

# **AMERICA LEAVES THE WEST AND OTHER ESSAYS**

**By A. J. Reffes**

**Progress Publishers**

For Kathy, friend, mentor and wife. *Stupor Mundi*.

Copyright ©2021 A. J. Reffes. All rights reserved. Published by Progress Publishers, Berlin, Germany.

# **REASON, CULTURE AND HISTORY**

**Volume 106**

**By A. J. Reffes**

**Progress Publishers**

For Kathy, friend, mentor and wife. *Stupor Mundi*.

Copyright ©2021 A. J. Reffes. All rights reserved. Published by Progress Publishers, Berlin, Germany.

## **INTRODUCTION**

These reports are intended for a general, nonspecialist audience. They run the gamut of the arts and sciences and should provide the reader with useful introductions to given topics. May they encourage a few happy hours of suggestive thought!

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**America Leaves The West**  
**The Voice Of Destruction**  
**Reds On The Couch**  
**Biden-Allende**  
**The Red Juggernaut**  
**The Republican Party: 1854-1940, RIP**  
**Albert Speer: Architect Of Anew Movement**  
**Merkel's Pharmacy**  
**Wanted: An Insurrection**  
**Reds Purge Society**  
**The Red Civil Liberties Union**  
**Reds Sweep The National Government**  
**Assault On The Capital: Trump's Greatest Legacy**  
**Freedom Fighters Storm Washington**  
**Horst Wessels On The March**  
**Liberty: Banned By Brussels**  
**The Boxer War Against The West**  
**Indian Removal: The Conquest Of Subhumanity**  
**A Sham Called Judicial Review**  
**Decadence Kills The Death Penalty**

## AMERICA LEAVES THE WEST

The legal definition of a sham election is one which is held purely for show and with a predetermined conclusion. Examples of sham elections are the 1929 and 1934 elections held in fascist Italy, elections held in Germany during the Third Reich, the 1940 parliamentary elections held by communists in Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania, elections held in postrevolutionary Iran, the 1995 and 2002 presidential elections held in Iraq, and elections held in North Korea. The staging of sham elections had been the exclusive preserve of authoritarian states up until the United States presidential election of 2020. At this time, in a tragedy of monumental proportions and a catastrophe which political scientists will write about for decades to come, the greatest and most inspirational democracy in the history of mankind held a sham election which resulted in the defeat of Donald Trump and the victory of Joe Biden. On November 3, 2020, the United States election system fell under a coordinated assault and siege by reds who were determined to cheat Trump out of victory and restart the radical march of the nation which had commenced under Barack Obama. Goose stepping under the banners of gender, race and class politics, this radical march was the climax of a hundred year crescendo which commenced at the dawn of the twentieth century as a movement of social regulation packaged in ribbons marked *progressivism*. Trump's crime was that he halted this one hundred year movement and its acceleration under Obama and rolled back most of Obama's legacy. The exception to this roll back was the Affordable Care Act, which Trump was unable to repeal by virtue of the resistance he encountered from reds within his own party, and was unable to have overturned by the Supreme Court, by virtue of the Court's degenerated role as a judicial rubber stamp of the will of the majority. The record of voter fraud and irregularities committed during the presidential election of 2020 is as clear and unequivocal as the reds' contempt for the rule of law and their sinister doctrine that the end justifies the means. Look at the evidence and see the guilt of the reds, the guilt of the nation, the guilt of the neighbors next door who have filled the ranks of a one hundred year movement to expunge mavericks like Trump who had dared to challenge the new totalitarian orthodoxy, and see the guilt established beyond all uncertainty and beyond a reasonable doubt. Look at the criminal, unconstitutional acts committed by a red political machine operating from coast to coast and see the dissolution of a nation's moral and political credibility. Unable to hold a fair and honest national election, America on November 3, 2020 became a corrupt nation, and a corrupt nation, from a moral point of view, is no longer a nation. Look at the evidence and see a relentless effort to print and mail out tens of millions of mail in ballots and send them to unknown parties without safeguards of any kind. See Democratic politicians and judges, without the consent of legislatures and thus in violation of the Electors Clause of Article II of the Constitution, change election procedures to benefit Joe Biden. See Nevada and California send millions of ballots to voters who had not requested them and to voters who were dead. See Minnesota, Michigan and Wisconsin send absentee ballot forms to every adult in the state, including people who were dead, who had moved out of the state, and who were not citizens. See sixty seven counties in Michigan which had more registered voters than voting age citizens. See the refusal of the Wisconsin Board of Elections to remove from the voter lists the names of 100,000 people whose residency could not be confirmed because they were not American citizens. See a massive dump of fraudulent ballots for Biden in Wisconsin occurring at 4 AM, giving him a 20,000 vote lead and awarding the state to him. See hundreds of thousands of fraudulent votes counted when Republican poll watchers were excluded and locked out of buildings. See voters who had not voted by mail in ballot turned away from polling stations on Election Day and told that they could not vote because they had already

voted by mail in ballot. See dials turned, buttons pressed and computer chips changed in Dominion voting machines and hundreds of thousands of votes manufactured for Biden. See Michigan County in which Dominion machines manufactured 6,000 votes for Biden. See Michigan at 6:30 AM on November 4 when a vote dump of 150,000 fraudulent votes for Biden occurred and gave the state to Biden. See Georgia where election workers refused to check signatures on the envelopes of mail in ballots. See how Pennsylvania, Michigan, Nevada, Georgia and Arizona allowed people to obtain absentee ballots without showing identification. See voters across Pennsylvania receiving two ballots in the mail and receiving ballots for which they never applied. See Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, where mail in and absentee ballots were processed illegally and in secret: Republican poll watchers were evicted from the polling station and windows were boarded up. See Fayette County, Pennsylvania where voters received ballots which had been completed for Biden by election workers and thousands of ballots for Trump were not counted. See Montgomery County, Pennsylvania where unregistered voters were told by election officials to vote under a different name. See tens of thousands of voters across Pennsylvania being treated differently based on their party affiliation: Democratic voters who submitted flawed ballots were given a chance to correct them, while Republican voters were not given this chance, in violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the Constitution. See Detroit, Michigan where city workers did not ask for voter identification, did not validate signatures, illegally backdated ballots received after the deadline, and coached voters to vote Democrat and then watched them vote. See Wisconsin where election officials encouraged 250,000 voters to improperly register as indefinitely confined, and 70,000 absentee ballots did not have matching ballot applications, as required by law. See Detroit where election officials counted the same batches of ballots multiple times, duplicated ballots, and counted boxes of ballots bearing the same signature. See Wayne County, Michigan where election workers entered fake birth dates into the records in order to manufacture votes for Biden, and tens of thousands of ballots without envelopes were counted for Biden. Look at the 2020 presidential election and see a level of ballot fraud without precedent in the history of the United States. See the total collapse of election integrity. See informants swearing out affidavits and giving video interviews attesting to massive electoral illegalities. See hundreds of thousands of completed absentee ballots for Trump illegally transported across three state lines. See trailers filled with ballots for Trump disappearing in Pennsylvania. See over one million votes for Trump, spread across Arizona, Michigan, Georgia and Pennsylvania, excluded from the vote counting. See the operation of a coordinated, multistate campaign to influence the election and ensure the victory of Joe Biden: "A top civil liberties group has released bombshell new evidence of voter fraud in the 2020 presidential election, including an eyewitness report that up to 280,000 ballots 'disappeared' from a trailer in Pennsylvania. At a news conference on December 1, the Amistad Project unveiled multiple whistle blower accounts and sworn testimony pointing to 'massive ballot fraud' in the 2020 election. The Amistad Project is an initiative of the Thomas More Society that works to defend constitutional liberties and election integrity. 'Evidence from these whistle blowers in the form of affidavits and video interviews are being used in litigation by the Amistad Project to ensure election integrity and to uphold election laws in key battleground states,' the Amistad Project said. They stated that the accounts, largely from postal workers, 'reveal multistate illegal efforts by USPS workers to influence the election in at least three of six swing states. Details include potentially hundreds of thousands of completed absentee ballots being transported across three state lines, and a trailer filled with ballots disappearing in Pennsylvania. Experts retained by the Amistad Project in sworn declarations state that over 300,000 ballots are at issue in Arizona, 548,000 in Michigan, 204,000 in Georgia, and over 121,000 in Pennsylvania.' " (*Experts*

*Flag Over 500k ballots In PA With New Evidence Of Major 2020 election Voter Fraud* by Raymond Wolfe). On December 11, 2020, the United States Supreme Court did the bidding of the red mobs which control the nation and refused to condemn unconstitutional state actions or see the massive proof assembled of electoral fraud. Masquerading as judges and jurists, the mob's nine henchmen sitting in the Court trotted out a pretext and ruled that there was no standing to sue. Civilized people and defenders of the law understood that in point of fact everyone has standing to sue when a country's national election system has been harmed and damaged to such an extent and has descended into such severe levels of corruption that the country has, in a substantive sense, become a nonstate actor. Before Election Day, November 3, 2020, there were seventy eight nations in the world which were able to hold free and honest national elections. After Election Day, this number decreased by one. A nationwide operation which saw fake signatures, illegal immigrant voters, the exclusion of Republican poll watchers, more votes cast than actual voters, massive late night ballot drops, double voters, dead voters, and ballot stuffing caught on video, pushed America out of the community of democratic nations and hurled it into a savage jungle marked *Failed and Fraudulent States*.

