibited from advertising and be taxed out of existence. Hear them proclaim that industry and technology are the mortal enemies of countries and communities throughout the world. Hear them proclaim that the world is on the path to incineration due to man made carbon emissions and the only way to avert this catastrophe is to dismantle civilization. Hear them proclaim that the fossil fuel industry's lobbying efforts, legal actions and advertising campaigns to inform the public that there are no empirically established connections between global temperatures and carbon dioxide levels, and that global temperatures have in fact fallen as global carbon dioxide levels have increased, as in the Little Ice Age which occurred during the Industrial Revolution, must be suppressed. Hear them proclaim that civilization is an engine of planetary destruction and must itself be destroyed. Hear them proclaim that civilization is toxic; it has poisoned the world; and the people of the world must unite, mobilize and move to wreck it: "United Nations alarmist in chief Antonio Guterres laid into fossil fuel companies Wednesday, calling for a ban on their ads and increased taxes on energy firms. 'Climate change is the mother of all stealth taxes paid by everyday people and vulnerable countries and communities,' Guterres said in a special address on climate action delivered in New York City. 'Meanwhile, the godfathers of climate chaos—the fossil fuel industry—rake in record profits and feast off trillions in taxpayer funded subsidies.' The Portuguese socialist amped up his already heated rhetoric, insisting that the world is on a 'highway to climate hell' and desperately needs an 'exit ramp.' 'We are playing Russian roulette with our planet,' he cautioned. 'Many in the fossil fuel industry have shamelessly greenwashed even as they have sought to delay climate action with lobbying, legal threats, and massive ad campaigns,' he inveighed. Guterres went on to accuse advertising and PR firms of aiding and abetting fossil fuel companies, comparing them to the unscrupulous publicists portrayed by the *Mad Men* television series. 'I call on these companies to stop acting as enablers of planetary destruction,' he continued. 'Stop taking on new fossil fuel clients from today and set out plans to drop your existing ones.' 'Fossil fuels are not only poisoning our planet, they are toxic for your brands,' he warned. 'Your sector is full of creative minds who are already mobilizing around this cause. They are gravitating toward companies that are fighting for our planet—not trashing it,' he said." (*UN Chief: Fossil Fuel Companies 'Godfathers of Climate Chaos'* by Thomas Williams). Jan Mateyko was a nineteenth century Polish painter of historical subjects. His most famous work is *Astronomer Copernicus*, a massive oil painting completed in 1873. It depicts Copernicus observing the heavens from a balcony with the Polish Frombork Cathedral in the background. In the twenty first century, the observations necessary for science to survive and succeed have stopped. A religion has superseded the wondrous legacy of the Enlightenment. This religion is the myth of man made global warming.

THE STRANGER

He is a stranger and bringer of death. The death he has brought is the worst death of all. He has brought a putrefaction of the soul and a process of moral decay which has spelled the end of freedom in his nation. He represents the culmination of a one hundred year development which has targeted his nation's traditions and institutions for destruction. The development commenced in the early years of the twentieth century, and in the present day, it has celebrated its final victory with his power. This is a development, a movement and a religion voted into power by the people. It is the number one religion of our time and has captured the hearts and minds of the people as civilization descends into disorder. Opposed to reason, sanity and individual rights, and championing mysticism, collectivism and human

sacrifice, this religion of antiEnlightenment is called socialism. It was voted into office in the West in a landslide under a ticket stamped *progressivism* but it is anything but progressive. It is a religion of regression which has plunged the West into a savage jungle. A civilization in a state of decline has given it carte blanche. In the twenty first century, in the former leader of the free world, this religion has rendered the nation unrecognizable. In the twenty first century, in the United States of America, a stranger to a nation born two centuries ago in a moral revolution, a nation born in a rifle blast of freedom heard around the world, has completed the mission of his religion and ignited a permanent, raging inferno of socialist tyranny. Look at the record of Joe Biden, the forty sixth president of the United States, and see a national political figure freedom does not know, freedom is unfamiliar with and freedom is unaccustomed to, perpetrate deeds designed to kill freedom forever. **Joe Biden.** Mass migration of Islamic terror and the sewers south of the border. Skyrocketing inflation caused by government loans, stimulus payments and the printing of money to finance green factories. The loss of American energy independence. State interference in the economy to enforce discrimination against white males in the name of achieving diversity and inclusion. Retreat and surrender in Afghanistan, leaving behind billions of dollars in state of the art military equipment to the Taliban. **Joe Biden.** Godfather of a crime family which sold Biden's office when he was vice president. An influence peddling operation which was the most egregious instance of political corruption in the history of modern democracies. Head of a global money laundering operation. Receiver of profits from his family's illicit global businesses. Receiver of foreign checks signed *Abuse Of Office*. Business dealings with corrupt, malevolent political and business figures throughout the world. An influence peddling operation proven by voluminous emails contained in Hunter Biden's laptop. The federal government weaponized to destroy the national leader of America's antisocialist opposition, the forty fifth president of the United States, Donald Trump. The CIA and the FBI spread a hoax that Donald Trump was a Russian agent and spy. The CIA and the FBI conceal Biden's crimes by knowingly concocting a myth that reports exposing them are based on Russian propaganda and should be ignored. The FBI coerces social media companies such as *Facebook* into suppressing evidence of Biden's influence peddling operation. The actions of the CIA and the FBI are attempts to rig the 2020 election in Biden's favor and stage a coup to install Biden into power. The attempts succeed and Biden is sworn in as president on January 20, 2021. **Joe Biden.** America's civilized community, deprived of the right to vote in a free and fair election and the right to obtain redress of grievances, are left with no other option but to attempt an insurrection against the federal government. On January 6, 2021, a million man army of patriotic citizens dedicated to restoring the rule of law sought to halt the operations of the government and stop the fraudulent certification of Biden as president. They were subjected to round ups, beatings, shootings and killings by the police and were jailed en masse by the courts. **Joe Biden.** Donald Trump convicted of crimes without victims of any kind, convicted without evidence of any kind, convicted without due process of any kind, and convicted without fairness or justice of any kind. Biden censors social media platforms to ensure that they do not allow criticisms of his administration or criticisms of the gender, race and class politics which underlies it. He condemns as terrorists parents who protest at school board meetings against the indoctrination of their children with a religion called transgenderism, which denies the existence of DNA and proclaims that gender can be changed by human action or belief. He dismisses government employees who assert the right to free speech and refuse to use the pronouns dictated by transgenderism, and refuse to describe males who pretend to be females with feminine pronouns, and refuse to describe females who pretend to be males with masculine pronouns. He dismisses government employees who assert their right to liberty and refuse to take covid vaccines. He transforms a constitutional republic into a Marxist mobocracy, a private enterprise run by and for a morally degenerate majority: "There are a thousand ways to attack a sitting president as vulnerable as Joe Biden and a thousand different ways to go about it. If I were Trump's coach for the upcoming

Thursday debate, I would advise the former president that the most memorable way for him to proceed would be to attack not Biden's obvious weaknesses—immigration, inflation, energy, the economy, Afghanistan—but his perceived strength, namely his imagined role as a defender of democracy. Trump's goal here is to awaken the politically indifferent, those millions who have taken Biden at his word. A good place to start is by reminding viewers of what Biden said at their last debate in October 2020, ideally word for word: 'Look, there are fifty former National Intelligence folks who said that what he's accusing me of is a Russian plan. They have said that this has all the characteristics—four—five former heads of the CIA, both parties, say what he's saying is a bunch of garbage.' Biden here was about talking about his son Hunter's laptop, the one that revealed the family's shady deals with bad actors across the globe. Rather than speaking in general terms, Trump might do what Dan Bongino has recommended: produce a replica of the actual \$40,000 check 'the big guy' received as his ten percent share in a shady money laundering scheme that originated in communist China. Fortunately for Trump, the FBI authenticated the laptop during Hunter's gun trial. Biden, of course, knew all along the laptop was Hunter's. He knew the *New York Post's* story about the laptop was anything but 'garbage.' Trump needs to drive this point home. Trump should also remind viewers why Biden lied: the truth would have cost him the election. Analysts estimate that between 4 and 15 percent of his voters would have voted otherwise had they known the laptop story was true. A lie this big required a big cover up. To stay viable, Trump tells the viewers, Biden apparatchiks strong armed the social media companies into deamplifying the laptop story or blocking it altogether. This censorship wasn't about saving democracy. It was about saving Biden's candidacy. Big Media played along. Biden knew they would. They had spent much of the prior four years peddling the most outrageous dirty trick in political history—Hillary's Russia collusion hoax. The media used to expose corrupt deep state actors, Trump reminds the audience while staring at his CNN hosts. Now they give them exposure to smear their enemies. Biden's Secretary of State Anthony Blinken set the laptop coverup in motion. Not many people know this. It is Trump's job to tell them how Blinken and cronies recruited 51 'intelligence folks'—here Trump uses air quotes—to sign on to this lie. There is a word for using the CIA and FBI to unseat a sitting president, Trump informs the audience. It's called a coup. At this point, Trump pivots to January 6. He has to make this issue his own. Half of America, he tells the viewers, saw the coup for what it was. A million or so of them showed up on January 6, 2021, to protest the election that Biden and his 51 coconspirators clearly rigged. Four people died that day, all of them Trump supporters, three by police action. This will come as news to the viewers, Republicans included. Here Trump can get graphic. One woman was beaten over the head while she lay dying. Another woman was shot and killed without warning. A third woman, who survived, suffered the worst police beating captured on video since Rodney King. His DOJ started rounding up American citizens in the thousands. This was the greatest mass injustice against American citizens since Japanese internment, sighs Trump, and it was put in motion by a man who claims to be protecting democracy. When people began to see through all this mischief, Biden and his henchmen took the one final step needed to turn America into a banana republic. They had their leading political opponent arrested and convicted. At this point, Trump challenges Biden to explain what exactly he was convicted of. Biden cannot begin to answer." (*Will Trump Reveal Who The Real Fascist Is?* by Jack Cashill). After the surrender of Germany in May 1945, audiences for the first time saw newsreel footage of Hitler's death camps and saw a world strewn with corpses. They could scarcely believe what they saw. Owned and operated by an establishment committed to protecting socialism in all its forms, today's channels of mass communication have refused to record and condemn the holocaust against American freedom perpetrated by Joe Biden. They have refused to do so it because they are parties to the crime. Civilized people have done so and the footage lives forever in the archives of infamy.

GLOBAL LEGIONS OF MARX AND MUHAMMAD

The enemy of my enemy is my friend. Thus states an ancient proverb which says that two parties should work together to defeat a common enemy. The proverb appears in cultures throughout the world. In ancient Rome: *Amicus meus, inimicus inimici mei.* In ancient India: "A foreign king who is situated anywhere on the circumference of a conqueror's territory is termed the enemy. Another foreign king who is likewise situated close to the enemy, but separated from the conqueror by the enemy, is the friend of the conqueror." (*Arthashastra* by Kautilya). This ancient proverb born of pragmatism has been put into terrifying practice in the present day. It has informed the most unholy alliance established in modern times, an alliance dedicated to the destruction of freedom and civilization. It has informed an alliance between two gutter religions opposed to the traditions and institutions of the Enlightenment. One religion emanates from the gutters of the East, while the other religion emanates from the gutters of the West. These wildly popular mass religions, appealing to a majority which rejects the world bequeathed by the great movements of the sixteenth, seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, the movements of reason, science, empiricism, sanity and individual rights, have joined forces and commenced a global attack on liberal democracy. These religions are Islam from the East and Marxism from the West, and their ascendancy today has occurred during the twilight stages of civilization which have brought forth the age of a second religiousness reverting mankind to another Dark Age. This new Dark Age is ruled by one religion which says that the ideal society is based on gender, race, class, ethnicity and sexual orientation and rejects individual rights, and by another religion which says that the ideal society is based on submission to Allah, the source of all existence, truth and morality, and rejects individual rights. In the present day, these two religions of human enslavement have together commenced a march on the capitals of the West and invaded every home, school and office in the land. They are the voices of today booming out upon the rubble of civilization. The majority has voted freedom out of office and voted into power an axis marching under the flags of the Marxist Red Star and the Islamist Crescent Moon and Star, and voted for it in a landslide under a ticket stamped *progressivism*. Listen to the goosesteps of the axis, echoing from the man on the street and from the politicians, officials and media channels of the establishment, and blasting out a message of world revolution. It proclaims that a progressive society is run by hoodlums from Hamas smashing and burning down synagogues. It proclaims that a progressive society is run by psychopaths dressed in the robes of Islamist terror, and beating up Jews and killing them while they worship. It proclaims that a progressive society amplifies and directs the voices of hatred against Jews, Israel and the capitalist system into every home, school and office. It proclaims that a progressive society is an antisemitic protest rally which fights Jews, encircles them and mauls them apart. It proclaims that a progressive society has abolished the police and given a green light to proPalestine, proHamas, proHezbollah protesters to commit violence against Jews. It proclaims that a progressive society supports atrocities committed by the Islamic world against Jews. It proclaims that a progressive society unleashes hate mongers to desecrate holocaust museums with the red paint of communism, fascism and Islam. It proclaims that a progressive society condemns criticism of Islamist terror as Islamophobia. It proclaims that a progressive university is a round the clock, proIslamist, antiJewish demonstration. It proclaims that a progressive society is a Gaza rally to kill Jews. It proclaims that a progressive society is a mosque spewing out hatred and violence against Jews from its darkness. It proclaims that a progressive society fastens the Islamic headdress of mass murder on its citizens. It proclaims that a progressive society promotes moral relativism and the right of nonWestern cultures to destroy the West. It proclaims that a progressive society cheers, chants and dances in support of the Islamic killing of civilians. It proclaims that a progressive university is a violent, antisemitic, antiliberal movement. It proclaims that a progressive society is a Marxist party with proHamas factions. It proclaims that a