### THE VOICE OF DESTRUCTION

The year is 1000 AD. In a distant corner of the universe, Satan rides through space. He approaches Earth. Coursing past snowy mountains, soaring promontories, steep rock faces and raging waterfalls, he settles in Europe, spreads his dark wings across the continent, and brings into being a new culture. In the epicenter of this new culture, in the twilight, ascending forests of Northern Germany, he fashions the form of a man who is yet to be born, but who will be born seven centuries later in Germany. He tells this specter that when he is born, he will have as his life's mission the task of telling the world the story of this new culture, delineating its ethos, attitudes and fundamental principles. On August 28, 1749, this man was born, and soon thereafter, he commenced his life's mission. This man's name was Johann Wolfgang von Goethe and the culture he described, on a mission from Satan, was a culture the likes of which the world had never before seen, a culture whose soul stood out in diametrical opposition to everything rational, intelligible, static and perfect in the world as embodied in the noonday culture of the Greeks and Romans. This new culture which Goethe set out to describe was the by turns fearsome and terrifying, magnificent and ascending, culture of the West. In spirit and soul one thousand years old, this form, this figure, this presence, this manifestation of Satan, this Goethe, who was born when the West was born and who will die when the West dissolves, painted a picture of the West which distilled its nature and essence as no other literary figure has, and students of history and culture should pay heed to his words as a warning of what happens when an inability to act and think rationally gives birth to a community and develops into a world civilization. The words of Goethe are the words of Faust, romanticism and *Sturm und Drang* and the reader should listen to these words attentively and order his thoughts and actions accordingly if he is to survive and succeed as a rational, flourishing human being. Expressed in poems, plays, novels, treatises, letters and literary fragments, these words penned by Goethe proclaim that the good man rejects the constraints of reason imposed by the Enlightenment and exalts individual subjectivity, emotional extremes and free expression. They proclaim that the highest human condition is a state of sensation and turbulence which has liberated man from the false Enlightenment ideals of prudence, responsibility and reasonable comportment. They proclaim that the good person is a cauldron of violent expression which has triumphed over external order. They proclaim that good art aims at shocking the audience with its

rejection of harmony, complementarity and intelligibility. They proclaimed that a progressive society exalts human freedom over the despotism of the human intellect. They proclaimed that the good person is driven to action, violent action, any action, and has no interest in the pursuit of noble aims or ideals. They proclaimed that the good person is an endless series of lightning storms and outbursts of irrationality. They proclaimed that the good person is a psychopath whose calling is to frighten and torment the bourgeois upholders of law and order. They proclaimed that the good person is a remorseless villain who cheats his friends and family and revels in his evil. They proclaimed that reality is jagged, angular, shadowy and unpredictable in character. They proclaimed that reality races, changes, mutates and makes leaps without rhyme or reason. They proclaimed that the good artist innovates for the sake of innovating, expresses for the sake of expressing, and conforms to nothing beyond the dictates of his own will. They proclaimed that the good artist is unbalanced and agitated, rejects logical form and unity, and is resolutely antirational in everything he creates. They proclaimed that the good man has swept away the fragile veneer of reason and civilized conduct and revealed the dark, bestial recesses of the human soul. They proclaimed that the progressive society is a state of continuous upheaval without rational fabric of any kind. They proclaimed that the good person is controlled by impulses and desires and has severed the chains of forethought and self responsibility. They proclaimed that reality is a cyclone, a shipwreck and the terror of unending ruination. They proclaimed that good art consists in the portrayal of nightmares which paralyze the audience with fear. They proclaimed that science is not possible because the objects of observation comprise an indeterminate flux without natures or essences of any kind. They proclaimed that human knowledge is limited by the senses and the study of nature is an illusion because there exist no physical objects. They proclaimed that the Enlightenment emphasis upon rational thought and inductive reasoning is cold and unfeeling and must be replaced by a program of mystical and emotional oneness with nature. Look at the world of Goethe and see the rejection of the world of physical objects. See the identification of the real with the ideal. See the projection of existence as a manifestation of consciousness. See the identification of changing objects with changing ideas. See the rejection of empiricism and multiplicity and the projection of being as the mystical oneness of pure, absolute mind: "Goethe's contemplation of the world goes only to a certain limit. He observes light and color phenomena and advances as far as the archetypal phenomenon (*Urphaenomen*); he tries to find his bearings within the manifoldness of the plant's being and arrives at his sensible-supersensible archetypal plant. From the archetypal phenomenon or the archetypal plant he does not ascend to higher principles of explanation. He leaves that up to the philosophers. He is content when 'he finds himself upon the empirical heights, from which he can look back upon experience in all its levels, and can at least look forward into the realm of theory if not enter it.' Goethe goes to the point in his contemplation of the real where the ideas confront him. To determine the connection in which ideas stand to one another and how, within the ideal realm, one thing proceeds from another, are tasks which first begin upon the empirical height where Goethe stopped. 'The idea is eternal and unique,' he believes. 'That we also use the plural is not appropriate. Everything of which we can become aware and about which we can speak are only manifestations of the idea.' But since the idea, in the phenomenon, arises after all as a multiplicity of individual ideas, such as idea of the plant, idea of the animal, these must then let themselves be led back to a basic form in the same way that the plant lets itself be led back to the leaf. The individual ideas are also different only in their manifestation; in their true being they are identical. It is therefore just as much in keeping with the Goethean world view to speak of a metamorphosis of ideas as of a metamorphosis of plants. The philosopher who tried to present this metamorphosis of



ideas is Hegel. Through this he is the philosopher of the Goethean world view. He takes his start from the simplest idea, from pure being." (*Goethe's World View* by Rudolf Steiner). Look at the world of Goethe and see the projection of reality as abstract, one, archetypal and mental in character. See the rejection of empirical methods of investigation in favor of mysticism, apriorism and a spiritual sense for nature: "The simple and abstract, what you quite aptly call the archetypal phenomenon, this you put first, and then show the concrete phenomena as arising through the participation of still other influences and circumstances, and you direct the whole process in such a way that the sequence proceeds from the simple determining factors to the composite ones, and, thus arranged, something complex appears in all its clarity through this decomposition. To seek out the archetypal phenomenon, to free it from other extraneous chance surroundings—to grasp it abstractly, as we call it—this I consider to be a task for a great spiritual sense for nature, just as I consider that procedure altogether to be what is truly scientific in gaining knowledge in this field." (Letter to Goethe from Hegel, February 20, 1821). The year is 2100 AD, two millennia after the birth of the West. A fog rolls in and engulfs the world and brings in a plague. This is the plague of universal violence and destruction. Amidst this total engulfment and these dark, dreadful forces, a scholar, an alchemist and a necromancer named Goethe, named Faust, named Satan and named the West builds a pyre. He hurls into the pyre the foundations of civilized existence and a human way of life: reason, empiricism, enlightenment, understanding and common sense. Sheets of flame expand, ascend and light up the heavens. The progeny of Goethe, Faust and the West, the ideologies of will, disruption and brute force, the sinister, frightening currents of communism, national socialism and gender, race and class politics, have burned everything in sight and reduced civilization to ashes.

### **REDS ON THE COUCH**

Projection is the unconscious transference of one's own ideas, desires and attributes onto others. Studied by students of human psychology for centuries, projection is an act of self defense by mentally ill people; their attribution to others of things which they do not like about themselves protects them from having to acknowledge the existence of these things within them. Over the past decades, students of human psychology have focused their attention upon a preeminent, influential group in society, and peering into their minds, they have observed a jungle of mental disorders the likes of which they have never observed before in any other group. Amidst this tangled, tragic forest of human degradation, they have observed one disorder in particular and zeroed in on it, for it has raised the decibel levels of political invective to a deafening point and shouted down the voices of dissent into a dark corner of disrepute and illegitimacy. This group is the reds and their disorder is that of projection. Students of human psychology have set forth their diagnosis and stated their case and gained the unanimous assent of the psychological community. Exhibit one: the reds accuse antireds of being opposed to objective truth and empirical science. Reality: the reds are themselves intrinsically opposed to the theory and practice of the scientific enterprise. Look at the world of the reds and see their dogma that truth and reality are products of the social construction of genders, races, classes and cultures. See their incineration of facts and logic in charnel houses marked *the will to power*. See their definition of political argumentation as smashing windows, setting fires, throwing rocks in the street and shouting down their opponents. See their campaign to politicize education, the media, the law and the institutions of knowledge and bring them under the control of gender, race and class commissars. See their rejection of all absolute, empirical standards of thought and reasoning and their exaltation of the demons and voodoo masters of apriorism and subjectivity. See

their rejection of the existence of physical objects and their reduction of reality to a mental flux shaped by political phrases, advertising, parties and movements: "If there is no absolute truth and knowledge about reality is socially constructed by our ethnic, religious and political groups, then the attempt to appeal to a universal ethic is imperialist oppression of one culture over another. Society cannot be ruled by an agreed upon transcendent authority or criteria because there is none. In short, left wing radical theory, and by extension the Obama administration is the demonic stepchild of Nietzsche's will to power. There is no truth, just people seeking to impose their wills upon others. This is why liberals, and other left wing radicals are the juvenile kings and queens of protest and outshouting their adversaries. This is why you hear liberals reduce culture to power relations. This is why they have pursued the control of education, the media, law and government. Because they believe in the will to power as the ultimate truth. This is why they are so effective at creating slogans like *Proposition Hate*, and *Bush Lied, People Died*. Because they believe in the power of language to shape knowledge. Whoever controls the instruments and institutions of knowledge controls reality. If you change the language, you change reality to be what you want it to be rather than what your opponent thinks it is." (*Language Wars: The Truth Behind Obama's Social Construction Of Reality* by Dark Knight). Look at the world of the reds and see the promotion of a religion of transgenderism. See the denial of the reality that sex is determined by genes and chromosomes and not by human decision. See the assertion that gender identity is arbitrary, subjective and independent of scientific facts. See the spectacle of men claiming to be women and women claiming to be men men receiving legal recognition for their psychoses in the name of equal protection, constitutional rights and due process of law. See the walls of reason and civilization breached by a stampede of transgender activists whose agenda is to enact mental illness and crackpot antiscience into the supreme laws of the land: "A growing number of states and members of the US Congress are backing legislation to protect female athletes from competing against men who consider themselves to be transgender women. This is in contrast to President Joe Biden's focus on expanding gender identity rights, including allowing them to serve in the US military. Biden also took early action to decide executively that Title IX, a statute to protect women from discrimination because of their biological sex at schools receiving federal funds, also protects gender identity. Politico reported on the bill in at least six states and included talking points favored by LGBT activists who deny the reality of two sexes, that is, sex assigned at birth as and 'limiting opportunities for transgender athletes'. A federal judge temporarily blocked an Idaho law that limits participation in sports based on one's biological sex. Lindsay Hecox, a man who is living as a woman, filed the lawsuit challenging the law, claiming 'the law violates the equal protection and due process clauses of the 14th Amendment and the unlawful searches and seizures clause of the Fourth Amendment,' Politico reported." (*States, Congress Fight To Preserve the Two Sexes From Joe Biden's Transgender Push* by Penny Starr). Look at the world of the reds and see a religion of radical environmentalism which condemns scientific and technological progress. See a religion which exalts the air, trees, water and animals as manifestations of God and scintillating lights of mystical goodness and condemns man and everything he creates as emissaries of Satan and the essence of evil. See a religion which views nature untouched by man as pure and sacred and is fixated upon its goal of destroying human civilization. See a religion which has compiled an index of forbidden objects, and included in it fossil fuels, nuclear power, hydroelectric dams, genetically modified foods, consumer capitalism and modern business corporations, and consigned them to the flames of Luddite reaction and primitive regression packaged as progressive politics: "There is more, and recent, antiscience fare from far left progressives, documented in the 2012 book *Science Left*

*Behind* by science journalists Alex B. Berezow and Hank Campbell. On energy issues, for example, the authors contend that progressive liberals tend to be antinuclear because of the waste disposal problem, antifossil fuels because of global warming, antihydroelectric because dams disrupt river ecosystems, and antiwind power because of avian fatalities. The underlying current is 'everything natural is good' and 'everything unnatural is bad.' Whereas conservatives obsess over the purity and sanctity of sex, the left's sacred values seem fixated on the environment, leading to an almost religious fervor over the purity and sanctity of air, water and especially food. Try having a conversation with a liberal progressive about GMOs—genetically modified organisms—in which the words *Monsanto* and *profit* are not dropped like syllogistic bombs. Comedian Bill Maher, for example, on his HBO *Real Time show* on October 19, 2012, asked Stonyfield Farm CEO Gary Hirshberg if he would rate Monsanto as a 10 (evil) or an 11? The fact is that we've been genetically modifying organisms for 10,000 years through breeding and selection. It's the only way to feed billions of people." (*The Left's War On Science* by Michael Shermer). Exhibit two: the reds accuse antireds of promoting hatred and discrimination on the basis of gender, race and other attributes. Reality: the reds are themselves virulent advocates of racism, sexism and special treatment for people on the basis of group membership. Look at the world of the reds and see an ideology and movement of tribal power which seeks to roll back the progress of liberal enlightenment civilization. See the megaphones of nationalism blaring out the will to power of women, blacks, queers, transgenders, illegal aliens, violent criminals, and all those who are marginalized by the laws and customs of decent, civilized society. See the loud, harsh blasts of chauvinists rallying and agitating in the swamplands of social rights and demanding the abolition of equal treatment of all before the law. See the voices of collectivism streaming in from the fearsome spectrum of human madness, joining and rising together into a mighty chorus, targeting for assassination the voices of reasoned discourse and dissent, and in the name of expansiveness, inclusion, liberation and social justice, proclaiming the end of individual rights and universal rational humanity and the onset of an age of red totalitarian oppression: "We are expansive. We are a collective of liberators who believe in an inclusive and spacious movement. We also believe that in order to win and bring as many people with us along the way, we must move beyond the narrow nationalism that is all too prevalent in Black communities. We must ensure we are building a movement that brings all of us to the front. We affirm the lives of Black queer and trans folks, disabled folks, undocumented folks, folks with records, women, and all Black lives along the gender spectrum. Our network centers those who have been marginalized within Black liberation movements. We are working for a world where Black lives are no longer systematically targeted for demise. We affirm our humanity, our contributions to this society, and our resilience in the face of deadly oppression. The call for Black lives to matter is a rallying cry for ALL Black lives striving for liberation." (*blacklivesmatter.com*). Students of human psychology know that the prognosis of cases of severe mental illness is poor. Knowing the weakness of the psychotic's character, they know that the likely course of his illness is descent into a state of total collapse and nonfunctioning. Studying the reds' illness of projection, they forecast the dissolution of society into a cockpit of bloody violence.

### **BIDEN--ALLENDE**

National destruction. This is what civilized people saw who surveyed the field of Chile during the years when Salvador Allende was in power, from 1970 to 1973. The first democratically elected Marxist president in Latin American history, Allende moved quickly to consolidate red power and lower the curtain on freedom throughout the nation. Spreading

widely and rapidly, Allende's reds took over the banks, manufacturing and mining industries, and private estates. By 1972, food production had plummeted and food imports had soared. Leading his Popular Unity Party comprised of reds of all factions, Allende befriended the communist regimes of Cuba, China, Korea, Vietnam, Albania and the Soviet Union, and the latter provided substantial aid to his government. Under Allende the following symptoms of national free fall appeared: demand for goods outstripped supply; the economy crashed; deficit spending skyrocketed; new investments dried up; foreign exchange disappeared; the government printed money to increase wages and salaries and inflation soared to stratospheric heights, reaching 500 percent; a black market thrived and stalked the land; copper sales plummeted in consequence of the seizure of private copper mines; mobs led by commissars seized control over all private enterprises; and the land was proclaimed to be a "social property area" and all private holdings were seized by state intervention, requisition and expropriation. Beholding a government which had violated the constitution, taken away the freedom of the people and destroyed the economy, concerned citizens from across the nation gathered together in August 1973 and demanded Allende's resignation. When Allende refused to resign, they demanded a military takeover of the government. Heeding the call of the people, the military, under the command of General Augusto Pinochet, removed Allende from office in September 1973. Under the leadership of Pinochet, the coup which overthrew Allende's government established a period of freedom, prosperity and protection from the reds which made Chile the envy of Latin America. Among the most unpleasant tasks with which students of history and politics are confronted is the identification of parallels of evil existing between nations. Staring at them with all the anger, fierceness, intensity and dazzling light of the noonday sun is the parallel between Chile under the leadership of President Salvador Allende and present day America under the leadership of President Joe Biden. Staring at them so that they cannot look away from it, cannot evade it and cannot deny its stark, fearsome and terrifying reality, the parallel is that of national destruction by a leader supported in his efforts by millions of reds from across the nation who are driven by a perfervid hatred of the liberal order and the capitalist system and want to see it abolished immediately. Staring at them is the record President Biden has compiled in first days of his presidency which betokens a coming train wreck and the dissolution of American freedom, culture and constitutional government. Reviving the platforms and policies of the Obama administration by the issuance of a record breaking number of executive orders and proclamations, Biden has fulfilled his campaign pledge to effect a red takeover of the nation and has cast the specter of Allende, the specter of national suicide and democratic self destruction, across the face of American society. Students of history and politics have seen Biden's flurry of executive orders and his first acts as president and wonder, as those oppressed by Allende wondered, how long the nation can survive this intense, impassioned onslaught launched by the reds. They have seen an order which rejoins America to the Paris Climate Accord and rolls back the nation's industrial and technological progress into primitive wastelands marked *environmental justice* and *zero carbon emissions*. They have seen an order which mandates preferential treatment for women, nonwhites, transgenders and homosexuals in the name of equity for the marginalized and the underserved: "Advance equity for all, including people of color and others who have been historically underserved, marginalized and adversely affected by persistent poverty and inequality." They have seen an order which lifts Donald Trump's ban on entry by residents of high risk Muslim majority nations and orders the commencement of visa processing for them, and thereby greenlights the settlement of terror threats and religious fanaticism in the name of tolerance and pluralism. They have seen an order which lifts Trump's ban on communities which shield illegal aliens from deportation. They have seen an order which lifts Trump's ban on