progressive society condemns those who support limited government, a constitutional republic and the antiMarxist, antiIslamist presidency of Donald Trump as violent, antigovernment, antiauthority extremists and puts them in jail for life. It proclaims that a progressive society condemns those who are opposed to covid restrictions on the grounds of individual rights, and are opposed to school indoctrination of students in the tenets of race and gender discrimination in the name of diversity, equity and inclusion, as domestic terrorists and puts them in jail for life. It proclaims that a progressive society allows Islamic antisemites to riot through the streets, smash buildings, vandalize businesses, occupy universities and attack Jews shouting *Free Palestine! Gaza is Ours!* and *Death to Israel!* It proclaims that a progressive society is never offended by antisemitism and always encourages antisemitism. It proclaims that a progressive society affirms that blacks, women, gays, lesbians, transgenders, the poor and Muslims are victims of the capitalist system and the system must be overthrown. It proclaims that a progressive society suppresses all news reports on beatings of Jews and reports only on beatings of Marxists and Islamists. It proclaims that a progressive society is a genocidal society and targets the enemies of Marxism and Islam for annihilation. It proclaims that a progressive society speaks the language of rejection, the language of antisemitism, antizionism, anticapitalism and antiliberalism, and demands the destruction of civilization: "There's a new Charlottesville every week in America nowadays. They aren't led by a few hundred nazi cosplayers, but thousands of Islamists and leftist fellow travelers whose goals are supported by numerous administration officials, congressmen and newsrooms. In the latest Charlottesville, a mob of pro Hamas goons showed up in front of a synagogue in the heavily Jewish Pico-Robertson neighborhood in Los Angeles. They wore terror apparel, called for violence against Jews, allegedly beat up a Jewish woman and impeded people from worshipping. Unlike in November, when a 69 year old Los Angeles Jewish man was killed after a pro Hamas protester threw a megaphone at his head, no one died. If reports are true, the Los Angeles Police Department ensured that pro Hamas protesters were able to encircle the synagogue and kept Jewish worshipers and counterprotesters out. Only one person was arrested, charged with a misdemeanor for allegedly possessing a 'spiked flag'—as one does at a peaceful protest. I wonder if it was a Hamas flag or a Hezbollah one? Many in the media again framed the violence as a clash between antiIsrael and proIsrael protesters—'Protesters clash outside synagogue in LA' or 'Fights break out during dueling protests in Pico-Robertson' and so on. But why do the proPalestine protesters show up in front of synagogues, Holocaust museums and the performances of Jewish comedians in the first place? Why do they target Jewish students and Jewish businesses? ProIsrael protesters don't show up in front of mosques. Nor do 'peace protesters,' who never seem to object to the string of atrocities happening thousands of miles away in the Islamic world—which, unlike most claims regarding Gaza, aren't concocted. But back to Biden. His very presidency supposedly hinges on the events of Charlottesville, and yet outside the occasional perfunctory denunciations—where he usually reminds everyone of 'Islamophobia'—he does nothing. 'I condemn the antisemitic protests,' Biden told reporters after antiJewish demonstrations at Columbia University a few months ago. 'I also condemn those who don't understand what's going on with the Palestinians.' Boy, that sounds like the president is saying there are 'very fine people' on both sides. Biden's odious moral relativism is to compare antisemitic, keffiyeh wearing terror cheerleaders and those who don't understand—or accept—the rationalization for Hamas' murder and rape of civilians. The truth is Biden, who has repeated fake genocide casualty numbers to Muslim audiences, is scared to offend the pro Hamas faction in his party. And it's not just words. While Biden's Justice Department is raiding the homes of prolife protesters who pray in front of abortion mills or trying to throw elderly antiabortion activists into prison, it does nothing about the systemic, highly funded, violent antisemitic movement that has erupted on campuses. While Attorney General Merrick Garland likens the actions of parents who are opposed to COVID restrictions and racist curricula to 'domestic terrorism'—there still hasn't been a single incident to substantiate his action—

he doesn't have the same designation for proHammas rioters who target synagogues and Jewish businesses. While the Biden administration helps states deal with scary MAGA terrorists who embrace 'antigovernment or antiauthority violent extremism,' they do nothing about the DAs and AGs who let antisemites funded by Qatar do whatever they like." (*There's A Charlottesville Every Week, And Biden Is Fine With It* by David Harsanyi). On the famous Tiergarten Street in Berlin in 1940 were draped the flags of Germany Italy and Japan on the facade of the Japanese Embassy. These were members of an axis of socialist nations, neoMarxist nations promoting nationalism, ethnicism and racism, united against Anglo-American liberal democracy and their fellow competitor socialist nation, the Soviet Union. In the twenty first century, there is a new axis power on the march against liberal democracy. The flags have changed but the enemy remains the same. In the twenty first century, the flags of Marxism and Islam are unfurled across the ruins of the West.

MARXIST COLLEGE CLOSSES ITS DOORS

It was founded in 1870 as the Philadelphia Musical Academy and its program on offer became one of the most admired in America. Over the ensuing decades, it merged, reorganized and changed its name several times until it achieved its final configuration in 1987, when it became the University of the Arts. Located on the Avenue of the Arts in Philadelphia's City Center, its academic buildings, residence halls, performance venues, exhibition spaces and campus library containing tens of thousands of books, periodicals and musical scores formed the cultural center of the city and state, and tolled out a liberty bell for the arts which echoed throughout the nation. On June 7, 2024, tragedy struck this venerable institution. On this day, it closed its doors forever, a shadow of its former self, morally and financially bankrupt. The story of the decline and fall of the University of the Arts is a case study of a movement of cultural subversion which has struck every sector of society in the twenty first century and lowered the curtain on the West. On June 7, 2024, the liberty bell stopped ringing at the University of the Arts and the postmortem performed by nonpartisan, fair minded, civilized people has determined the cause of death. The power, authority, system and institution of freedom has been undermined in the present day by a mass movement known as Marxism, and on June 7, 2024, this movement, this number one religion of our times, after decades of inflicting multiple stab wounds, took its final toll, delivered its final blow, and killed the University of the Arts. Its program of subversion is draped over the victim and signals permanent cessation. The words shout up to the heavens, can be heard around the nation, and are inscribed on the tombstone of the West. Listen to their ear splitting decibel levels. They proclaim that a progressive society is a MeToo movement which defines a nonconsensual kiss to a woman as sexual assault. They proclaim that a progressive society defines the giving of a hotel room key to a woman instead of a business card as sexual assault. They proclaim that a progressive society convicts men accused of sexual assault because it needs to have men convicted. It convicts men without witnesses, without physical evidence, without allowing exculpatory evidence, without demanding personal responsibility from the accuser, without evaluation of the accusers' character or credibility, without respecting the civil rights of the accused, without giving the accused notice of the charges, without concern for fairness, justice or due process of law of any kind, ignoring the fact that the accuser waited years before filing a complaint, and ignoring the fact that there was collusion between the accusers. They proclaim that a progressive society defines sexual harassment as any act a woman says is sexual harassment. They proclaim that capitalism is a system of sexual assault against women and the survivors must unite and overthrow the system. They proclaim that a progressive society abolishes freedom of speech and forces people to use pronouns dictated by transgenderism, a Marxist based religion which denies the existence of DNA and alleges that gender can be changed by human action or belief. It demands that males who claim they are females be described with feminine

pronouns, and females who claim they are males be described with masculine pronouns. They proclaim that a progressive society enforces gay, lesbian and transgender politics. They proclaim that a progressive society is a Marxist, Leninist, Stalinist movement which demands ideological lockstep from all its citizens. They proclaim that a progressive society is a socialist society which tolerates no divergent political viewpoints promoting liberal democracy. They proclaim that a progressive society is a racist society which judges people on the basis of their race, mandates preferential treatment for blacks and discrimination against whites, calls its racism the achievement of diversity and inclusion, and orders that workplaces and school admissions be reserved exclusively for blacks. They proclaim that a progressive society enforces black queer culture in its arts and sciences. They proclaim that a progressive society allows student agitators and propagandists in the service of gender, race and class politics to take over universities, write their curriculums, determine what people on campus shall think and say, disrupt and shut down antiMarxist speakers and meetings, hire and fire faculty and administrators, revokes academic tenure for violations of political correctness, and transform classrooms and laboratories into hermetically sealed ideological echo chambers. They proclaim that a progressive society has created an arsenal of automatic weapons and deployed them to spray bullets at the voices of freedom until they can no longer speak, spray bullets at the palaces of law and justice until they can no longer stand, and spray bullets at the cities upon a hill until they are reduced to rubble during the twilight stages of civilization: "When the news hit in late May that Philadelphia's esteemed University of the Arts would be closing its doors permanently on June 7, 2024, I wasn't all that surprised. The University of the Arts (UArts) was created in 1987 after the merger of two century old institutions, the Philadelphia College of Art (PCA)—established in 1876 as part of the Philadelphia Museum of Art— and the Philadelphia College of the Performing Arts (PCPA). UArts has been dying a slow, agonizing death for at least ten years. The first hint of trouble surfaced in 2018, the peak year of the excesses of the #MeToo movement, when UArts photography Professor Harris Fogel—an award winning international photographer who had been with the school for over 20 years—was accused of an unwanted kiss by a female professor from California when they both attended a Las Vegas photography conference. The California professor was somebody Fogel knew well, so when they met in a hotel lobby at the beginning of the conference, Fogel thought nothing of greeting his West Coast friend with a kiss. That kiss—so Fogel later told *Philadelphia Magazine*—was a standard UArts form of greeting among professors and colleagues. The kiss was accepted by University of the Pacific Professor Jennifer Little as a nothing burger, yet twenty months later Little wrote a letter to UArts administration claiming that Fogel had greeted her with an unwelcome and forced kiss in the lobby of the hotel. Ironically, the professor's letter was followed one day later by another allegation against Fogel, this one from a female photography student who claimed that when Fogel went to hand her his business card at a photography conference in Houston, he offered her his hotel room key instead. Fogel later admitted that he was embarrassed about the mix-up—life was easier when hotel keys looked like hotel keys—and that the young Annie Leibovitz wannabe—Anne-Laurie Autin—laughed the mistake off as a joke. What happens in Vegas stays in Vegas, but apparently when nothing happens in Vegas (or Houston) it's your duty to make something up. When UArts received the California professor's complaint almost two years after the fact, as well as the additional complaint from the student photographer who now saw the mistaken hotel room key exchange in a sinister light—it was later revealed that Little and Autin were in fact chums—the school initiated a Title IX investigation that determined that the kiss between Fogel and Little was not consensual at all but forced in the manner of a date rape prelude or a noir horror film. As a result of the investigation, on March 8, 2018 Professor Fogel was terminated by Dean Mark Campbell. In August of the same year, the University Board of Trustees upheld the termination. Fogel then sued UArts—*Harris Fogel versus University of the Arts, et al*—claiming that the university's investigator failed to interview his exculpatory witnesses and did not

investigate leads on possible collusion. Fogel charged that UArts violated his civil rights in terminating him based on 'an erroneous outcome theory.' Fogel suspected collusion between Ms Autin and Professor Little and stated that the 'University failed to obtain the emails between the women to investigate his collusion defense.' The UArts Title IX Coordinator, Fogel said, failed to investigate all available evidence, including an unwillingness to objectively evaluate Professor Little's credibility, and UArts failed to 'provide adequate notice of the charges against him, including the date, time, and location of the incidents alleged.' Fogel said the Title IX Coordinator also failed to interview groups of women from the Las Vegas FotoFest conference to whom Professor Little reported the kiss. The coordinator also failed to consider Ms Autin's confession to a Houston conference representative that she thought it entirely possible that Fogel's room key remark was a 'joke' and not intentionally malicious. Fogel filed a lawsuit against UArts in federal court in Philadelphia—and won. According to a friend of mine who worked in UArts administration at the time, Fogel won a lot of money, so much money in fact that my friend asked me then to be very careful about writing about the settlement, which as far as she knew was not made public because it was such an embarrassment to the school. Apparently only those in UArts administration knew about the settlement and the amount." (*How Wokeness Killed A Philly Art School* by Thom Nickels). Once a glittering showcase of Pennsylvania arts education, the University of the Arts is today a deathscape strewn with corpses. Its victims are the ideas of the Enlightenment which founded America and brought the West to its pinnacle of glory. The murder weapons are marked *date rape, race and gender politics*, and *the proper pronouns for gender confused psychotics*. Wielded by the people of the West, they have stabbed civilization in the back.