excessive business regulations which mandated that federal agencies to discard two regulations for every one regulation proposed. They have seen an order which lifts Trump's ban on incorporating illegal aliens into the national census. They have seen an order which revokes the permit issued by Trump allowing construction of the Keystone XL pipeline and which, in the name of environmental justice and indigenous rights, will eliminate tens of thousands of high paying construction jobs and make America energy dependent. They have seen an order which bans discrimination by federal agencies against gender psychotics who claim in the face of reality to have transitioned into the opposite sex. They have seen an order which terminates construction and funding for a wall at the nation's southern border, inaugurated by Trump, which has kept out tens of thousands of illegal aliens. They have seen an order which freezes federal student loan payments and excuses financial irresponsibility and forces taxpayers to subsidize it on the grounds that "too many Americans are struggling to pay for basic necessities and to provide for their families." They have seen an order which reaffirms the Deferred Action on Childhood Arrivals program, which Trump opposed, a program which protects illegal aliens who arrived in United States as children from deportation, and a program which greenlights the illegal settlement of millions. They have seen an order which grants American Indian and Alaskan Native tribes veto power over the expansion of Western civilization on tribal lands. They have seen an order which mandates preferential treatment for Asians and Pacific Islanders in the name of achieving cultural competence and sensitivity. They have seen an order which creates an office of domestic climate policy, a national climate task force and an environmental justice council which will oversee the abolition of coal mining, power plants and human material betterment in order to "address the disproportionate health, environmental, economic and climate impacts on disadvantaged communities." Students of history and politics have looked at the world of Joe Biden and seen a president who seeks to blow up the Supreme Court with a bench packed with red dynamite. A president who seeks to abolish judicial integrity, independence and legitimacy by transforming Supreme Court judges into marionettes of red fueled mobs. A president who seeks to sacrifice the rule of law and the plain meaning of the constitutional text upon altars marked *social justice* and *identity politics*. A president who has established a commission of red hangmen sitting under banners marked *court reform* to pronounce a sentence of death upon individual rights and freedoms in America: "President Joe Biden has begun appointing members of a special commission that will consider expanding the Supreme Court, among other 'reforms.' Some Democrats have proposed packing the Court, by expanding the number of justices and filling the resulting vacancies with left wing judges. The goal is to eliminate the Court's current nominal 6-3 conservative majority, which Democrats like former Attorney General Eric Holder claim undermines its legitimacy. Biden dodged questions about court packing throughout the fall of 2020, until announcing his plan to create a commission to study the issue. As *Breitbart News* reported in late October: 'Biden confirmed to reporters in Chester, Pennsylvania, that he would create a 'bipartisan commission' to study 'reforms' to the judiciary, and that it would report to him within 180 days of his taking office in January.' Politico reported Wednesday that the 'bipartisan' commission will be chaired by Biden's former campaign lawyer, Bob Bauer, a career Democrat operative who also worked for the Perkins Coie firm. Perkins Coie was involved in the Russia dossier on then candidate Donald Trump in 2016, and its election law practice, headed by Marc Elias, led Democrats' filings throughout the 2020 presidential election and in its aftermath." (*Biden Creates 'Bipartisan' Court-packing Commission Led by Democrat Election Lawyer Bob Bauer* by Joel Pollak). Deploring the parallels they have seen, students of history and politics do want to see a parallel between Allende and Biden come into being. Allende was overthrown when

patriotic, freedom loving communities of Chileans defied the red majority in control of the nation and knocked on the doors of the barracks when peaceful change had proved impossible to bring about. In like manner, students of history and politics today want to see patriotic, freedom loving communities of Americans employ the use of force in national politics. They want to see these communities stage an armed insurrection to depose the president and give the nation a reprieve from a self execution which is hurtling down the guillotine.

### **THE RED JUGGERNAUT**

A juggernaut is an immense, powerful, overwhelming force. The word is derived from the Sanskrit word *Jagannatha*, which means "Lord of the world". In ancient Hindu practice, Krishna, the Lord of the world, was worshiped by devotees who threw themselves beneath a chariot containing his image and perished. America in the twenty first century has reached the end of its line and crashed into a final stop marked *mass democracy*. At this end station, it has descended into a jungle ruled by an angry red mob and this mob has launched a juggernaut which has razed to the ground every last structure supporting American freedom and liberty. The lawless mayhem of the red mob is a campaign to establish the supremacy of gender, race and class politics in every nook and cranny of society and to burn down the house of liberal enlightenment built up by the British settlers in the New World and by the blood of American revolutionary patriots. Sanctioned, nourished, empowered and stoked by eighty million reds who voted for Joe Biden as president, the national campaign of red repression has left nothing antired in its path untouched and unharmed. This crusade to silence all those who in any way, shape or form are opposed to the reds has compiled a record of success which has been the envy of red dictatorships throughout the world and is without precedent in the history of Western liberal democracies. The tragic character of the repression, committed by a nation which was once the world's greatest arsenal, bulwark, fortress and policeman of freedom, is matched only by the severity, ruthlessness and pervasiveness of its mesh, net and trap which has spared nobody and nothing and painted the nation in a fearsome and terrifying monochrome of red totalitarian tyranny. Crushing whatever lies in its path, the massive, inexorable force of the reds has seen Twitter remove Donald Trump's account. It has seen Twitter permanently suspend 70,000 accounts on the grounds that speech in favor of freedom, capitalism and Donald Trump is speech in favor of QAnon and global conspiracy. It has seen Facebook remove the Walkaway Campaign, which featured testimonials by former Democrats who had left the party due to its total domination by the reds; according to Facebook, antired speech is inherently hateful, threatening and obscene. It has seen Amazon remove the libertarian social media platform Parler on the grounds that libertarian speech is inherently violent speech. It has seen the Defense Department remove antireds from its ranks on the grounds that antired speech is speech in favor of white supremacy and far right extremism. It has seen the National Guard remove antireds from its ranks on the grounds that antired speech is a fringe political viewpoint. It has seen antired protests condemned as domestic terrorism and outlawed. It has seen the reds stalk and murder the defenders of freedom, capitalism, limited government and constitutional rights, calling them fascists and racists who deserve to die. It has seen the reds condemn the seventy five million people who voted for Donald Trump and the cause of liberty as members of a dangerous cult who must be deprogrammed, deplatformed, dismantled and censored. It has seen the reds condemn the assault on the Capital on January 6, 2020 by patriotic Americans, and these Americans' attempt at insurrection in order to obtain redress and reverse the results of a fraudulent election, an election whose fraud was attested by tens of thousands of sworn affidavits and depositions given by eyewitnesses. It

has seen and the reds' campaign to silence and persecute the voices protesting this election fraud and the voices advocating insurrection. It has seen the reds condemn those who trusted their own eyes, who trusted their own reasoning faculties, and who knew a stolen election when they saw it, as detached from all reality and inhabitants of an alternative universe who need to be educated, conditioned, disciplined, indoctrinated and lobotomized. It has seen the reds take Newsmax and One America News Network off the air on the grounds that they are harmful influencers for liberal capitalist freedom. It has seen the reds launch a Trump Accountability Project which seeks to prevent former Trump administration officials from finding work on the grounds that people who worked for Donald Trump worked for Satan himself: they worked for a figure who resolutely and unwaveringly defended the antisocial, antiprogressive, satanic cause of constitutional rights and individual freedom. It has seen red publishing companies launch a No Book Deals For Traitors campaign which seeks to prevent former Trump officials from publishing their works. It has seen red students and professors at Harvard University circulate a letter demanding the revocation of degrees earned by Republican politicians who followed the facts and saw the fraud in the 2020 election and stood up and said so. It has seen the red website *MoveOn.org* demand that Senators Ted Cruz and Josh Hawley be excluded from all committee assignments and be expelled from the Senate because they defied the red party line, stood up for facts and reality, and called out the fraud in the 2020 election. The totalitarian forces of the reds have seen an organized course of action to eliminate the existence of free, independent minded, nonpartisan, nonindoctrinated Americans. They have seen a campaign to program the population in a deadly cult whose tenets are gender, race and class. They have seen riots and rampages through city streets stampeding the voices of reason and dissent. It has seen red mobs attacking the supporters of Donald Trump on the grounds that Trump reduced tax burdens upon the people's incomes, reduced regulatory burdens upon the people's businesses, reduced unemployment among women, blacks and hispanics to their lowest levels in the nation's history, moved the American embassy to Jerusalem and stood resolutely behind Israel's right to exist and to defend itself and defend Western civilization against terror attacks, and Trump protected the nation against the searching tentacles of theft, piracy, sabotage and subversion streaming out from the moral wastelands of China. They have seen a campaign to impeach and remove Donald Trump from the face of the earth for leading an insurrection to reverse the results of a fraudulent election which cheated him out of victory, a fraud attested by tens of thousands of sworn affidavits and depositions submitted throughout the nation. It has seen a campaign to invade the sacred precincts of man's conscience and force belief to follow the dark paths of political correctness. They have seen a campaign to stamp censorship across the pages of social media and scramble search engines, television screens, radio signals and motion picture celluloid to silence the voices of freedom and liberty and send out a declaration of war against the West: "In response to some people claiming Trump supporters need to be 'deprogrammed' Fox News contributor Mike Huckabee on Tuesday explained why people voted for the former reality TV star to lead the country. 'The first thing would be to get some of these leftist loons [to] take their boot off my neck. I don't need that, I'm supposed to be a free American with the capacity to think for myself,' Huckabee told *Fox & Friends*. 'If my views are as horrible as they think they are, then nobody will follow them,' Huckabee said. Liberal journalists and social media voices have stepped up their calls to deprogram, deplatform or outright threaten right leaning voices in the aftermath of the deadly Capital riot earlier this month. During an appearance Friday on *Real Time with Bill Maher*, former *CBS News* anchor Katie Couric ripped the Republicans in Congress who voted against impeaching President Trump over a charge of inciting rioters on January 6. 'The question is how are we going to really almost

deprogram these people who have signed up for the cult of Trump,' Couric said. Her language mirrored that of liberal *Washington Post* columnist Eugene Robinson in the aftermath of the riot, when a proTrump mob stormed the Capitol building in a deadly melee. Robinson said last week on MSNBC 'there are millions of Americans, almost all White, almost all Republicans, who somehow need to be deprogrammed,' calling them members of a 'Trumpist cult.' Huckabee asserted that there is no cult of Trump supporters, but rather people who 'appreciate the many' actions that President Trump made for the country such as 'standing up for the sanctity of life, standing with Israel by moving an embassy into that country, and resetting the Middle East. I'm glad he cut taxes, deregulated for businesses so that people had the highest number of jobs among hispanics, blacks and women in the history of the country. I'm glad that he pushed back against China so we're not rolling over as these communists continue to steal everything, including our information and our data and every single secret and innovation we've created. There are some things that even a leftist ought to be able to look at and say, You know what? President Trump has done some good things, but they can't. And they're the ones who are following the cult, the cult of the left, that thinks somehow that they're always right, they're never wrong, and the rest of us are crazy.' " (*Huckabee Pushes Back On Calls For 'Deprogramming' Trump Voters: 'The Cult Of The Left'* by Joshua Nelson). In the final days of World War II, Hitler's senior officers and officials refused to implement his orders for a scorched earth policy as German forces retreated into the Reich. As a result of their refusal, German industries were preserved and were able to assist with the nation's postwar revival. Before the reds' present day onslaught against America commanded from the street corner by the neighbors next door, there is no countervailing force or institution which can halt the mass destruction. The final days of collapse are upon America and are as unstoppable as death.

### **THE REPUBLICAN PARTY, 1854-1940, RIP**

The death of an organism is rarely a sudden event but is preceded by a long period of decline and senescence. During this period, the digestive and respiratory systems begin to shut down, circulation slows and blood pools, and breathing becomes sporadic and shallow. The death of an organization is similarly preceded by an extended process of dying. In one of their most unpleasant tasks, students of American politics have studied one of the most tragic deaths of an organization ever to take place in the history of the nation, a death which betokened the death of American liberty itself and the death of a constitutional republic. This was the death of the Republican Party in 1940. Like the death of an organism, the death of the party was preceded by a long period of decline. This period commenced around 1900 and the party went on a four decade long ignominious descent before it reached its final death rattle, gasp and collapse. In 1854 in a little schoolhouse on 305 Blackburn Street in Ripon, Wisconsin, the Republican Party was born, the name paying homage to Thomas Jefferson's Republican Party, and like its forerunner, dedicating itself to the consolidation and expansion of liberty throughout the land. The party opposed the Kansas-Nebraska Act, which created the territories of Kansas and Nebraska and repealed the Missouri Compromise by allowing slavery to be established in these territories and allowing them to become future slave states. The party opposed the expansion of slavery outside the South and in 1861 led the war to destroy the Confederate States of America which defended slavery, and fought to abolish slavery across America. The party's commitment to the defense of human liberty and constitutional government was reflected in its banners and standards which were emblazoned with the words *Free Soil, Free Labor, Free Men*. The party led the ratification of the Thirteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution which abolished slavery in



the nation. Listen to the words of Abraham Lincoln, the first Republican president, as he orders all slaves to be freed and allows them to work for fair wages like free people and to serve in the nation's armed services defending the cause of freedom. As he proclaims an act of justice, conscience, human civilization and constitutional law: "I do order and declare that all persons held as slaves within said designated States, and parts of States, are, and henceforward shall be free; and that the executive government of the United States, including the military and naval authorities thereof, will recognize and maintain the freedom of said persons. And I hereby enjoin upon the people so declared to be free to abstain from all violence, unless in necessary self defense; and I recommend to them that, in all cases when allowed, they labor faithfully for reasonable wages. And I further declare and make known, that such persons of suitable condition, will be received into the armed service of the United States to garrison forts, positions, stations, and other places, and to man vessels of all sorts in said service. And upon this act, sincerely believed to be an act of justice, warranted by the Constitution, upon military necessity, I invoke the considerate judgment of mankind, and the gracious favor of Almighty God." (*Emancipation Proclamation* by Abraham Lincoln, issued January 1, 1863). Called by political scientists a realigning election, the 1896 presidential election saw the abandonment by the Republican Party of its founding platform of liberty and its realignment toward the policies of statism. Promoted by President William McKinley and after McKinley's assassination in 1901 by President Theodore Roosevelt, this new orientation of the party was an abject surrender to the power of the mob and marked the ascendancy of mob politics in America, and marked the beginning of the end of the Republican Party as the nation's premier voice of liberty. Packaged as Progressivism in the bright ribbons of the reds, the voices of the new Republican Party proclaimed that capitalism is a system of plutocracy, predatory wealth, oppression of wage workers, and market competition which cruelly crushes the incompetent, and these social evils can only be eliminated through regulation by the state. Listen to the words of Theodore Roosevelt which promote statism in the name of fair terms and fair dealing. Which advocate the abolition of private companies and private capital. Which advocate the enslavement of private individuals, private employees and private corporations to a leviathan called *The Public*. Which advocate the supervision of private commerce and private labor by the bureaus and departments of the state. Which seek to place interstate business in the iron grip of federal commissars. Which advocate the social removal of the highways and thoroughfares of freedom and liberty. Which seek to suppress the voices of constitutional rights and keep the political paths clear for red totalitarian planners. Which declare war against private goods, private markets, private producers and those with great property and seek to place wealth and people in the service of the state. Which reject the notion that the individual is the owner of his life and property and command self sacrifice and self immolation in the name of community, patriotism and good of the nation: "In his turn, the capitalist who is really a conservative, the man who has forethought as well as patriotism, should heartily welcome every effort, legislative or otherwise, which has for its object to secure fair dealing by capital, corporate or individual, toward the public and toward the employee. Such laws as the franchise tax law in this State, which the Court of Appeals recently unanimously decided constitutional--such a law as that passed in Congress last year for the purpose of establishing a Department of Commerce and Labor, under which there should be a bureau to oversee and secure publicity from the great corporations which do an interstate business--such a law as that passed at the same time for the regulation of the great highways of commerce so as to keep these roads clear on fair terms to all producers in getting their goods to market--these laws are in the interest not merely of the people as a whole, but of the propertied classes. For in no way is the stability of property better assured

than by making it patent to our people that property bears its proper share of the burdens of the state; that property is handled not only in the interest of the owner, but in the interest of the whole community." (*A Square Deal* by Theodore Roosevelt, 1903). Deteriorating beneath the white flag of surrender to mob demands during the Progressive Era and the Square Deal, the Republican Party tolled its death knell in 1940 with the candidacy of Wendell Wilkie for president. Supporting Roosevelt's New Deal programs, supporting the expansion of Social Security, and supporting full employment through the actions of the state, Wilkie proclaimed "I pledge a new world" which would banish and exclude the American institutions of liberty enshrined in the Republican Party of Abraham Lincoln. Listen to the words of a polar opposite of Lincoln and the pall bearer of Lincoln's party. Listen as he proclaims that free enterprise cannot exist in a progressive society and economic activity, anywhere and everywhere it exists, interstate and intrastate, must be invaded, occupied and colonized by the state. As he proclaims that capitalism is a system of business monopolies and that successful companies must be broken up and dissolved in the name of helping companies which are unsuccessful and incompetent. As he proclaims that a progressive society has repealed freedom of contract and establish state control over wages and hours. As he proclaims that a progressive society has reduced the individual to a helpless, nonresponsible ward of the state and cosseted him in a blanket of cradle to grave welfare: "I believe that the forces of free enterprise must be regulated. I am opposed to business monopolies. I believe in collective bargaining, by representatives of labor's own free choice, without any interference and in full protection of those obvious rights. I believe in the maintenance of minimum standards for wages and of maximum standards for hours. I believe that such standards should constantly improve. I believe in the federal regulation of interstate utilities, of securities markets, and of banking. I believe in federal pensions, in adequate old age benefits, and in unemployment allowances." (*Address Accepting the Presidential Nomination* by Wendell Wilkie, 1940). Since the candidacy of Wendell Wilkie, the Republican Party has existed in name only. During the past eight decades, this spectral formation has advanced the program and manifesto of the mob and in the persons of Dwight Eisenhower, Richard Nixon, Gerald Ford, George H. W. Bush and George W. Bush, it has marched under such slogans of surrender as *centrism, a thousand points of light, a kinder, gentler nation, progovernment conservatism and compassionate conservatism*. Two Republican presidents attempted to return the party to its roots. Marching under the same slogan, *Make America Great Again*, Ronald Reagan and Donald Trump were two mavericks defeated and neutralized by the red mobs who run America assisted by their lackeys in the media, entertainment and academic establishments. Campaigning under two additional slogans, *Keep America Great* and *Promises Made, Promises Kept*, symbolizing his determination to take his party and his nation back to the land of liberty, Donald Trump went down to defeat in the election of 2020. Attested by tens of thousands of sworn affidavits and depositions, the greatest act of electoral fraud in the history of the United States engineered and arranged Trump's defeat at the hands of the reds. Canceled, suppressed, deplatformed, impeached, removed and run out of town by the reds in his own party and by the reds in control of the nation, Donald Trump was Lady Liberty's last lone riot and last attempt at insurrection. He stood alone before a deaf, degenerate party and a deaf, degenerate society yelling *stop*.