BRITAIN CANCELS FREE SPEECH

Hyde Park is a three hundred and fifty acre green space in Central London. A Royal Park, it is the most prestigious green space in the city and heads the Register of Historic Parks and Gardens. It was established by Henry VIII in 1536 as a hunting ground. In 1637, it was opened to the public and became a cultural icon and institution. It became a park dedicated to free speech, a venue where people could express their thoughts and opinions without fear of state reprisal, and symbolized the ideas Britain spread throughout the world. The park's Speaker's Corner is a symbol of free speech without equal and its record of freedom reaches deep into history. It has seen fiery speeches given by subversives, socialists and suffragettes of all stripes and varieties, and in the nineteenth century, it acquired an international reputation. In the twenty first century, the Speaker's Corner has been shut down by a mass movement which has assumed control over the West. This movement is opposed to freedom as night is opposed to day and seeks to eradicate it from the face of the Earth. It is a movement of the discontented, the disaffected, the envious and the vengeful following in the footsteps of Christianity, and after Christianity it is the most influential movement in history. In the twenty first century, Marxism has shut down the Speaker's Corner and rendered freedom of speech a lost cause in the nation which taught freedom of speech to the world. In the twenty first century, Britain has done something hitherto unthinkable and resurrected the nightmares of the former East Bloc. The nation which tolled the liberty bell and made it ring far and wide, which wrote the Magna Carta of 1215, the Petition of Right of 1628, the Bill of Rights of 1689 and the Claim of Rights Act of 1689, which produced the Glorious Revolution within its borders, the Bill of Rights in America and the Declaration of the Rights of Man and of the Citizen in France, voted to renounce its heritage in the twenty first century. The nation which proclaimed to the world that the protection of the individual's life, liberty and property is the reason the state exists, and there is no other reason the state exists, and produced a philosopher named John Locke who defended this idea in a system set forth in the pages of a treatise, committed treason in the twenty first century and self destructed. Celebrated by Shakespeare as a

"precious stone set in the silver sea," Britain in the twenty first century tarnished itself and plunged into the black waters of state tyranny. In the twenty first century, the nation which had a tradition of human rights reaching back a thousand years, promulgated a program for the construction of a barbed wire fence around the mind, a fence to take people prisoners of conscience, a fence to enforce Marxist control over all thought and speech. Listen to the program and hear a roar of silence sweep over the land, a roar begotten of fear, control and the suppression of speech. This interloper in a land which championed the Enlightenment, this program disgracing the free soil of an ancient nation, proclaims that a progressive society criminalizes words Marxists do not want spoken in public. It proclaims that a progressive society criminalizes political lies Marxists do not want to be told, while it protects political lies Marxists want to be told. It proclaims that a progressive society makes it a crime for a political figure to make statements he knows to be false, but only if the lies are condemned by the Marxist establishment. It proclaims that a progressive society prohibits political figures from publicly telling politically incorrect lies, while it mandates that they tell politically correct lies, and gives the tellers of politically incorrect lies fourteen days to retract their statements or face disqualification from holding public office. It proclaims that a progressive society authorizes selective prosecutions of political lying if such are committed by antiMarxist political figures. It proclaims that a progressive society has set up a criminal justice system prejudiced against the opponents of gender, race and class politics and is ready to prosecute them, and them alone, for political lying. It proclaims that a progressive society criminalizes the promotion of liberal democracy and constitutional rights on the grounds that they are toxic ideologies. It proclaims that a progressive society is ruled by an alliance between government, media and universities and has suppressed all speech inimical to the Marxist regimes of black politics, feminist politics, proletarian politics, gay politics, lesbian politics, transgender politics and environmentalist politics. It proclaims that a progressive society criminalizes speech which stirs up hatred against gender confused psychotics who deny the existence of DNA and claim that gender can be changed by human action or belief, and claim they are members of the opposite sex. It proclaims that a progressive society criminalizes speech which stirs up hatred against those who reject liberal politics and agitate for gay politics and lesbian politics. It proclaims that a progressive society is part of an international movement to eliminate free speech and submerge liberal institutions, for a thousand years protected by British courts, parliaments, professors and philosophers, beneath the march of Marxist ideological lockstep: "Will Rogers once said that 'if you ever injected truth into politics, you'd have no politics.' In Wales, it appears that the government is challenging that assessment. However, if the new legislation criminalizing political lies is successful, the Welsh are likely to find themselves with the same abundance of lies but little free speech. A proposal in the Welsh parliament (or the Senedd) would make it the first country in the world to impose criminal sanctions for lying politicians. Adam Price, the former leader of the liberal Plaid Cymru Party is pushing for the criminalization, *citing* a 'credibility gap' in UK politics. Astonishingly, this uniquely bad idea has received support from a key committee. Once on track for adoption, this is the type of law that can become self propelling through the legislature. Few politicians want to go on record voting against a law banning political lies. The free speech implications are easily lost in the coverage. The new law would make it a criminal offense for a member of the Senedd, or a candidate for election to the Senedd, to willfully, or with intent to mislead, make or publish a statement that is known to be false or deceptive. There is a six month period for challenges to be brought. The law allows a defense that a statement could be 'reasonably inferred' to be a statement of opinion, or if it were retracted with an apology within fourteen days. If guilty, the politician would be disqualified from being a Senedd member. The defense is hardly helpful. It creates an uncertainty as to which statements would be deemed an opinion and which would be treated as a statement of fact. It invites selective and biased prosecutions. After all, what does it mean to accuse a politician of trying to mislead the public?

Winston Churchill said 'a politician needs the ability to foretell what is going to happen tomorrow, next week, next month, and next year. And to have the ability afterwards to explain why it didn't happen.' It is a standard heavily laden with subjectivity and potential selectivity in prosecution. It is more likely to determine not whether lies can be told but which lies can be told. The government and the majority of the public are likely to hold certain 'misleading' claims of politicians to be true or opinion while holding a harsher view of the claims of the opposition. The proposed law is a continuation of the assault on free speech in the United Kingdom. English courts have seen criminalized 'toxic ideologies' as part of this crackdown on free speech. Scotland recently passed *a new crime* law covering 'stirring up hatred' relating to age, disability, religion, sexual orientation, transgender identity or being intersex. That crime covers insulting comments and anything 'that a reasonable person would consider to be threatening or abusive.' Free speech is in a free fall after years of criminalization of speech. Generations have been shaped in the educational system to fear free speech. The alliance of government, media, and academic forces have created generations of speech phobics. The antifree speech movement in the United Kingdom should be a cautionary tale for every American. The tide of this movement has reached our shores and the same alliance is working to reduce the protections for free speech. As I discuss in my new book, *The Indispensable Right: Free Speech In An Age Of Rage*, this international movement has left free speech in tatters in the West. Now there are law professors calling for the First Amendment to be rewritten to remove its 'excessively individualistic' protections. The free speech community in the United Kingdom has fought bravely to preserve this right against all odds. Wales is a reminder that this remains a global struggle that requires free speech advocates to unite against this rising tide." (*Wales Moves Forward With Plan To Punish Politicians For Telling Lies* by Jonathan Turley). John Locke was a preeminent philosopher of the Enlightenment. He affirmed that individuals are born free and this innate freedom, not granted by society, cannot be abridged by society. He affirmed that in a civilized society, an individual may do anything he pleases except that which is forbidden by natural law, which commands that he may not commit an act of force or fraud against others. He affirmed that the right to liberty means the right to liberty and encompasses the right to free speech. In Britain in the twenty first century, the people have voted in a landslide, under a ticket stamped *progressivism*, to shut down the traditions and institutions which for a thousand years made the nation free.

REDSHIRTS AND BROWNSHIRTS TAKE OVER BRITISH PARLIAMENT

July 31, 1932. Federal elections are held in Germany and the clouds of a nightmare soon to descend are seeded. The National Socialist Party led by Adolf Hitler wins thirty seven percent of the popular vote and two hundred and thirty seats in the Reichstag. The Communist Party led by Ernst Thälmann wins fourteen percent of the popular vote and eighty nine seats in the Reichstag. Two parties peddling totalitarian poison, one in the name of race and the other in the name of class, hold over half the seats in the Reichstag and make it impossible to form a government defending freedom. The German people have voted to overthrow the Weimar Republic. A new election is scheduled for November 1932. The National Socialist Party wins thirty three percent of the popular vote and one hundred and ninety six seats, while the Communist Party wins seventeen percent of the popular vote and one hundred seats, and together the parties hold half the seats in the Reichstag. On January 30, 1933, Hitler is appointed chancellor and Germany commences a free fall into the abyss. In the twenty first century, one thousand kilometers away from Berlin, history has repeated itself in a nation in which it had been unthinkable it ever would. In the twenty first century, the nation in which freedom in the West was born a thousand years ago, the nation which wrote the Magna Carta of 1215 and the Bill of Rights of 1689, the nation which spread freedom throughout the world through the greatest empire in history, and led the fight of the free world against the monsters of communism and fascism in the world wars of the twentieth

century, has succumbed to the cancers which brought down lesser nations and voted communism and fascism back into power. In the twenty first century, the first nation in the West to undergo an industrial revolution because the first nation in the West to enjoy freedom, the nation celebrated as the "workshop of the world" because the first national shop in the world for freedom, has opened the doors of its parliament to the sworn enemies of freedom who seek to impale it on the primitive stakes of tribalism. On July 4, 2024, the the people of Britain elected sixty hundred and fifty members to the House of Commons and gave a landslide victory to the parties representing Marxism in its various stripes and varieties. They gave a landslide victory to the parties of class Marxism and race Marxism, the parties of communist Marxism and fascist Marxism, and ground the freedom parties, moderate parties and center parties into dust. Losing over two hundred and fifty seats, ending its fourteen year tenure as the nation's governing party, and suffering the largest defeat in its history, the Conservative Party contracted to the zero point of political irrelevance and took the cause of freedom down with it. Headed by a communist Labor Party, a communist Liberal Democrat Party, a communist Green Party, communist independent candidates, and a fascist Reform UK Party, the new British majority of 2024 is the cast in the mold of the Weimar results of 1933 in whose revolutionary blazes the center melted away. In Britain in the twenty first century, the center, the Conservative Party, has likewise melted away, and a new Weimar style, communist, fascist, Marxist extremism has assumed control. Civilized people have listened to its words and seen the return of the ghosts of the past and the end of Britain as a free society. Emanating from the soapboxes, beer halls and street brawls of Weimar, the words filling British homes, schools, offices and government chambers today proclaim that society must establish communism in the name of progress. They proclaim that society must establish communism in the name of national renewal. They proclaim that society must establish communism in the name of putting country first, party second. They proclaim that society must establish communism in the name of eliminating economic insecurity. They proclaim that society must establish communism in the name of securing a future for the people. They proclaim that society must establish communism in the name of democracy and community. They proclaim that society must establish communism in the name of preserving the National Health Service. They proclaim that society must establish communism in the name of making energy affordable. They proclaim that society must establish communism in the name of environmental protection. They proclaim that society must establish communism in the name of Palestinian liberation. They proclaim that society must establish fascism in the name of national freedom and independence. They proclaim that society must establish fascism in the name of national reform. They proclaim that society must establish fascism in the name of true conservatism. They proclaim that society must establish fascism in the name of controlling Muslim migration: "Sir Keir Starmer is the UK's new prime minister, after his Labor Party swept to power in a landslide general election victory. The Conservative Party suffered a huge collapse after a tumultuous 14 years in power. In his first speech as prime minister after greeting dozens of jubilant Labor supporters who had lined Downing Street, Sir Keir vowed to kick start a period of 'national renewal' and to put 'country first, party second.' 'For too long we've turned a blind eye as millions slid into greater insecurity,' he said. 'I want to say very clearly to those people. Not this time.' 'Changing a country is not like flicking a switch. The world is now a more volatile place. This will take a while, but have no doubt the work of change will begin immediately.' Robert Buckland, a former Conservative minister who lost his seat, described it as 'electoral Armageddon' for the Tories. It is the party's worst result in almost 200 years, with an ideological battle over its future direction expected to commence in the coming weeks. Britain's House of Commons has 650 MPs, or members of parliament. Each of their seats represents an individual constituency--or area--somewhere in the country. So far Labor has won 412 seats, while the Conservatives have slumped to just 120 and Liberal Democrats have taken 71. Reform UK, a successor to the Brexit Party, is set to pick up four seats, as are the left wing Green Party. A reminder: If a party

holds a majority, it means it doesn't need to rely on other parties to pass laws. The bigger the majority, the easier it is. There were, however, a number of notable defeats for Labor to independent candidates campaigning on proGaza tickets--especially in areas with large Muslim populations. As constituencies have declared their results live on television--with all candidates lined up next to each other on stage--there have been some major moments. Perhaps the most notable was the defeat of Liz Truss. The former prime minister served just 49 days in Number 10 before being ousted by her party. She narrowly lost to Labor in the constituency of South West Norfolk, having previously held a huge 24,180 majority. Jacob Rees-Mogg, the former Conservative business secretary and archBrexiteer, was one of the biggest names to suffer defeat. He lost his East Somerset and Hanham seat to Labor. He told the BBC that he couldn't 'blame anybody other than myself' for the loss but he took a 'small silver lining' from the fact that the Conservatives would be 'at least the official opposition'--a reference to fears they wouldn't even have that. Grant Shapps, the defense secretary, looked rattled after losing his seat in southern England. Leader of the House Penny Mordaunt, who ran against Rishi Sunak for the party leadership before he became prime minister, also lost her seat. As the night wore on, a succession of other Conservative cabinet ministers also lost their seats, including: Education Secretary Gillian Keegan, Culture Secretary Lucy Frazer and Veterans Minister Johnny Mercer. Things move pretty fast in British politics--there is very little time between an election result and the installation of the new prime minister. Rishi Sunak moved out of 10 Downing Street on Friday morning--the British equivalent of the White House--and Sir Keir Starmer will be installed swiftly afterwards. But there is a process. Mr Sunak offered his resignation to the King, and Sir Keir was formally invited by the monarch to form the next government in a meeting at Buckingham Palace. He will then perform the traditional walk up Downing Street--watched by the world's media--before addressing the nation from the steps of Number 10. After that he will be expected to invite top Labor MPs to Downing Street and appoint them to his new cabinet. Speaking before he handed his resignation to the King, Mr Sunak wished his successor well. Sir Keir was reelected in the same constituency on Thursday, saying in his victory speech people were 'ready for change' and promising an 'end the politics of performance.' 'The change begins right here because this is your democracy, your community, your future,' he said. 'You have voted. It's now time for us to deliver.' During his address on the steps of Downing Street, Sir Keir said his government would strive to 'rebuild' British public services such as the NHS, slash energy bills and secure the country's border. 'You have given us a clear mandate, and we will use it to deliver change,' he vowed. This election's insurgent party was Reform UK, the right wing successor to the Brexit Party and the UK Independence Party. Nigel Farage, its leader, finally won a seat on his eighth attempt--but his party's initial projection of 13 seats fizzled to four. That's still better than UKIP and the Brexit Party ever did, and Mr Farage has been celebrating." (*UK Election: What's Happened And What Comes Next?* by Graeme Baker and Matt Murphy). Look at the new British parliament and see the Reform UK Party reject the ideas which founded Britain, the ideas championed in the Enlightenment, the ideas which led to the British liberation of people suffering in savage cultures in Africa, Asia and North America. See it reject the ideas of human universality, the primacy of the individual over the collective, and transnational, transcultural, transracial fairness, justice and equality: "Reform wants to leave the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) and the foreign court in Strasbourg that oversees that international agreement. The ECHR is an international court. The UK cofounded it and provides a judge and staff. If the UK quit, it would join a club of two nations--Russia and Belarus. Opponents of the ECHR say the UK does not need the input of other countries to do the right thing. But the convention's supporters say membership helps the UK in its modern mission to bring other states up to our standards of fair and equal treatment." (*Reform UK Election Pledges: 11 Key Policies Analysed* by Daniel Sandford). Look at the new British parliament and see independent candidates reject liberal democracy and champion a platform marked *Islam, Palestine, Gaza and communism:*