### **ALBERT SPEER: ARCHITECT OF A NEW MOVEMENT**

Students of history know that nations are replete with ironies and that the greatest contributions to humanity have arisen out of the most evil regimes. The first man made earth

satellite, *Sputnik 1*, was launched in 1957 by the Soviet Union, the most evil regime in history. In the horrors of the Third Reich and in the person of one of its morally compromised senior officials, students of history have been able separate form from content and art from propaganda and identify the greatest architect in the past one hundred years. Rejecting Modern architecture and its antiworld of zero mass, zero gravity and zero functionality, this architect's name was Albert Speer and he led a movement of classical revivalism which gladdened the heart and soothed the conscience of rationalist architects if it had no effect whatever upon developments in their field. The Olympian torch bearers in ancient Greece sped through the corridors of time and space; they sailed through Bulgaria, Romania, Hungary, Slovakia, Poland and Czechia; and when they landed in Germany, they handed Speer the light of reason and told him to design buildings in the spirit of antiquity, animated by the principles of physical substance and physical reality. The classical world of Speer is a world of monumental architecture which emphasizes strength and durability. It is a world of universal and rational architecture. It is a world of tectonic soundness and constructional stability. It is a world of rational essences and the rejection of buildings as ideological statements. It is a world of buildings which are comfortable and well designed. It is a world of grandeur, elegance, integrity and solidity. It is a world of civilized, well mannered buildings. It is a world of harmony, firmness and utility. It is a world of real, rooted, flesh and blood human establishment. It is a world of timeless monuments, stasis and peace. It is a world of rational authority and rational dignity. It is a world of monumentalism tempered by proportion, colossalness tempered by scale, and grandness framed by gravity and logic. It is a world of buildings which are imposing but earth centered, and majestic but grounded in mass. It is a world of articulation, correctness, calculation and balance. It is a world in which buildings and settings exist in correspondence and correlation. It is a world of buildings marked by limit, measure, rational quality and rational style. It is a world of efficient, functional and beautiful buildings. It is a world of rational culture, rational skills, rational standards and rational taste. It is a world of solid construction and human experience. It is a world of rational structure, rational orders, rational dispositions and rational plans. It is a world which has been distilled and essentialized as the product of pure rational intelligence devoid of all nonutilitarian elements and admixtures: "Stripped Classicism is Classical architecture from which moldings, ornament, and details have been elided, leaving visible only the structural and proportional systems. Speer, Speeth, Stern and Troost, among many others, experimented with stripped Classicism. It is a feature Neo Classicism, as well as of Rational architecture, notably works by Grassi and Rossi. Sometimes Classical ornament is merely suggested or implied, as when incisions are used instead of moldings. With most *stripped* or *diagrammatic* Classicism the orders are only alluded to in the most subtle way, but could be added, as the proportions and dispositions of elements would permit this. Soane's Dulwich Picture Gallery and Mausoleum, London, are examples." (Dictionary of Architecture and Landscape Architecture, Encyclopedia.com). The world of Speer is a world of rational building arts and urbanism managed by rational scale and rational dimensions. It is world of traditionalism in which architecture is an exercise in functionalism and not an arm of politics. It is world which exalts the concern for comfort and utility as the supreme concern of architecture and does not condemn this as a form of snobbery. It is world which gives man, real, physical man, man who lives, breathes and walks on earth, a place in the universe. It is world in which buildings possess matter and weight and do not float endlessly through space. It is world in which buildings are characterized by load and support and do not soar into the infinite. It is world of beautifully shaped and styled buildings which serve as anchors, heavy objects and sources of stability in town squares, city centers and street scapes, and not as otherworldly vaultings into the

distant reaches of outer space. Known and appreciated by a vanishing minority today, it is world in which buildings possess rational charm, provide rational delight, offer rational utility and represent people's ordinary perceptions of natural, gravity bound existence: "The traditional classical architect is a lonely figure at the start of the twenty first century. Now, with the blessing of longstanding enthusiast Prince Charles, the classical architects have regrouped under the banner of the rather teutonic sounding Intbau (International Network for Building Art and Urbanism). Chaired by Robert Adam, architect of the new Sackler Library in Oxford, Intbau was launched at the end of last month with a conference at the Prince's Foundation in London. 'We've already contacted architects working in Japan and New Zealand as well as Europe and the United States,' says Matthew Hardy, an Australian architectural historian who runs the organization's website. They feel like renegades because they believe in traditionalism. Perhaps some of the revived classical architecture of recent years has been a little clumsy. It's early days yet, and perhaps it's been connected in some people's minds, particularly in Britain, with old fashioned snobbery. What Intbau proves is that traditional and classical architecture has a wide global base of support. It's time for these architects and lobby groups, whatever their backgrounds, aspirations and politics, to stop feeling that they're alone.' A lonely hearts' club? 'Well, in a way,' says Robert Adam, one of the most successful modern British classicists. 'Take an architect like Pim Hiemans in Holland, whom we have only met recently. He works in a culture that has seen modernism become institutionalized. For him to have designed and built a new Palladian-style villa [Villa Zegwaard in Haantje, near The Hague] is not only unheard of, but anathema to the Dutch profession. He feels vilified; but, now, through Intbau, he's meeting likeminded colleagues.' 'There's nothing wrong with being modern or up to date,' says the Prince of Wales in his letter of support to the first Intbau conference, 'but the idea that we should just cast off all the traditions that give us our place in the world is very damaging indeed. Traditional building and traditional places have lessons for us all.' Few people will disagree with the prince's sentiment. In terms of revived traditional architecture, however, two key questions are: is it relevant, and is it any good? Adam points to the Millennium Pavilion, a garden temple his practice designed for the grounds of Lord Sainsbury of Preston Candover's country house. He worked with Anthony Hunt, a structural engineer more normally associated with ultramodern architects such as Norman Foster and Richard Rogers. Adam's lightweight pavilion attempts to 'blend classical architecture with cutting edge structural engineering'. A copper clad dome, supported by filigree stainless steel supports, appears from a distance to float above limestone Corinthian columns with sharply defined bronze capitals. The pattern of the aluminum vaulting decorating the underside of the dome is bit like that of a crop circle--unintended, yet appropriate perhaps for a folly in a field. This is an undeniably charming structure--traditional architecture seen through modern designer specs--but of little relevance to the everyday design of workaday buildings and city centers. So what's the point in pursuing classicism? There does seem to be a point in creating beautifully wrought traditional style buildings in the sensitive streetscapes of old European cities, as award winning Intbau member Pier Carlo Bontempi has done successfully in Fornova di Taro, near Parma. With the Parisian architect Maurice Culot, he has built a convincing new town square surrounded by brand new traditionally styled buildings. Even more convincing is the work of any number of state employed architects who have worked since the second world war to restore Warsaw and St Petersburg." (*Hooked On Classics* by Jonathan Glancey). In 1966, Albert Speer, Reichminister and organizer of the German war economy, was released from Spandau prison. But Albert Speer, classical architect, was kept in confinement. The oblivion to which classical architecture has been sentenced has been as deep and enduring as the dynamite blasts destroying Speer's works have been loud and

thunderous. Zeppelinfeld; the New Reich Chancellery; the German Pavilion at the 1937 International Exposition in Paris, Prachtstrasse or the Street of Magnificence; Volkshalle; the Prora tourist resort; and the street lanterns on Berlin's East-West Axis. These are the works of an individual described by architect and historian Leon Krier as the founder of classical revivalist architecture. They have been hurled into the dustbin of history along with the sane thinking which demanded that buildings be gravitationally bound, practical in wind, water, sun, heat, cold, rain and snow, and comfortable and correct for human existence. Rejecting these classical principles of architecture, the Faustian architecture of the West has substituted a pure ascent into infinite space for physical, tectonic, constructional buildings, and rendered Speer and his world null and void.

### MERKEL'S PHARMACY

The phrase *hitting rock bottom* is commonly used with reference to drug addiction. It refers to the lowest point in an addict's life in which his existence has been irreparably damaged and there is nothing good left to destroy. In Germany, Europe's most powerful nation, a drug dispensed by the reds called socialism and statism has been consumed in such vast quantities over the past two decades that the addiction has destroyed the moral and cultural basis for the continuance of freedom in the country. The overdose has occurred during the chancellorship of Angela Merkel and civilized people who have seen the record of Germany's chief pusher, panderer and trafficker for the reds have seen the tragic deterioration of a nation which decades before had championed the libertarian policies implemented by Chancellor Konrad Adenauer and his Economics Minister Ludwig Erhard. They have seen a nation decline into a shooting gallery which has enacted an open borders policy inviting over one million refugees from the Middle East to settle in its cities and towns. A shooting gallery which has forced German taxpayers to support these refugees. A shooting gallery which proclaims that those who defend Western liberal values against Islamic fundamentalism are stoking the fires of hatred and bigotry and must be suppressed. A shooting gallery which promotes the dogmas of multiculturalism and moral relativism in the name of tolerance and openness. A shooting gallery which has settled 21 million residents, or over a quarter of the population, with a migrant background, residents who are dedicated to the destruction of the West. A shooting gallery which advocates mass migration from the moral sewers of the planet in the name of diversity and inclusion. A shooting gallery which has organized the return and settlement of recruits from the terror group the Islamic State, recruits who committed crimes against humanity in Syria, on humanitarian grounds. A shooting gallery which has blanketed the nation with lockdowns, shutdowns and unprecedented restrictions on human rights, and set into a motion of wave of corporate bankruptcies and economic collapse, in the name of fighting the covid pandemic: "Chancellor Merkel has suggested that the new, harsher lockdown restrictions will last until at least January 10th, but *Bloomberg* reports she may extend them for much longer, possibly linking an easing of restrictions to the immunization of over 60 per cent of the population. Marcel Fratzscher, of the DIW economic institute, has predicted 'a wave of corporate bankruptcies next year' as the economic impact of the pandemic and associated lockdowns bites, saying: 'It's not the question of whether it's going to come. It's more a question of when exactly companies will fail.' " (*Fist Waving Merkel Warns Germans of 'Last Christmas with the Grandparents' Amid 'Winter Failure'* by Jack Montgomery). A shooting gallery which has transformed Germany into a cesspool of migrant criminality. A shooting gallery which has granted citizenship to asylum seekers and issued them passports to commit murder and mayhem. A shooting gallery which has placed knives in the hands of savages