"Labor has lost a handful of former strongholds to independent candidates campaigning on proGaza platforms. In one of the biggest shocks of the night, shadow minister Jonathan Ashworth lost his Leicester South seat, which had a majority of more than 22,000. The party's vote is down on average by 23 points in seats where 20% or more of the population identify as Muslim. In areas with a high proportion of Muslim voters the party performed badly. Labor has lost five seats with large Muslim populations--four to independents and one to the Conservatives. In Leicester South, Shokat Adam declared 'this is for Gaza' as he won the seat by 979 votes. The constituency, where around 30% of the electorate are Muslim, has been held by Mr Ashworth for 13 years." (*ProGaza Candidates Squeeze Labor Vote In Some Constituencies* by Becky Morton). John Bright was a liberal British statesman and the greatest orator of his time. In a speech at Birmingham on January 18, 1865, he proclaimed that Britain had created "the mother of parliaments" and implanted the institutions of freedom in the most distant reaches of the world. In the twenty first century, this British template for world freedom has been hijacked by two totalitarian movements. They confront each other not as left wing versus right wing but as two left wing movements advocating collectivism in one form or another. One camp advocates collectivism in the name of Marxism and its gender, race, class and Islamic subdivisions, while the other camp advocates collectivism in the name of patriotism and national identity. In the twenty first century, two left wing camps, the communists and the fascists, have taken control of the mother of parliaments and repealed freedom in Britain.

CITIZEN KANE'S COMMUNIST MANIFESTO

Here, on the deserts of the Gulf Coast, a private mountain was commissioned and successfully built. One hundred thousand trees, twenty thousand tons of marble, are the ingredients of Xanadu's mountain. Contents of Xanadu's palace: paintings, pictures, statues, the very stones of many another palace—a collection of everything so big it can never be cataloged or appraised; enough for ten museums; the loot of the world. Xanadu's livestock: the fowl of the air, the fish of the sea, the beast of the field and jungle. Two of each; the biggest private zoo since Noah. Like the pharaohs, Xanadu's landlord leaves many stones to mark his grave. Since the pyramids, Xanadu is the costliest monument a man has built to himself. In a mansion called Xanadu, in a vast estate in Florida, an elderly man lies on his deathbed. Holding a snow globe in his hand, he utters his last word, *Rosebud*, and dies. His name was Charles Foster Kane; he was a wealthy and powerful newspaper magnate who ran an empire which spanned the nation; and the story of his life is told in a film celebrated as the greatest piece of cinematic art in history. The film is *Citizen Kane*; the director is Orson Welles; and the subject of his masterpiece, like the newsreel *News On The March* which gives the obituary of Kane excerpted above, is fictional. But the theme of the film, the dark, gloomy message, the subject and idea which pervades the innovative, precedent setting cinematography in every flashback, montage, deep focus, odd camera angle, and fade in/fade out studied by film students throughout the world, is an indictment of capitalism which has made *Citizen Kane* the greatest communist propaganda film in history. Voted in poll after poll of film reviewers reaching back decades as the greatest film ever made, *Citizen Kane* is both a triumph and a disgrace. It is a triumph of the communist campaign to hijack the entertainment industry and deploy a prestigious, four star film to indoctrinate the masses, and it is a disgrace to the nation in which it was born, a nation which led the free world in decades of struggle against tyranny in all its forms, and led the free world in its demand that the Soviet Union tear down the Berlin Wall. Watch this icon of the silver screen, this supreme symbol of excellence in cinematic form and technique, proclaim that the national ethos of America, the ethos which exalts freedom, opportunity, hard work and success, is a nightmare pool of unending misery. Watch it proclaim that capitalism deprives people of their inner peace and emotional security. Watch it proclaim that a life of affluence, comfort, elegance and financial

independence deprives people of the innocence of youth and plunges them into desert cells of loneliness, sorrow, hopelessness and despair: "*Citizen Kane* was one of the first movies to depict the American Dream as anything less than desirable. As a child, Kane is fully happy as he plays in the snow outside the family's home, even though his parents own a boarding house and are quite poor. He has no playmates but is content to be alone because peace and security are just inside the house's walls. When Thatcher removes Kane from this place, he's given what seems like the American Dream—financial affluence and material luxury. However, Kane finds that those things don't make him happy, and the exchange of emotional security for financial security is ultimately unfulfilling. The American Dream is hollow for Kane. As an adult, Kane uses his money and power not to build his own happiness but to either buy love or make others as miserable as he is. Kane's wealth isolates him from others throughout the years, and his life ends in loneliness at Xanadu. He dies surrounded only by his possessions, poor substitutions for true companions." (sparknotes.com). Watch *Citizen Kane* proclaim that people who rise above their impoverished social class and dire economic circumstances by virtue of effort and initiative are doomed to be forever wretched and unfulfilled. Watch it proclaim that people who have been given the best schooling, raised in the most conscientious manner and shown exemplars of the good life for their edification, and go on to scale heights of wealth, status and power, have thrown away their lives. For true life and happiness can only be experienced in the innocence, savagery and nonresponsibility of childhood: "Charles Higham and Joel Greenberg describe *Citizen Kane* as 'the depiction of the American Dream gone sour.' The term *American Dream* refers to the concept that anyone can come to America and do well if they work hard, regardless of their social class or circumstances. The idea is rooted in the Declaration of Independence, which proclaims 'all men are created equal.' At first, Charles Foster Kane seems to embody the American Dream. He is given the best schooling and upbringing that money can buy, and through vision and hard work, parlays that into a massive media empire. However, the film shows all the goals of the American Dream--power, wealth, status--to be empty and worthless. Ultimately, Charles Foster Kane dies alone, in the castle built by the spoils of his own American Dream, lamenting his lost childhood." (gradesaver.com). Watch *Citizen Kane* proclaim that capitalism makes people fearful, worried, anxious and uneasy. Watch it proclaim that capitalism sullies people's purity and deprives them of the one world in which they were the happiest and most satisfied. This is the world of their childhood in which they had no purposes, no functions, no responsibilities, no thought for tomorrow, nothing was demanded or expected of them, and they lived like animals in unalloyed bliss: "The skeleton around which the plot of *Citizen Kane* is built is Thompson's search for the meaning *Rosebud*, Charles Foster Kane's last word. Although Thompson never discovers the meaning of the word, Welles reveals the secret to the audience when, at the end of the film, there is a shot of Kane's old childhood sled being thrown away--and the inscription at the top of the sled is *Rosebud*. This image represents the one thing that Charles Foster Kane longed for all his life but could never reclaim--his innocence. In the scene where Mr Thatcher comes to Colorado to take young Charles away from his parents, Charles plays outside with his sled, happy and carefree. For him, the sled encapsulates this moment, the last time Charles was an innocent child before his wealth, and all the expectations that came with it, were foisted upon him." (gradesaver.com). Watch *Citizen Kane* proclaim that freedom is a system of greed, covetousness and exaltation of money. Watch it proclaim that slavery to society is the solution to all our problems and the remedy for all our ills. Watch it proclaim that people are not born free but born with a debt to society and must be judged in terms of their contributions to society. Watch it proclaim that communism must be established in the name of freedom and democracy. Watch it proclaim that a progressive society replaces the capitalist life of freedom with the communist life of service. Watch it proclaim that a progressive society outlaws private profit and private enterprise and reduces free working people to rightless servants of the state. Watch it proclaim that the highest good of the individual is to renounce his freedom and give a lifetime

of service and slavery to society. Watch it proclaim that the defense of freedom and the repudiation of communism has been the source of the downfall of civilizations throughout history, and sent the ancient world to its grave just as surely as it has doomed our own civilization. Watch it proclaim that a progressive society has launched a holocaust against freedom, placed the heads of capitalists, tycoons, business people and the bourgeois on the guillotine, filled a swampland called collectivism, and reduced civilization to a watery grave: "The sequence begins with an analysis of American capitalism: how we idol worship celebrities, covet their possessions like Xanadu while proclaiming religious piety/holiness, prioritize what a man owned over his contributions and good paid towards society, and overequate money as a cure all medicine to solve all problems—when it's not always that simple. This is all done contemporaneously as the film introduces its prismatic protagonist: Charles Foster Kane. The newspaper headlines exaggerate and sensationalize with bold audacity that 'the *entire* nation mourns the death of an *outstanding* American and *sponsor of democracy*; the greatest newspaper tycoon of this or any other generation after a *lifetime of service*'. This laughable puff piece editorial clearly satirical in farcical tone and disingenuous (as we'll learn from the rest of the film) further establishes the futility and exploitable propaganda machine of the news, while reverberating how society does celebrate people like this CFK over truly outstanding Americans who actually do give lifetimes of service like doctors, teachers, firefighters, etc without ever a headline or thank you. This isn't a localized phenomenon either; civilizations across the world engage in the same self/sociologically destructive behavior, as hinted by the splice montage of headlines in various languages from Japanese to Egyptian to Italian, that psycho conditions us to wow at the wrong things; America just does it far more than most as the perfect stage for the allegorical tale of Charles Foster Kane. The film shifts gear once again from a Greek tragedy opening to documentarian real estate news vignette to semiautobiographical piece chronicling the rise and fall of the capitalist bourgeois, newspaper tycoon billionaire." (*Citizen Kane 1941*, cinemaloversclub.com). In the twenty first century, deep underground in his sulfuric chambers, Satan has held an award ceremony. Looking back over a century, he has presented an award to his favorite motion picture. It is an award for outstanding artistic and technical merit in promoting the cause of communism. It is an award for excellence in cinematic achievement in vilifying the cause of freedom. The runner up is *October: Ten Days That Shook The World*, written and directed by Sergei Eisenstein, a celebration of the 1917 communist revolution in Russia. The winner is: *Citizen Kane*, a Mercury Theater production by Orson Welles.

TOTALITARIANS TAKE CONTROL OF FRANCE

Throughout history, the circle has been venerated as the supreme geometric shape. In petroglyphs, cave paintings, stone and timber representations, and religions and philosophies down through the ages, the circle has been held to symbolize unity, perfection, balance, wholeness and stability. Plato saw the circle as divine. Students of history have seen a dark aspect of the shape. They have seen a symbol of the recurrence of evil and the revisitation of catastrophe in human affairs. The wheel of history ever spins onward and brings down cycles of tragedy upon mankind. In France in the twenty first century, history has repeated itself and let in a monster through its gates once again. It has let in the same monster it did less than a century before. Back by popular demand, this monster is called communism, and it has returned by popular demand to do battle against liberal democracy and against a resurgent brother movement appearing on the scene. In the final round of elections to the National Assembly held on July 7, 2024, France self destructed as it had done in 1936 and voted communism into power. In 1936, the people voted for communism packaged as a Popular Front, comprised of three parties: the French Communist Party, the French Section of the Workers' International, and the Radical Socialist Republican Party. On July 7, 2024, the people returned communism to power, packaged this time

around as a New Popular Front, and comprised now of six parties: the French Communist Party, the Socialist Party, France Insoumise, The Ecologists, Generation S and Place Publique. Over this near century, the names and faces have changed but the story has remained the same. A movement of the majority, a movement of the disaffected, the discontented, the vengeful and the violent, has launched a class war against its two enemies. It has launched a class war against liberal democrats, and against another movement of the disaffected, the discontented, the vengeful and the violent. This latter is a movement advocating race war in place of class war. Two Marxist movements, class Marxism and race Marxism, communist Marxism and fascist Marxism, emerging from the devil's womb of identity politics, the womb of the Prince of Darkness himself disguised as a human being researching in the library of the British Museum in the nineteenth century, battled for power in France in the 1930s, and battle for power again in France in the present day. As in yesteryear, so today, the communists have defeated the fascists and won. As in yesteryear, so today, the communists have defeated the liberal democrats and won. In the elections held on July 7, 2024, the communists have taken over France; the center, the liberal democrats, represented by an Ensemble coalition comprised of three parties, Renaissance, the Democratic Movement and the Horizons, has achieved second place; and the fascists, represented by the National Rally, have come in third. As in yesteryear, so today, two totalitarian movements have become the dominating forces in French society, and before their blazing inferno, the center, the liberals, has melted away. The stock in trade of communists is to denounce fascists as members of the "far right" and their polar opposite. This line is parroted by liberal democrats, who proclaim themselves to be centrists in the middle of the two extremes of left and right. Unbiased observers understand this distinction to be a product of pure propaganda and dishonest branding. They understand that both communists and fascists reject the primacy of the individual and promote a morality of human enslavement. They differ among themselves only with respect to the justification of enslavement offered. For communists, the justification is the mystical existence of a class, a class held to exist above and apart from flesh and blood individuals. For fascists, the justification is the mystical existence of a race, a race held to exist above and apart from flesh and blood individuals. The communist and establishment line notwithstanding, both communists and fascists are members of the political left wing; they represent brother totalitarian ideologies; and they confront a common enemy: the traditions and institutions of liberal democracy. On July 7, 2024, the communist New Popular Front won 180 seats in the National Assembly, the liberal Ensemble won 159, and the fascist National Rally won 142. On this day, the combined seats of the two totalitarian movements of communism and fascism equaled 322, or 56 percent of the total. In the national election held in France on May 3, 1936, the communist Popular Front won 386 seats out of 608, or 63 percent of the total, and took control of France. Less than a century later, history has traveled full circle and placed the enemies of freedom back into power. Look at France today and see a communist and communist sympathizing majority reduce the power of the fascists whom the majority deceitfully describes as the "far right." See a majority which opposes the ideas of the fascists, those whom it falsely labels the "far right", because it supports the ideas of the far left. See communist voters turning out in large numbers to defeat their fascist brother Marxists, the National Rally. See the victory of an antifascist coalition comprised of communists and their fellow travelers in the Ensemble movement. See the victory of the parties of the left, the parties of class hatred and class war, and the beginning of the end of liberal democracy in France. See the victory of Leninists, Trotskyites and Stalinists. See the National Rally emerge for the first time as a major bloc in parliament. See an antiliberal movement, comprised of the fascists and the far left, emerge as the majority movement in parliament. See a segment of voters decide that fascism is worse than communism, that race hatred is worse than class hatred, that nationalist tyranny is worse than internationalist tyranny, that fascist totalitarianism is worse than communist totalitarianism, and hand victory to the communist, class Marxist, Soviet style destroyers of freedom: "The French have

said it again: they do not want the far right in power. They gave them a big win in the European elections; they gave them a big win in the first round of this parliamentary election. But when it came to a vote that really counted, just as in the presidentials, they drew back from the brink. This surprise upset which has reduced the National Rally (RN) to third place—with perhaps 150 seats compared with predictions a week ago of nearly 300—is due entirely to voters turning out in large numbers to stop them. The RN will argue—with some justice—that this was only possible because the other parties came together to play the system. They note that the disparate parties of the left all suddenly forgot their differences to form a new antiRN coalition; and then that the Macronites and the left forgot their differences too. They note that nothing unites these politicians (from Edouard Philippe on the center right to Philippe Poutou of the Trotskyist left) except their opposition to the RN. And that this lack of agreement bodes ill for the future. Nonetheless, the fact remains. Most people do not want the far right—either because they oppose its ideas, or because they fear the unrest that would inevitably attend its coming to power. So if Jordan Bardella will not be the country's next prime minister, who will be? That is the great unknown. And contrary to convention following previous French parliamentary elections, it may be weeks before we have an answer. Because something has happened these past tense weeks to change the very nature of the French political system. As Alain Duhamel—veteran of every election since Charles de Gaulle—put it: 'Today there is no longer any dominant party. Since Macron came to power seven years ago, we have been in a period of deconstruction of our political forces. Perhaps now we are beginning a period of reconstruction.' What he means is that there is now a multitude of political forces: three major blocs (left, far right and center); plus the center-right. And within these there are competing tendencies and parties. With no party able to call the shots in the Assembly, a long period of haggling is now inevitable aimed at forming a new coalition from the center-right through to the left. It is far from obvious how it will be formed—given the mutual loathing that the different potential components have expressed till now. But we can bet that President Macron will now call for a period of *apaisement*—conciliation—after the tensions of the last weeks. Conveniently this period will last through the Olympics and the summer holidays, allowing the French to recover their spirits. In the meantime, he will designate somebody to lead the talks and reach out to the different parties. Will it be someone from the left? Will it be someone from the center? Will it be a political outsider? We do not know. What seems certain though is that France is about to enter a more parliamentary system. Power will drain from President Macron, and towards whoever heads the new government. Even if he manages to place a centrist in the prime ministership (far from easy, given the strength of the left) that person will exercise power in his or her own right, and on the basis of parliamentary support. Macron—with no prospect of running again in 2027—will be a diminished figure." (*French People Reject The Far Right—Again* by Hugh Schofield). Codename: Operation Overlord. The Allied invasion of Normandy on June 6, 1944 to liberate France and Western Europe from German occupation. The largest seaborne invasion in history, the Normandy landings laid the foundations of the Allied victory in World War II and were greeted with wild cheers from the French people. On July 7, 2024, another invasion of France was staged which disgraced the victory at Normandy. It too was greeted with wild cheers from the French people. The invaders were not liberators but movements of totalitarian enslavement. They were alien interlopers in the French republic but interlopers let in by French masses and establishment. On July 7, 2024, France voted in a landslide to self destruct.

RUSSIA'S REVOLT AGAINST CIVILIZATION

Civilization is the flowering of mankind. In historical; time, it is a recent occurrence, only ten thousand years old, and before its first rays of dawn broke, there had been a half a million years of night. A half a million years of barbarism in which cave men shambled, scowled and shouted through forests and

steppes and were unable to see or comprehend the sky above them. Civilization is fragile; it must be fought for and defended at every moment and can never be taken for granted; and the forces of barbarism ever crowd around it and are every ready to launch a revolt and overthrow it. History has been scarred by revolts against civilization and dotted with the graves of failed states, but in one people, and in one people alone, has the revolt against civilization proceeded from its national character. In one people, and in one people alone, has barbarism been built into the fabric of its soul, so that it forever exists in the gloom of savagery, license, decadence and stagnation, and can never transcend these to partake in civilization. In one people, and in one people alone, is civilization a burden which cannot be handled and a challenge which cannot be faced, and this is due to a fundamental law of reality. This is the law that something cannot come from nothing, and from nothing only nothing proceeds. This people is nothing, morally, spiritually and psychically nothing, and from this zero existence, only savagery can spring forth. Look at this people, look at its record reaching back over a thousand years, and see a valley, a swampland, dampness and cold incapable of progressing into the meadowlands and sunlight of civilization. This people are the Russians, and a review of their long history tells a story of retrogression without parallel in the history of mankind. Look at these pseudopeople whose minds are filled with ideas of violence and nothing else, whose mental capacities are throttled by moral madness and monstrosity and cannot move forward in the slightest degree, and see a blight on human affairs the likes of which mankind has never seen before. Look at the Russians and see animals in human form embark upon a rampage to destroy civilization. Look at them, the Russians, and see congenital savages, enemies of progress, seek to ravage and ruin all that is decent in the world. **Russia** is a base for barbarism like no other. **Russia** is a channel for moral sewage like no other. **Russia** is a celebration of hatred like no other. **Russia** is a war machine like no other. **Russia** is moral and spiritual downfall like no other. **Russia** is a concentration camp and gulag like no other. **Russia** is Hitler painted red and flowing with rivers of blood. **Russia** is national socialism wearing a red shirt and fomenting class war. **Russia** is a land of monsters from the czarist Romanovs to Marxist revolutionaries. **Russia** is a nation of mass murderers named Lenin, Trotsky and Stalin. **Russia** is scenes of horror scrawled on walls, streets, squares and buildings. **Russia** is a tyranny glamorized by the Western media, entertainment, academic and political establishment. **Russia** is a murderous dictatorship whose murderous dictators are exalted as heroes by the Western media, entertainment, academic and political establishment. **Russia** is a death camp whose victims hail from every class in society and are too numerous to count. **Russia** is a land which led a mass movement exterminating hundreds of millions of people from all walks of life. **Russia** is a land which led an empire enslaving one third of the Earth's population. **Russia** is the definition of obscenity and the pornography of violence. **Russia** is state organized killing by czars and communists. **Russia** is violent, unending revolution. **Russia** is wholesale, indiscriminate slaughter. **Russia** is state aggression beyond description and beyond the pale. **Russia** is a holocaust launched against the talented, successful members of society. **Russia** is a land of torture, execution and corpses whose screams and stench were generated under the Soviet Star. **Russia** is victimization at the hands of Bolsheviks who care nothing for the rights or welfare of the people. **Russia** is the persecution of property owners. **Russia** is the palaces of czars and communists lording over the people. **Russia** is a social union, a communitarian union and a Soviet Union engulfing the land in waves of cruelty. **Russia** is regimentation under the Romanovs and regimentation under the commissars imprisoning conscience inside a barbed wire fence. **Russia** is warrants to execute the intelligentsia signed on the czar's desk and the party boss's desk. **Russia** is the devastation wrought by proletarian war. **Russia** is a nightmare without reprieve brought down by philosophies exalting the state, the community, the class and the communist party. **Russia** is a kingdom of the masses who seek to level down their betters and superiors. **Russia** is a brotherhood of the envious, the resentful, the havenots, the covetous, and the marauders of the steppes. **Russia** is a

whirlpool of extremism, extermination and entropy set into motion by the czars, communists and President Putins in a land without human energies or faculties of any kind: "There's a reason why the *History Channel* is often, with dark whimsy, referred to as the *Hitler Channel*. As I scrolled through the online *TV Guide* recently to check out the channel's scheduled programming for the next few days, I discovered shows entitled *The Nazis' Secret Bases*, *Secrets of the Nazi War Machine*, and *Hitler's Celebrations of Hate*—not to mention several programs about D-Day and the ensuing 'battles for Europe' between the Western Allies and the nazis. There was nothing remotely touching on the Soviet Union. This is very much par for the course. Similarly, it's no surprise that while a great many major feature films have been made about nazi Germany, among them *The Pianist*, *Downfall*, *Sophie's Choice*, *Schindler's List*, *Valkyrie*, and *Shoah*, there's only a scattering of lesser known Hollywood pictures about the Soviet Union—notably, the obscure *Gulag* movie *Escape from Sobibor* and the TV biopic *Stalin* starring Robert Duvall. Why this dramatic disparity? Because the people who write and produce feature films for the major studios, or documentaries for clients like the *History Channel*, have an entirely appropriate contempt for Hitler and everything he stood for. But the Russian Revolution? Lenin? Many of them—whose knowledge of history tends to have been shaped by left wing university professors—have something of a soft spot for the people who overthrew the Romanovs. After all, the czars were pretty monstrous—most of them, anyway. So it is that all too many people who want to make powerful films about history are happy to return yet again to the worst horrors of nazism, but Soviet communism? The most famous single movie about that topic is *Reds*, whose protagonist, the American journalist John Reed (Warren Beatty), was an eager fan of, and participant in, the Russian Revolution. Yes, the film ultimately acknowledges, sort of, that the revolution turned out not to be everything that Reed thought it was—but along the way to that conclusion we're given a hell of a lot of stirring, heroic images of Lenin and company doing their thing. Given the almost total failure of the *History Channel* to provide the kind of content about Soviet communism that it constantly serves up about nazism, the eight episode documentary *An Empire of Terror*, is an exceedingly welcome corrective—and an absolutely terrific one. Written and narrated by Bill Whittle, and beautifully produced by *Daily Wire+*, it's comprehensive, fast moving, and horrifically graphic. It can seem obscene to compare the regime of one mass murdering tyrant with that of another. But Whittle reminds us that while Hitler wiped out millions of Jews, gypsies, Poles, and others, there were plenty of people living in nazi Germany who had little to fear from him. Unlike Lenin and Stalin, he didn't make a habit of randomly wiping out high ranking members of his government, or slaughtering whole segments of the (non Jewish) German population, or of turning one group of (non Jewish) Germans against each other in order to stir up savage warfare. Then there's this: if you go to Germany today, you'll keep running across memorials to the people murdered by the nazis (and, later, by the East German communists). Not in Russia. Whittle takes us to buildings in the very heart of Moscow where countless enemies of the state were murdered, one of which now houses a high end jeweler. There's nothing whatsoever to inform passersby of the gruesome history of these places. Whittle also points out that the world's first death camp—run by the USSR—predated Auschwitz by years. He doesn't start with the communists. He goes back to the czarist era, a time, mostly, of endless, irrational violence beyond description." (*Empire Of Evil* by Bruce Bauer). DNA is a chemical which produces genetic instructions for the development of life. The human body contains thousands of genes, comprised of DNA, specifying every trait of the person, and these genes are located in every cell of the body. Like individual organisms, nations and cultures possess inherent character traits. They are stamped on every man, woman and child, and are passed on from generation to generation. The Russian national character reveals a genotype, a complete set of genes, every last letter of which programs for war. It programs for czarist war, autocrats' war, class war, race war, ethnic war, party war, war for Mother Russia, war for the Workers of the World, and war for satellites, empires and universal human enslavement. Inside this genotype is war to enslave Europe,

America, Ukraine, the West, and any and every locale where freedom exists or yearns to exist. This is the Russian stock, incapable of assimilating the ways of civilization, the most dangerous enemy of progress in the history of mankind. This is the Russian line of descent from a force of evil which has declared war against the world.