from North Africa and told them to go out and stab children. A shooting gallery which has reduced city centers to arenas of bloody violence and reigned down darkness upon the noonday light. A shooting gallery which has set up areas in which scum streaming in from the distant corners of the world, without homes or habitations among human beings, are assembled, processed, fed, clothed, housed, looked after and unleashed upon the citizens of civilization: "The mayor of a German city has said locals are afraid to let their children out alone because of growing criminality at an asylum seeker center housing hundreds of migrants. Andre Knapp, the mayor of the city of Suhl in Thuringia, said that locals are on edge due to the rampant criminality at a reception center that currently hosts around 600 asylum seekers. Mr Knapp has also come out against suggestions that the city should take in even more migrants. Police said that from January to mid May there had been at least 200 reported crimes involving residents of the asylum home, Focus reports. 'Problems usually concern young men from North Africa, especially after excessive consumption of alcohol,' Knapp told the magazine. He mentioned particular concern for children walking through the city center after a recent stabbing. 'Many people are critical of the recurring and sometimes increasing crime in the city center,' the mayor said. 'I get calls from parents who, in view of the most recent incident, a stabbing in broad daylight, are concerned about letting their children walk through the city center alone.' " (*Mayor Says Parents Fear For Children After Migrant Center Becomes Crime Hotspot* by Chris Tomlinson). A shooting gallery which has violated freedom of contract and mandated a national minimum wage. A shooting gallery which has violated freedom of enterprise and placed wage levels under the direction of the state. A shooting gallery which has replaced productive wages by political wages and market wages by luxury wages. A shooting gallery which has placed Europe's largest economy under the iron heel of the red's wage police. A shooting gallery which has rejected free market mechanisms for the determination of wages and denied the right of free people to work at the wages they deem fit and to freely negotiate the price of their labor with rights, dignity and autonomy all their own: "German Chancellor Angela Merkel has approved the country's first minimum wage, at 8.50 euros an hour (£7; \$11.75), to start in 2015. At the moment, the country is one of seven in the 28 nation EU without a minimum wage level. Germany has relied on trade unions and business groups to fix pay instead. Mrs Merkel's conservative Christian Democrats approved the change as part of a power sharing deal with the Social Democrats (SPD). The SPD was in favor of the move, but the conservative CDU and CSU parties had been keen to keep the status quo. Parliament is expected to debate the proposal this summer. It will then move to the upper house for approval in September. Economists said higher wages in Europe's biggest economy could help people spend more and stimulate the economy, promoting economic growth. The SPD's labor market policy spokesperson, Katja Mast, said: 'Labor has got its dignity back with a fair payment of 8.50 euros, whether in the East or West and with no industry exceptions.' " (*Angela Merkel Approves Germany's First Minimum Wage*, BBC News, April 2, 2015). A shooting gallery which has shut down Germany's nuclear power plants and bid farewell to the only possible technology which can sustain an industrial civilization. A shooting gallery which has abolished a miraculous modern producer of power in a movement of uncontrolled excitement to turn back the clock to the year zero. A shooting gallery which has effected the greatest policy reversal in the history of Germany and made opposition to human progress the supreme course of the nation and a fundamental principle of action. A shooting gallery which has draped a pall over the nation, transformed the nation into a coffin and a tomb, and emblazoned on this pall the words *nuclear phaseout*, *nuclear decommissioning*, *nuclear closure*, and *the free fall regression of human society fueled by solar panels and wind turbines*: "When Chancellor Angela Merkel called up the boss of Germany's biggest power

producer RWE two days after the first explosion at Japan's Fukushima nuclear plant, there was no mention of a u turn in her energy policy. Twenty four hours later, Juergen Grossmann learned through the media that Merkel was planning to shut down the country's oldest nuclear plants and bid farewell to a technology she had vowed was critical just six months earlier. 'There was great hysteria,' Grossmann, RWE's CEO until 2012, said during a hearing at the state of Hesse parliament this year. 'The government thought at the time that Germany was close to a nuclear disaster,' said Grossmann, according to transcripts of the hearing seen by Reuters. The decision, one of the biggest policy turnarounds in Germany's history, lays bare a lack of coordination untypical for Merkel, a physicist by training and known for her disciplined step by step approach. Half a decade on, it shows. As the Fukushima disaster approaches its fifth anniversary, Germany's utilities are in crisis and struggling to shoulder the shutdown bill. There are fears the government may have to bail them out. The main problem is the lack of planning in how to tackle the up to 80 billion euros (\$88 billion) cost of decommissioning Germany's atomic reactors. 'This should have been tackled much earlier,' said Claudia Kemfert at Berlin based DIW economic institute. 'Together with the decision to phase out nuclear power they should have found a way or come up with some legislation to deal with the cost.' (*Exit Now, Pay Later: Germany's Rushed Farewell To Nuclear Power* by Christoph Steitz). National convulsions, national agitations, national seizures, national paralysis, national fever and national delirium: such are the symptoms of Germany's fatal overdose of a drug called red politics. Serving as the nation's chancellor for almost two decades, Angela Merkel marketed drug addiction with her trademark cry *Wir Schaffen Das* (Yes We Can!). She was the chief cultivator, manufacturer, distributor and seller of the mob's opium during the final hours of Germany's life.

### **WANTED: AN INSURRECTION**

In a vanishing corner of America, a windswept copy of a dissident newspaper, *The Light Of Freedom*, lies on the pavement, disheveled, unkempt and unread. A page lies exposed as the tragic token of the last reader who studied its columns long ago. This page is the classified section. Frantically and urgently circled in bold, black lines is an advert entitled *Wanted: An Insurrection*. A man walks by and picks up the newspaper and begins reading this marked advert, curious to know its contents. The advert runs as follows. *Wanted: an insurrection against pervasive voter fraud perpetrated in the presidential election of 2020, attested to by 5,000 documents, objects and exhibits: by 1,000 reels of closed circuit surveillance footage, 1,000 sworn affidavits from eyewitnesses, 1,000 photographic stills, 1,000 depositions from cybersecurity experts and 1,000 records of illegally cast ballots. An insurrection against the inaction of judges and politicians who abdicated their duty to overturn the fraudulent election results and protect the people's constitutional right to vote in fair and honest elections. An insurrection against a red controlled Congress which has impeached and punished Donald Trump for his incitement of freedom fighters to go to war and seek recourse by the only option available to them, and attack the Capital to end the congressional certification of the election, and commit acts of violence against a government which had refused to restore election integrity and peacefully redress their grievances. An insurrection against Joe Biden's executive order to grant legal recognition to transgenders. An insurrection against the enforcement of an ideology which rejects reason, science and fundamental sanity and proclaims that sex has nothing whatever to do with genes or chromosomes and is a product of pure human decision. An insurrection against the establishment of transgender rights positions at the State Department and National Security Council. An insurrection against the enactment of the Equality Act which grants equal rights*

*to transgenders in housing, public accommodations and public services. An insurrection against allowing transgenders to gain access to the bathrooms, locker rooms and sports teams of their choice. An insurrection against a regime which encourages children to become transgender and to revel in the black gardens of mental illness: "I like the idea that an eight year old child, a ten-year-old child, decides, 'You know, I want to be transgender. That's what I think I'd like to be. It'd make my life a lot easier.' There should be zero discrimination." (Joe Biden, ABC Town Hall). An insurrection against a regime which proclaims that the granting of legal recognition to individuals who have denied the biological facts of reality is the preeminent human rights struggle of our time: "Let's be clear: Transgender equality is the civil rights issue of our time. There is no room for compromise when it comes to basic human rights." (Joe Biden). An insurrection against a regime which will restore the reds' religion of subjective gender identity and gender psychosis as a fundamental civil right: "On his first day in office, Biden will reinstate the Obama-Biden guidance revoked by the Trump-Pence Administration, which will restore transgender students' access to sports, bathrooms, and locker rooms in accordance with their gender identity. He will direct his Department of Education to vigorously enforce and investigate violations of transgender students' civil rights." (joebiden.com). An insurrection against a regime which rejects the existence of facts, empiricism and two biological sexes and outlaws discrimination against individuals who have created their own gender status and their own gender identity: "The Obama era guidance dismissed the science of two biological sexes by allowing 'sex discrimination' in Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (Title IX) to include 'discrimination based on a student's gender identity, including discrimination based on a student's transgender status.'" (Joe Biden Day 1: Order On Transgender Rights In Sports and Bathrooms by Susan Berry). An insurrection against the establishment of transgender agencies, departments, defenders, judges, coordinating committees, national legislatures and state legislatures to ensure that gender psychosis is enacted and protected as the supreme law of the land: "Question: What sort of policies are you hoping to see out of the Biden administration that could help the community? Answer: I think, one, we have to have trans people at every single department who know about all of the ways in which the federal government has been weaponized against trans people over the last four years to be there involved in those policies. I believe that those people have to be grouped in a White House coordinating committee to be able to tackle those issues. And I think that we need to have a Justice Department that takes the murders of us seriously and communicates that to police departments across the country. The last thing we need to do is that we need to stop the antitrans bills that are in over nearly half the state legislatures that are going to come up next year regardless of who's in the White House." (Imara Jones interviewed on All Things Considered, National Public Radio). An insurrection against Joe Biden's executive order which eliminated the Devos Title IX rule which mandated adherence to due process and fairness in campus hearings into sexual assault, and restored the Obama 2011 directive which transformed campus sexual assault hearings into star chambers. These were hearings in which accusations were treated as evidence, the accused was presumed to be guilty as accused, and the accused was denied the right of confrontation and cross examination. An insurrection against a regime which has rejoined the Paris Climate Accord, condemned human progress as a threat to the existence of the planet, established a zero carbon emissions policy, and banned airplanes, automobiles, factories and fossil fuels in the name of fighting environmental inequality, protecting marginalized communities, preserving wildlife and promoting biodiversity. An insurrection against a regime which has canceled the permit for the Keystone XL pipeline, running from Alberta to Nebraska, and has thereby jeopardized America's energy security. An insurrection against a regime which has returned*