THE SNAKE PIT

Dementia is set of symptoms of neurodegenerative disease. These symptoms reveal a decline in cognitive ability, rendering the person unable to perform everyday activities. Both people and nations can suffer from dementia, and nations which suffer from it are morally disturbed and unable to function in a civilized manner. National dementia is a self inflicted malady and occurs whenever the majority abandons reason and travels down the path of religion. The religion can assume many forms; it can involve a worship of a God or a Being in heaven, or the state, class, race, gender or community on Earth; but when, during the declining stages of a nation, it takes hold and acquires popular support, the nation becomes morally impaired, bankrupt and unable to think or behave. The brain damage which causes dementia in an individual is mirrored in the moral damage which causes a nation to plunge into savagery, but the results of national dementia are more terrifying because they involve the destruction of millions of lives. In the twenty first century, the former leader of the free world, the former City Upon A Hill which lit up the lives of the downtrodden with the torch of freedom defended by the greatest arsenal in the history of mankind, has become demented and descended into a jungle. In the twenty first century, in the United States of America, the greatest tragedy in modern times has occurred and the nation has become a phantom shadow of its former self, illustrating with stark clarity that dementia can strike the highest and mightiest of nations as well the lowliest and weakest, and can fell the tallest and strongest tree if its roots have rotted and decayed away. In America today, dementia is on display as it has never been before in the nation's history. Look at the nation and see it disgrace the soil on which its martyrs fell two centuries ago in defense of freedom; see it scandalize the Western community of nations of which for a century it had been the undisputed leader; and see its sickness portend the downfall of civilization throughout the world. **Dementia.** The 2020 presidential election was rigged and Joe Biden was illegally installed into power. It was rigged by Mark Zuckerberg, who donated 400 million dollars to state officials to disqualify Republican voters and allow only Democrats to vote. It was rigged by Google search results biased in favor of Joe Biden and against Donald Trump. It was rigged by the FBI which forced social media platforms to suppress evidence of Joe Biden's global influence peddling operation conducted while he was vice president, evidence contained in Hunter Biden's laptop. It was rigged by election rule changes made by state courts and officials in violation of the Constitution, which permits only Congress or state legislatures to make changes: "The Times, Places and Manner of holding Elections for Senators and Representatives, shall be prescribed in each State by the Legislature thereof; but the Congress may at any time by Law make or alter such Regulations, except as to the Places of choosing Senators." (Article 1, Section 4). It was rigged by mail in ballots cast without voter identification. It was rigged by nationwide ballot harvesting involving third party collections of votes for Joe Biden and votes for nobody else. **Dementia.** The president of the United States, Joe Biden, is suffering from Alzheimer's disease; he is inactive and vegetative during his waking hours; and is unable to perceive reality through feeble, vacant, brain dead stares. His unfitness to hold high office has given courage and confidence to the enemies of freedom and allowed them to act without fear of punishment or adverse consequences. He has hoisted the white flag of American surrender in Afghanistan, Ukraine, Israel, China and at the nation's southern border. He has deprived America of its national guard. He has unleashed a whirlwind of violence, extremism and disorder which has installed communists, fascists, Islamists, Iranians, Hamas missiles and migrant scum as the

lords of the world. Unable owing to mental debility and moral degeneration to discharge the duties of his office, he has dug the grave of America and the West: "'Chaos' is the inevitable result of our country's adversaries witnessing a United States president whose 'own party has declared mentally unfit,' according to Florida Republican Representative Mike Waltz. He argued Joe Biden's weakness has 'emboldened our enemies' and led to a series of international humiliations and increased threats, with the 'wide open' southern border serving as a 'recipe for disaster for America.' In an exclusive statement to *Breitbart News* on Wednesday, Waltz, a member of the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, House Armed Services Committee, and House Foreign Affairs Committee, warned that 'weakness invites aggression' as he insisted that the president's current standing is leading the country to mayhem. 'When our adversaries see a United States president that even his own party has declared mentally unfit, it signals to them that they can act with impunity, and chaos is the inevitable result,' he stated. According to Waltz, who is a colonel in the National Guard as well as a former White House and Pentagon policy adviser and the first Green Beret to be elected to Congress, Biden's weakness has already emboldened our enemies. Since he took office, we have been humiliated in Afghanistan, Putin has invaded Ukraine, Hamas and Iran have attacked Israel, and China has ramped up its aggression in the Pacific. And all of this occurred before Democrats were forced to acknowledge his cognitive decline. Now that it's known that the president is only active for six hours a day, what do you think [our adversaries] will do next?'" (*Representative Mike Waltz Warns of Global 'Chaos' As Adversaries See US President Declared 'Mentally Unfit' By Own Party* by Joshua Klein). **Dementia.** Mirroring Joe Biden's brain dementia, America has plunged into the dark churning waters of moral insanity under the Biden administration. Look inside the waters and see millions of bacteria stream through the southern border, bankrupt governments, destroy neighborhoods, place streets in the grip of fear and blacken the future of the nation. See the march of industrial and technological progress, the shining legacy of the Enlightenment, halted in the name of reducing greenhouse gas emissions. See climate change hysteria of imminent global incineration at the hands of greenhouse gases enact policies to outlaw oil and natural gas and force people to buy electric cars which they do not need, do not want, cannot afford, and which do not function. See homes rendered unaffordable by onerous government regulations and the American dream of home ownership dashed to pieces. See capitalism condemned as an evil, racist regime of white supremacy. See the individual deprived of his constitutional rights and reduced to a passive instrument of the government. See affirmative action policies which authorize discrimination against whites and males, policies which authorize racism and sexism in violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, paraded as the highest forms of fairness and equity. See the launching of a gender, race and class socialist revolution which has burned the nation's founding literature and platform of freedom and buried it in their ashes: "Postdebate coverage has been dominated by Joe Biden's physical manifestations of dementia. Democrats have captured the moment as they decide who will replace Joe Biden. That's all one reads in the newspaper and sees in the video. Biden's tragic economic, social and foreign policy failures, as a consequence, are no longer discussed. The Democrat primary elections are ignored. and the most important aspect of this national election, an examination of policies pursued during the past three and a half years by this president and his party, is gone from the national conversation. At home the abandonment of US borders has allowed the foreign enemies of America to access the heartland. The flood of between 12 and 20 million people is bankrupting local government and challenging the future course of this nation. The blind adherence to the banishment of hydrocarbon energy sources instituted in full panic mode by the climate change believers is repealing progress made in the 20th century. The campaign has reached such a ludicrous level, a car maker is now advertising it has designed the interior of its EVs so the driver can take a nap while he or she waits for the car batteries to recharge at some non existent electrical outlet. Somewhere, someone thinks this is a good idea! Home ownership is no longer an American dream. It died under

Biden and Democrat control of local government rules and regulations. Who has a million dollars to buy a substandard old home in a poor California neighborhood? The damage done to this nation by the platform of the Democratic Party, as executed by Joe Biden, is far, far more important than the man's dementia. The two issues cannot be divorced, but the consequences of the last three and a half years cannot be ignored either. The Democrat Party, it seems, suffers from some sort of group dementia, and it has forgotten how this nation came to be, and the basic concepts that made it successful. For the sake of a win in November, the party tells its voters to forget the primaries. That should not surprise us. If one reads Democratic Party literature and listens to spokesmen, this nation is evil, racist and its economic system must be abandoned for 'equity.' If opportunity is replaced by 'equity,' the individual no longer counts and the government reigns supreme. Who needs elections, primary or general? Sound like a socialist revolution is afoot, doesn't it? So, should we care if Joe Biden cannot continue? Isn't the larger issue to rid ourselves of the socialist within Democratic Party?" (*Joe's Dementia? The Damage He's Done To America Is A Bigger Deal* by Mike Pottage). They hear voices coming from nowhere and slogans coursing through an echo chamber. They are detached from reality and trapped within a sealed capsule of delusions and hallucinations. They are unable to mentally or morally function and present mere semblances of human existence. Their minds have been throttled by religion, by the religion of socialism in all its gender, race and class manifestations, and their brains have been reduced to an amorphous mass. They are Joe Biden and the Americans. In the twenty first century, they are dead people walking.

THE WRECKAGE OF PHILOSOPHY

On May 8, 1842, a train crashed between the Meudon and Bellevue stations on the railway between Versailles and Paris, France. The train was traveling to Paris when it derailed due to a broken axle under the locomotive. It was the first railway accident in France and the deadliest railway accident in the world at the time, killing 200 people, including world famous explorer Jules Dumont D'Urville. The death toll was higher than it would have been in consequence of the French practice of locking passengers inside their carriages. In the twentieth century, a train began speeding toward its doom and its passengers were locked inside and unable to escape. This train was called philosophy and in the twenty first century, it crashed, killing everybody on board. The train commenced its deadly journey way back in the eighteenth century during the Age of Enlightenment. The saboteur was the most influential philosopher in the West, and though he lived during this shining age, he was not of this age. He declared war on this age and planted the seeds of its destruction, which would follow during the Age of Romanticism. The Age of Enlightenment, like the Classical civilization which had ended centuries before, championed human sanity. It affirmed what all people of sound mind have affirmed for thousands of years. It affirmed that man perceives physical objects, and an act of perception which did not perceive physical objects would be the perception of nothing and would not exist. It affirmed that the notion of a perception of something mental or subjective in place of the perception of physical objects is a contradiction in terms. It affirmed that when man sees, he sees what he sees, he sees a world of physical objects, and man is not blind because he has eyes. During the Age of Enlightenment, a philosopher arose who would lead the West out this age and into the collapse of subjectivism. Every schoolboy knows his name. His name was Immanuel Kant and he proclaimed his mission of subversion in his magnum opus, the *Critique of Pure Reason*: "I have found it necessary to deny knowledge in order to make room for faith." *To deny knowledge*. The sick ring of psychosis which sane people could not believe when they heard it, tolling out the death bell of the human mind. Listen to the tolling and hear the wheels of a train called insanity begin to roll. Hear Kant proclaim that physical objects are mere appearances, representations and products of perception and do not exist: "I understand by the

idealism of appearances the doctrine that they are all together to be regarded as mere representations and not things in themselves, and accordingly that time and space are only subjective forms of our perception, not determinations given for themselves or conditions of objects as things in themselves. To this idealism is opposed realism, which regards space and time as something given in themselves, independent of our perception." (*Critique of Pure Reason* by Immanuel Kant). Listen to Kant argue for subjectivism on the basis of a false premise. The premise is that knowledge is acquired independently of experience. Sane people understand that knowledge is acquired by means induction from the data of the senses and not through any process of mental inspection or witch doctor type intuition. Kant argues that if knowledge is acquired independently of experience, it is necessarily subjective, because it can never be checked against experience, but he refuses to challenge the premise. He refuses to challenge the premise because his conclusion has been preordained on the basis of his own psychosis. Listen to his conclusion that physical objects conform to perception and are created by perception and do not exist: "Hitherto it has been assumed that all our knowledge must conform to objects. But all attempts to extend our knowledge of objects by establishing something in regard to them *apriori*, by means of pure concepts independently of experience, have, on this realist assumption, ended in failure. We must therefore discover whether we may not have more success in the tasks of metaphysics, if we suppose that objects must conform to our knowledge." (*Critique Of Pure Reason* by Immanuel Kant). Set into motion by Kant, philosophy's train of insanity picked up speed over the centuries, and in the twentieth century, it was fueled by a powerful accelerant. In the twentieth century, the most influential philosopher in the Anglo-American world concluded from Kant's creed that all knowledge of reality must be denied, to the view that the task of philosophy is nothing more than an analysis of language. Listen to Ludwig Wittgenstein proclaim that reality consists of words, that words have no referents, that language is an arbitrary and subjective game without objective rules and without relation to reality, and that there are as many realities as there are language games, no one of which may be held to be more true or more correct than any other: "We can also think of the whole process of using words as one of those games by means of which children learn their native language. I will call these games *language games* and will sometimes speak of a primitive language as a language game. And the processes of naming the stones and of repeating words after someone might also be called language games. Think of certain uses that are made of words in games like ring a ring a roses. I shall also call the whole, consisting of language and the activities into which it is woven, a *language game*." (*Philosophical Investigations* by Ludwig Wittgenstein, paragraph 7). In the twenty first century, philosophy's train of insanity picked up maximum speed, hurtled down the rails faster than a bolt of lightning, and derailed and crashed into the ocean of extinct civilizations. Listen to the end of the line of neoKantian, Wittgensteinian analysis proclaim that philosophy has nothing to do with reflecting or reasoning on the nature of reality. Philosophy has nothing to do with acquiring perspectives on reality or expressing ideas about reality. Philosophy is the fundamental theory of multiple, arbitrary, nonsensical meanings. Philosophy is the study of wordplay. Philosophy is the study of linguistic types and tokens. Philosophy is the study of sounds and marks which can mean anything people want them to mean. Philosophy is the study of linguistic trivialities. Philosophy is an elite, specialist study of pure linguistic phenomena. Philosophy is pure self reference and internal deduction. Philosophy is an exercise in intellectual frivolity and amusement. Philosophy is an echo chamber in which ontologies of pure words and theories of knowledge of pure words bounce off the walls of mental breakdown. Philosophy is a cloister, a covered walk, an enclosed space, an ivory tower and an esoteric exercise of raging schizophrenia holding seminars, giving lectures, convening departmental meetings, defending dissertations and publishing papers in the crumbling aftermath of civilization: "Philosophy teaches us to consider, reflect and reason effectively. It challenges us to question our assumptions, understand different perspectives, and articulate our ideas with precision. These are skills that are not just

beneficial but essential in an era in which information is abundant but wisdom scarce. Sadly, though, in recent times philosophy has entered the realm of academic absurdity, with departments finding more and more inventive ways to squander taxpayers' money—such as, for example, by publishing papers on the metaphysics of puns. Yes, you read that right. While dizzying technological advances threaten to upend whole economies and cultures, ivory tower intellectuals are busy dissecting the ontological status of wordplay. The fact that academics are devoting time to exploring the type token theory of words and its application to puns is, for lack of a better word, preposterous. And the puns based paper is not merely a footnote in some obscure journal. It was published in *Synthese*, a reputable outlet for serious philosophical discourse. The paper argues for a novel account of puns based on 'nominalist views of words' to address some supposed indeterminacy in the current theories. Imagine the hours of deep thought, peer reviews, and academic resources poured into unraveling the pun's metaphysical essence. This is not just a harmless exercise in intellectual frivolity; it's a profound misallocation of public funds. Philosophy, a discipline that could be engaging with pressing ethical dilemmas, the nature of consciousness, or the intricacies of justice, instead chooses to ponder the essence of a joke. What's particularly galling is the self referential nature of this academic exercise. Papers like these are not intended for the public, who fund much of the research, but for other academics, who will cite, critique, and expand upon this cerebral navel gazing. It's an echo chamber, wherein relevance to the real world is an afterthought at best. This isn't just a one off anomaly. The trend toward hyperspecialized, esoteric topics that border on the nonsensical is growing. Look at the broader context of metaphysical and epistemological studies—volume upon volume dedicated to debates that have zero impact outside of the cloistered walls of academia." (*The Startling Deterioration Of American Philosophy Departments* by John MacGhlionn). The wheels have come off the tracks. The axles have broken. The fuel tank has exploded. The locomotive and carriages lie twisted in ruins. This is the debris of a science which once towered as the citadel of human sanity. In the twenty first century, its remnants have buried civilization.

SOCIOLOGY UNDER THE RED STAR

Our main goal is to extend scientific rationalism to human conduct. What has been called our positivism is but a consequence of this rationalism. Thus speaks Emile Durkheim in his magnum opus, *The Rules For Sociological Method*. Thus speaks the founder of sociology as an academic discipline, who established the first department of sociology in Europe, at the University of Bordeaux in 1895, and was France's first professor of sociology. Born of the values championed in the Age of Enlightenment, Durkheim's shining vision of sociology as an empirical study, as the empirical study of human society, the study of social behavior, social relationships, social interactions and everyday social life, led to the development of a body of knowledge about social order and social change which made it a crown jewel of the humanities and the social sciences. But the birth of this science in the late nineteenth century occurred too late in the history of modern civilization and its flowering proved to be short lived. The twentieth century was right around the corner and the ascendancy of religion which would grip the century, and return the West to the Dark Ages, would drag down the science of sociology and transform it into the handmaiden of a terrifying new faith. This new faith was a this worldly version of Christianity which substituted the worship of God in Heaven by the worship of society, the state, the community, the class and the communist party on Earth, and advocated universal human enslavement in the name of the laws of matter. This new faith was called Marxism, and though born like sociology in the nineteenth century, it achieved domination in the twentieth century and took hold of sociology and redefined it. Diametrically opposed to the Enlightenment vision of Durkheim, the religious vision of Marx declared holy war against reason, science and the evidence of the senses and, enabled by the new spirit of the times and the new clamor of the mob, expelled empirical researchers from university

departments to make room for a new community of the faithful. Marx's new religion of group identity would undergo mutations as the century progressed and give birth to a raging triple headed monster called *gender, race and class politics*. From the mid twentieth century up to the present day, the sociology founded by Durkheim, sociology conceived as a science utilizing the method of empiricism, has ceased to exist, and sociology today is no longer recognizable by students of the social sciences. The past seventy years have seen the dominance of a Marxist gender, race and class religion which has disgraced the academic department founded by Durkheim in which it is now preached, and has provided a mirror and reflection of the intellectual downfall of civilization. Marxist sociology, the prevailing orthodoxy, is inscribed on the tombstone of the West. Listen to its message. It proclaims that sociology is a political and ideological discipline and not an empirical or scientific discipline. It proclaims that the task of sociology is to gain converts to the mystical, tribal concept of social justice in place of individual natural justice. It proclaims that the task of sociology is to promote Marxism in the name of mindfulness, compassion, empathy, emancipation, and easing troubles and suffering. It proclaims that the task of sociology is to reduce social inequality by promoting the state confiscation of wealth from the haves and its transference into the hands of the have nots. It proclaims that the purpose of sociology is to effect political change and foment world communist revolution. It proclaims that sociology must reject academic teaching, learning and the dissemination of knowledge in favor of overt Marxist activism. It proclaims that sociology must be an exercise in undisguised partisanship and special pleading on behalf of Marxism. It proclaims that sociology must provide an analysis and assessment of society from the standpoint of Marxism. It proclaims that sociology must be an exercise in religious training and indoctrination conducted under the sign of the Soviet Red Star. It proclaims that sociology must seek to demonstrate that capitalism has perverted human society and turned it away from the utopia of primitive tribalism which existed for thousands of years, and must seek to effect a reversion of society to this utopia through Marxist agitation and propaganda. It proclaims that sociology must fill classrooms with saboteurs and subversives acting in the interests of Marxism. It proclaims that sociology must be the voice of the political left and reject the pursuit of knowledge in favor of the pursuit of the will to power. It proclaims that sociology must be an exercise in radicalism and peddle its worship of violence under the guise of progressivism. It proclaims that sociology must reject all concern with objective facts and theories and promote religious violence tearing up the streets. It proclaims that sociology must indoctrinate students in the tenets of critical race theory, which criticizes the Enlightenment from the standpoint of black Marxist race politics and advocates black totalitarian dictatorship in the name of liberation. It proclaims that sociology must indoctrinate students in the tenets of transgenderism, which deny the existence of DNA contained in cells coding for gender and proclaim that gender can be changed by human action or belief, and that people who pretend to be members of the opposite sex are members of the opposite sex, and males can get pregnant like biological females. It proclaims that sociology must be a project of undermining free nations and free institutions with the acid of Marxist ideology. It proclaims that sociology must reject liberal democracy and promote Marxist gay politics, lesbian politics and queer theory in its place. It proclaims that sociology must reject liberal democracy and promote Marxist race politics, latino politics, sexuality politics, feminist politics, transgender politics, multirace intersectional politics, and the politics of global destruction: "I came to graduate school under the influence of a pre1960s generation of social scientists, and I shared their belief that rigorous scientific study of human behavior and social organization was possible. I learned early in my career that sociology is in intellectual decline. My walk through of *Teaching Sociology* offers bitter evidence of just how great the distance is between the early scientific promise of sociology and what is taught to students in sociology courses today. The teaching of sociology is understood by the journal as straightforwardly political, in a univocal ideological vein. 'Social justice,' 'mindfulness,' 'a compassionate orientation,' 'an emancipatory project,'

'a trauma informed and survivor centered pedagogy,' and 'a radical critique of society for the purpose of reducing inequality' are among the descriptives commonly encountered in this literature. One representative article (*Help Me See the 'Magnificent' Side of Sociology: The Outcomes of a Community Action and Involvement Course Designed to Help Undergraduates View Themselves as Agentic*) affirmatively cites a student's account of a typical sociology class in this way: 'We have spent this whole course seeing how bad things are in the world. I do not like the way society is, but I do not know how to change it.' In other words, sociology serves to show students how warped human societies are from some pristine ideal. Not enough of what happens in sociology courses, such thinking goes, is about straightforward political action to try to produce the utopia imagined. That said, much of *Teaching Sociology* does describe, and cheer, how much activism is going on in the sociology classroom under the guise of teaching and learning. Many articles positively discuss class assignments that are straightforward ideological training and exercises in naked partisanship. One example describes a class op-ed assignment. I know this sort of thing to be common in the discipline, as I have heard many in my own department describe such assignments. Every student topic described in these articles originates from the political left. 'Active learning' is championed as a method for getting students 'beyond' mere knowledge and into a dedication to political action, always of a progressive tenor. Emotional appeals to empathy and compassion are foregrounded. I found little interest, in *Teaching Sociology* articles, in the evaluation of the efficacy of knowledge production in class—that is, in the objective expansion of student expertise with facts and theories. There was, however, considerable interest in tracking and enhancing the way in which courses push students to 'the motivation to ease suffering.' The goal is 'not only to understand the reciprocal connections between personal troubles and public issues but also to alleviate social inequalities' (*Values, Compassion, and the Role of Active Learning in an Introduction to Sociology Class*). Much attention is given in *Teaching Sociology* to the imperative to teach critical race theory, queer theory, and other approaches that move away from sociology as a science and enthusiastically embrace activist political projects. One researcher describes efforts to 'queer the sociology classroom' by having students 'watch a short video from 2008 of [transsexual] Thomas Beatie after he appeared on *The Oprah Winfrey Show* to announce his pregnancy. The clip ends with Thomas, pregnant belly stretching his shirt, proclaiming, *I'm a man, I just happen to be a pregnant man.*' (*Queering the Introduction to Sociology Course*)." (*Teaching Sociology Is An Ideological Nightmare* by Alexander Riley). During World War II, the Yugoslav Partisans were the most effective communist resistance movement in Europe. Led by the Communist Party of Yugoslavia under the command of Marshal Broz Tito, the partisans were the leading force effecting the enslavement of their nation during the so-called People's Liberation War of Yugoslavia. In the mid twentieth century, the partisans returned to business in a new theater of war with a new field to enslave. They returned to enslave sociology and place it under Marxist ideological lockstep.

MARXIST SHOOTS TRUMP

On July 13, 2024 at 6:11 PM, at the Butler Farm Show Grounds in Butler, Pennsylvania, eight rounds from an automatic rifle were fired at Donald Trump at a campaign rally by one Thomas Matthew Crooks. Eight bullets were sprayed in an assassination attempt from a rifle which had been loaded way back in the mid nineteenth century. The shooter, the gun and the ammunition in this incident are the protagonists of a story which has been played and replayed for over a century, a story in which the names and faces have changed while the theme and plot have remained the same. It is the story of Marxist political violence and for a century and a half it has sent forth armies of Thomas Matthew Crooks to terrorize the world and suppress the voices of dissent. The story begins on the pages of a religion founded by Karl Marx. The assassins, the guns and the ammunition were first prepared here.

Listen to their sounds of hate and violence. They proclaim that people who work with the use of their minds, doctors, lawyers, artists, writers, scientists, inventors, entrepreneurs and businessmen, people who defend their right to live and work for themselves without a owing debt of bondage to the state, people who exchange their work for monetary compensation as free people do and not slaves, people who fight for their natural, inalienable right to life, liberty and property, form a class of savage oppressors and exploiters of the working class, the proletariat, and must be exterminated: "Society as a whole is more and more splitting up into two great hostile camps, into two great classes directly facing each other—Bourgeois and Proletariat. The bourgeois, wherever it has got the upper hand, has put an end to all feudal, patriarchal, idyllic relations. It has pitilessly torn asunder the motley feudal ties that bound man to his—natural superiors, and has left remaining no other nexus between man and man than naked self interest, than callous—cash payment. It has drowned the most heavenly ecstasies of religious fervor, of chivalrous enthusiasm, of philistine sentimentalism, in the icy water of egotistical calculation. It has resolved personal worth into exchange value, and in place of the numberless infeasible chartered freedoms, has set up that single, unconscionable freedom—Free Trade. In one word, for exploitation, veiled by religious and political illusions, it has substituted naked, shameless, direct, brutal exploitation. The bourgeois has stripped of its halo every occupation hitherto honored and looked up to with reverent awe. It has converted the physician, the lawyer, the priest, the poet, the man of science, into its paid wage laborers. The bourgeois has torn away from the family its sentimental veil, and has reduced the family relation to a mere money relation." (*Communist Manifesto* by Karl Marx). One hundred and fifty years after these fateful words were published, one hundred and fifty years which saw the violence they advocated claim the lives of innocent people throughout the world, one hundred and fifty years which saw the man made famine in Soviet Ukraine in 1932-1933, the Great Terror in the Soviet Union in 1937, the holocaust against Jews in Hitler's neoMarxist, race war, national Bolshevik Germany, the Great Chinese Famine in Maoist China in 1959-1961, the Cultural Revolution in 1966-1976 in Maoist China, and the Cambodian genocide in Pol Pot's Cambodia in 1975-1979, which actions together claimed the lives of 100 million people, a rifle and ammunition were prepared anew for the latest victim. They were prepared for a political maverick who had challenged the Marxist juggernaut which had swept across his nation. They were prepared for the forty fifth president of the United States who is running for reelection in 2024. On July 13, 2024 at 6:11 PM, at the Butler Farm Show Grounds in Butler, Pennsylvania, Donald Trump, the national leader of America's freedom opposition, the conscience of a vanishing community of civilized people opposed to the Marxist rigging of the 2020 presidential election through the FBI ordered suppression of Joe Biden's scandals involving the selling of his vice presidential office, massive Marxist spending and debt, Marxist inflation wiping out the middle class, Marxist green energy policies, Marxist affirmative action policies discriminating against white males in the name of diversity and inclusion and in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause, the Marxist religion of transgenderism which denies the existence of DNA coding for gender and proclaims that gender can be changed by human action and belief, the Marxist weaponization of the justice system, Marxist censorship and cancellation of antiestablishment views, and Marxist open borders allowing an invasion of welfare, terrorist, Islamist, drug trafficking bacteria breeding in the cesspools of the world, was shot down by a man, a movement, and one hundred and fifty years of Marxist agitation and propaganda. The man was Thomas Matthew Crooks. When he was 17, he made a 15 dollar donation to ActBlue, Marxist political action committee which raises money for Democrat politicians. The donation was made on the day Joe Biden was sworn into office as president. The donation was given over to the Progressive Turnout Project, a national Marxist organization which rallies Democrats to vote. When Crooks turned 18, he registered to vote as a Republican as a ruse to dissimulate his true sympathies and enable him to subvert the party. Meet ActBlue, fundraising tool for Marxists to overthrow the liberal democratic power structures of the

nation. ActBlue, an organization advocating Marxism in the name of democracy. ActBlue, an organization raising funds for Marxist causes and candidates up and down the ballot. ActBlue, an organization raising funds for the Marxist grassroots of the nation. ActBlue, an organization raising funds for Marxist committees, campaigns, nonprofits and platforms placing online technology in the service of world revolution: "Welcome to the home of small dollar donors. We're proud to build the fundraising tools that thousands of groups and millions of grassroots donors use to make their voices heard and transform power structures in our country. As a nonprofit, we're driven by the belief that our democracy works better when more people participate in civic life and when our campaigns and nonprofits are powered by the people they serve. That's why we've built a powerful online fundraising platform for Democratic candidates up and down the ballot, progressive organizations, and nonprofits. Our tools make it possible for anyone to build a grassroots campaign or movement and give donors an easy and secure way to support their favorite candidates and causes. We want as many groups as possible to be able to create strong grassroots fundraising programs. Our platform is available to Democratic candidates and committees, progressive organizations, and nonprofits that share our values for no cost besides a 3.95% processing fee on donations. And we operate as a conduit, which means donations made through ActBlue to a campaign or organization are considered individual donations. We take care of building fundraising tools and technology so the groups using our platform can focus on engaging and communicating with their supporters—we don't fundraise, donate or send text texts or emails on behalf of any group. And as a nonprofit ourselves, we're funded by our own amazing small dollar donors! (secure.actblue.com/about). One hundred and fifty years after Karl Marx first incited layers of dirt settled in society to revolt against law and order, his armies have placed their latest victim at the center of their target. Led by Joe Biden, they have placed Donald Trump, the leader of the freedom opposition in a nation which, for the first time in its history, is majority Marxist, in a bullseye and opened fire: "A group of Republican lawmakers are blaming US President Joe Biden, claiming his campaign rhetoric led to the attempted assassination of Donald Trump. As of Sunday, nearly a dozen lawmakers have pointed fingers at Mr Biden and Democrats at large for the shooting Saturday evening at the former president's rally in Butler, Pennsylvania. Many are pointing to a comment from Mr Biden while on a private phone call with donors last week. According to *Politico*, Biden said on the call: 'I have one job, and that's to beat Donald Trump. I'm absolutely certain I'm the best person to be able to do that. So, we're done talking about the debate. It's time to put Trump in a bullseye.' In the aftermath of the assassination attempt, the specific reference to 'Trump in a bullseye less than a week before, has led some Republicans to place the burden of the shooting in part on Mr Biden. 'Joe Biden sent the orders,' Georgia Republican Representative Mike Collins posted on *X*, formerly *Twitter*, in a response to a post about Mr Biden's comments to donors. Representative Lauren Boebert, a Colorado Republican, told *9News* on Saturday night that she believes 'much of the rhetoric from the left has escalated to this moment. President Trump was literally put in a bullseye after the President of the United States, the sitting President of the United States, called for him to be put in a bullseye.' When asked if she thinks Biden bears responsibility for the attempted assassination, she replied: 'I do believe that Joe Biden is responsible for the shooting today.' " (*Republicans Accuse Biden Of Inciting Trump Shooting* by Rachel Looker). The timeline of political violence in America is an arrangement of events produced by Marxists. Commencing with William McKinley and progressing through John Kennedy and Ronald Reagan, its latest addition is Donald Trump. It is a chronology of crime which has clobbered the nation.

THE KILLERS

A double barrel shotgun is a firearm with two barrels, allowing two single shots to be fired simultaneously. Possessing high power and a wide spread of shot, it is commonly used in hunting game

and in sport shooting. In historical time, two shots have been fired simultaneously from a double barrel shotgun marked *religion* and have blasted out mass murder. One shot came from the other, but the shots were separated by mere centuries, which transpired in the mere blink of an eye seen from the perspective of history. The shotguns are still shooting today, spraying out death and destruction across states and communities. The first barrel, the father barrel, is the barrel which first brought mass murder into the world. It is called *Abrahamic Religions*, and has been responsible for mass murder under the signs of three competing and coexisting religions. The patriarch of Judaism, Christianity and Islam, a figure prominent in the Hebrew Bible, the Christian Bible and the Quran, Abraham is the specter which haunts the world's first crimes against humanity. Rejecting the values of two ages of light appearing amidst the darkness in Europe, the values of the ancient Apollonian Greco-Roman age and the Age of Enlightenment in the present day West, the values of reason, science, secularism and the evidence of the senses, the religions of Abraham have ordered mass murder to enforce their creeds of blind faith and exterminate the voices of rational dissent. Reason, common sense and human sanity affirm all that which the religions of Abraham deny. They affirm that there exists no nothing and nothing can come from nothing, and thus the universe is uncreated. They affirm that there exists no supernatural world held to be in principle and by its very nature unperceivable, because man is not blind when he perceives with his senses and is not blind because he has eyes. They affirm that no will or power can ever change a fact of reality, such as that triangles have three sides, that two plus two equal four, and that water conducts electricity and wood does not. They affirm that knowledge comes from experience by a process of inference from the data of the senses, and does not come from a process of mystical feeling, intuition, revelation or gazing. Rejecting this world revealed by reason, common sense and human sanity, the religions of Abraham have plunged their followers into a savage jungle of rabid intolerance and given them orders to kill all nonbelievers. **Judaism.** Look at the killers, look at the first voices of Abraham, look at the Jews. See a bacterial swarm from the East invade civilization. See the embodiments of bigotry and superstition invent violent crime and declare war on the rational harmony of an Apollonian culture. See the emergence of a historical first which defied the imagination and belief of civilized people. This was the emergence, from the dark recesses of diseased minds, of a species of subhumanity whose fearsome sign was the Star of David. Driven onward by an unappeasable hatred of rationally flourishing cultures such the Greeks and Romans, and by a relentless desire to exterminate them, and incurring the censure and opprobrium of the civilized world, these carriers of barbarian rites, customs, manners and madness were called the Jews: "We have already described the religious harmony of the ancient world, and the facility with which the most different and even hostile nations embraced, or at least respected, each other's superstitions. A single people refused to join in the common intercourse of mankind. The Jews, who, under the Assyrian and Persian monarchies, had languished for many ages the most despised portion of their slaves, emerged from obscurity under the successors of Alexander; and as they multiplied to a surprising degree in the East, and afterwards in the West, they soon excited the curiosity and wonder of other nations. The sullen obstinacy with which they maintained their peculiar rites and unsocial manners seemed to mark them out a distinct species of men, who boldly professed, or who faintly disguised, their implacable hatred to the rest of humankind." (*Decline And Fall Of The Roman Empire* by Edward Gibbon, Chapter 15). **Christianity.** Look at the killers, look at the second voices of Abraham, look at the Christians. See mass murder justified in the name of a new doctrine of Christ and perpetrated by its bishops, apostles, saints and sectarians. See a neoJewish orthodoxy, a neoJewish church, a neoJewish congregation and neoJewish ceremonies drive Jewish brothers and converts to commit new acts of mass murder. See a Jewish parent, a Jewish Abraham and a Jewish Moses give rise to a new class of killers killing under the sign of the Cross: "The history of the church of Jerusalem affords a lively proof of the necessity of those precautions, and of the deep impression which the Jewish religion had made on the minds of its

sectaries. The first fifteen bishops of Jerusalem were all circumcised Jews; and the congregation over which they presided united the law of Moses with the doctrine of Christ. It was natural that the primitive tradition of a church which was founded only forty days after the death of Christ, and was governed almost as many years under the immediate inspection of his apostle, should be received as the standard of orthodoxy. The distant churches very frequently appealed to the authority of their venerable Parent, and relieved her distresses by a liberal contribution of alms. But when numerous and opulent societies were established in the great cities of the empire, in Antioch, Alexandria, Ephesus, Corinth, and Rome, the reverence which Jerusalem had inspired to all the Christian colonies insensibly diminished. The Jewish converts, or, as they were afterwards called, the Nazarenes, who had laid the foundations of the church, soon found themselves overwhelmed by the increasing multitudes that from all the various religions of polytheism enlisted under the banner of Christ: and the Gentiles, who, with the approbation of their peculiar apostle, had rejected the intolerable weight of Mosaic ceremonies, at length refused to their more scrupulous brethren the same toleration which at first they had humbly solicited for their own practice." (*Decline And Fall Of The Roman Empire* by Edward Gibbon, Chapter 15). **Islam.** Look at the killers, look at the third voices of Abraham, look at the Muslims. See mass murder committed in the name of Muhammad, the Seal of the Prophets, and the Quran, a revelation from Allah. Founded in the seventh century AD by Muhammad in the Arabian desert, this third religion flowing down the Abrahamic gutters spread itself by mass murder across the world. On September 11, 2001 in New York City, nineteen agents of Allah, nineteen members of the Prophet's Al Qaeda organization, crashed two commercial airliners into the Twin Towers of the World Trade Center, killing 3000 people in the deadliest terror attack in history. Shouting *Allah is Great!* as they bombed the heart of civilization, they engraved psychopathic violence committed under the sign of the Star and Crescent into the consciousness of civilized people for all time to come: "The devastating terrorist attacks that occurred on September 11, 2001, are 'etched in everyone's minds,' retired NYPD Lieutenant Joe Cardinale told *Breitbart News Daily*, reflecting on the losses 22 years later and describing the harrowing scenes at the Staten Island landfill, as first responders combed through the wreckage after the attack. 'I just can't believe it's 22 years. And I mean it's etched in everybody's minds, and we're reminded about it every time we go for our our a wellness check for the World Trade Center program that they have, and we're reminded about it still to this day, every time we lose somebody else. And it just happens. It's like almost a daily occurrence that we hear about somebody else dying from the effects of 9/11,' Cardinale said, adding that it is 'like yesterday' and 'still etched' in his mind, especially when he thinks of all those he lost." (*Retired NYPD Lieutenant Joe Cardinale Reflects On 9/11 22 Years Later: It's 'Etched In Everybody's Minds'* by Hannah Knudsen). **Marxism.** The second barrel of mass murder terrorizing the world today has descended from the first and is a this wordly version of it. It was founded in the nineteenth century by Karl Marx. It is a mirror image of its Abrahamic progenitors with only the names and signs changed. Rejecting the world revealed by reason, common sense and human sanity, the world championed by Greco-Roman civilization and the Age of Enlightenment, the neoAbrahamic religion of Marx substitutes mystical collective entities on Earth for the mystical God in Heaven Jews, Christians and Muslims worship as the enslavers of man and the objects of his sacrifice. Sweeping aside the old Abrahamic signs, the sign of the new murderous successor is the Hammer and Sickle. Rejecting that which reason, common sense and human sanity affirm, that individuals are the primary realities and groups cannot exist apart from the individuals which comprise them, the new Hammer and Sickle religion reifies groups and promotes a politics of groups which seeks to overthrow liberal democracy. Rejecting the ontological primacy of the individual, Marxism has fashioned a monster called *identity politics* which breathes the flames of mass murder from its manifold heads. In one and fifty years of Marxist agitation and propaganda, in latter day churches, congregations, synagogues, mosques and madrasas serving as fifth columns undermining

civilization from within in families, homes, schools and offices, one hundred million people have been murdered in the name of class politics, race politics, black politics, gender politics, feminist politics, gay politics, lesbian politics, and proletarian, antiprogress green politics. In one hundred and fifty years of Marxist agitation and propaganda, the West has renounced its Enlightenment legacies and descended into a slaughterhouse: "Brexit leader Nigel Farage warned America that the biggest threat facing the West is not the Chinese Communists or Vladimir Putin, but a far left 'fifth column' undermining it from within, particularly via the universities. Farage, honorary president of Reform UK, formerly the Brexit Party, as well as a GB News host and campaigner, told the Conservative Political Action Conference (CPAC) in Dallas, Texas, that the West is 'under attack, under attack as never before, and that threat is not external.' Farage said this was being done 'predominantly through the educational institutions; the universities, the colleges. Our children are being indoctrinated; our universities have become madrasas of Marxism, and it has to change—it *needs* to change.' Farage argued that teaching children that 'if you're black, you're a victim, if you're white, you're an oppressor; you should be ashamed of your family, of the history of your country' was dividing societies, not bringing them together—and was not intended to in the first place. 'It is the English speaking countries that have this terrible virus worse than anywhere else in the world,' he went on branding it a 'Marxist attempt to break Western civilization; a Marxist attempt to destroy everything that we are'—and urging his listeners to fight back." (*Farage At CPAC: Western Universities Have Become 'Madrasas of Marxism'* by Jack Montgomery). *Crimes against humanity. Murder, extermination, enslavement, deportation and other inhumane acts committed against any civilian population or persecutions on political, racial or religious grounds in execution of or in connection with any crime within the jurisdiction of the Tribunal, whether or not in violation of the domestic law of the country where perpetrated.* Thus speaks Article 6 of the Nuremberg Charter, drafted prior to the Nuremberg Trials held from November 20, 1945 to October 1, 1946. The first crimes against humanity were committed by the Abrahamic religions, and their crimes were recommitted by Marxists with only the names of the victims changed. Under the signs of Judaism, Christianity, Islam and Marxism, evil has conquered the world.