to the 2015 Obama nuclear deal with Iran and given the greenlight to Iranian nuclear aggression. An insurrection against a regime which has lifted the sanctions imposed by Donald Trump against a rogue gang in the Middle East called Iran which wears the mantle of political power and has enriched its sources of violence. An insurrection against a regime which through its surrender to Iran has forced other nations in the Middle East to arm themselves with nuclear weapons. An insurrection against a regime which has empowered a criminal state called the Islamic Republic and its campaign to plan and direct mass killings throughout the world and plunge civilized communities into a nightmare: "The Biden administration has already unrolled its plan to return to the 2015 nuclear deal and has begun holding quiet talks with Iran, an Israeli TV station reported Saturday. Officials for the incoming administration have also updated Israel about the conversations, Channel 12 News said. A separate report by the Israel Hayom daily said Israel, wary of Biden's promise to return to the deal, is crafting military options to 'undermine Iran's nuclear efforts or, if need be, counter Iranian aggression, which will soon be presented to the government.' The paper also quoted Defense Minister Benny Gantz as saying: 'Israel needs to have a military option on the table.' President Donald Trump withdrew from the deal with Iran in 2018 and has since imposed crippling sanctions on Tehran. During the Obama administration, which spearheaded the deal known as the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action (JCPOA), Israel on occasion threatened to act militarily to prevent Iran from acquiring the bomb. Last week, Netanyahu last week warned against the returning to the deal. 'If we just go back to the JCPOA, what will happen and may already be happening is that many other countries in the Middle East will rush to arm themselves with nuclear weapons. That is a nightmare and that is folly. It should not happen,' Netanyahu said, speaking alongside US Treasury Secretary Steve Mnuchin in Jerusalem. Iran last week announced that it was resuming enriching uranium to 20 percent, in violation of the accords and a move Israel has warned prove the Islamic Republic is seeking nuclear weapons. The enrichment is part of a wide reaching campaign to avenge the November killing of Mohsen Fakhrizadeh, the mastermind behind the country's nuclear weapons program, which Tehran blamed on Israel as well as in response to sanction imposed by the US." (Biden Team Already In Talks With Iran Over Return to Nuclear Deal by Deborah Brand). For thousands of years, civilized people have known and affirmed a fundamental precept of politics: that political violence is justified when peaceful means of redress of legitimate grievances are no longer available. Civilized Americans have utilized this precept as a premise in an argument which has impelled them to commits acts of political violence. The argument runs as follows. Premise one: the use of political violence is justified when peaceful means of redress of legitimate grievances are no longer available. Premise two: these grievances are a stolen presidential election, the punishment of Donald Trump for leading freedom fighters to attack the Capital to take back a stolen election, the institutionalization of gender, race and class politics, and the iron heel of statism and socialism brought down upon the people. Premise three: these grievances are one and all incapable of being redressed by peaceful means. Conclusion: the violent overthrow of the United States government is morally and politically justified in order to return the nation to constitutional rule and restore the constitutional right to vote in fair and honest elections and restore the constitutional right to live in liberty. The man finishes reading this advert and throws it back onto the pavement. This American, on this street, in this twenty first century, knows that insurrection will never see the light of day in a land overrun from top to bottom, penetrated in every corner, and dyed down to the core of its being, by the reds.

## REDS PURGE SOCIETY

Students and scholars of political science use the term *purge* to refer to the removal of people or ideas deemed to be undesirable by those who are in power. The paradigm of political purges was conducted in the Soviet Union when Joseph Stalin silenced, arrested, tortured, imprisoned, enslaved and executed millions of antireds during the 1930s. The purge is the stock in trade of the reds and is proclaimed to be a moral and political imperative required to cleanse society of toxic, antisocial thoughts and ideas which promote the rights, freedoms and dignity of the individual. In the twenty first century, after a one hundred year development, the reds have achieved total control over states and societies throughout the West and in archetypal red fashion have launched a purge campaign to consolidate their power. Inspired by the Soviets, today's reds have initiated a reign of terror which seeks to silence and extirpate the voices of the opposition. Look at a systematic effort underway to eliminate every last trace and element, present anywhere in society, of people or beliefs which in any way, shape or form pose a threat to the new red order, and see the establishment of a totalitarian monolith whose precedents go back to the Soviet Union, Maoist China and Pol Pot's Cambodia. See the promotion of a program and manifesto of expulsion and exclusion which has made nations of the West, once liberal minded, tolerant, pluralistic and free, morally and culturally unrecognizable. The new red purge campaigners have proclaimed that a progressive society has impeached and convicted Donald Trump on the charge of incitement to insurrection during the protests at the Capital on January 6, 2021, and has prevented him from ever running for office again. Patriotic Americans have pointed out the such a conviction would violate a precept known and affirmed by civilized societies since civilized societies first foot on the face of the earth thousands of years ago. This is the precept that if the peaceful redress of legitimate grievances is not possible, then the use of force and violence to redress them is morally defensible, necessary and imperative. Donald Trump had a grievance. It was that the presidential election of 2020 had been stolen from him and given to Democratic Party candidate Joe Biden. He had 5,000 exhibits to support his claim and establish beyond a reasonable doubt proof of election fraud: 1,000 sworn affidavits, 1,000 reels of surveillance footage, 1,000 photographic stills, 1,000 pages of testimony from cybersecurity experts and 1,000 records of illegally cast ballots. Lower courts and state legislatures refused to redress this grievance. The Supreme Court, Congress and the Vice President refused to redress this grievance. Trump's recourse to violence was his final recourse, demanded by the principles of justice as enshrined in the *Declaration of Independence*: "That whenever any form of government becomes destructive of these ends [the protection of life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness], it is the right of the people to alter or to abolish it, and to a institute new government, laying its foundation on such principles and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their safety and happiness." The new red purge campaigners have proclaimed that in a progressive society, Twitter has removed Donald Trump's personal account and canceled his official government account. They have proclaimed that in a progressive society, Donald Trump has been banned from Facebook, Pinterest, Snapchat, Reddit, Instagram and You Tube. They have proclaimed that in a progressive society, Google and Apple have banned the free speech, antired platform Parler from their app stores. They have proclaimed that in a progressive society, Amazon has banned Parler from its cloud service. Look at the banning of Parler and see a war against antired speech designed to kill and uproot a thousand times over the American ideal of freedom: "This was a coordinated attack by the tech giants to kill competition in the marketplace. We were too successful too fast. You can expect a war on competition and free speech to continue, but don't count us out." (John Matze, Chief

Executive Officer, Parler). The new red purge campaigners have proclaimed that in a progressive society, Twitter has blocked platform users from linking to reports in the *New York Post* which exposed Biden family corruption relating to Ukraine, in which Biden's son was paid hundreds of thousands of dollars for a no show board position with a Ukrainian natural gas company, and Biden threatened to cancel billions of dollars of military aid and demanded that the prosecutor investigating his son be removed. The new red purge campaigners have proclaimed that in a progressive society, Facebook has removed all content which contains the phrase "stop the steal" in reference to the fraudulent election of 2020. They have proclaimed that a progressive society has launched an authoritarian crackdown on antired speech, an antired press, antired liberty and antired political candidates. They have proclaimed that a progressive society has banned Donald Trump's emails, tweets, texts and posts. They have proclaimed that a progressive society has banned the use of modern digital technology to communicate antired messages. They have proclaimed that in a progressive society, Twitter and Amazon have ensured that all content sent out from their platforms conform to the dictates of political correctness. They have proclaimed that in a progressive society, publishers have refused to publish books which promote antired viewpoints. They have proclaimed that in a progressive society, cable television news stations which expose the fraudulent character of the 2020 presidential election are boycotted and banned. They have proclaimed that in a progressive society, people who criticize red public officials are sued for defamation and bankrupted. They have proclaimed that in a progressive society, individuals who challenge the 2020 presidential election and condemn its fraudulent character are guilty of sedition and imprisoned. They have proclaimed that in a progressive society, membership in antired organizations such as the Republican Party has been criminalized and their members thrown into jail. They have proclaimed that in a progressive society, opponents of the red party line and the tenets of gender, race and class politics are prosecuted and suppressed as aliens and rebels among the people. They have proclaimed that in a progressive society, the Bill of Rights has been repealed and every citizen and organization which opposes the Democratic Party has been silenced. They have proclaimed that individuals who oppose Joe Biden and the Democratic Party's agenda of socialism and statism are dangerous to society and must be immediately removed. They have proclaimed that a progressive society has banned Senator Josh Hawley's antired book *The Tyranny of Big Tech* on the grounds that opposition to the reds poses a grave danger to the success and survival of freedom and democracy: "We take seriously our larger public responsibility as citizens, and cannot support Senator Hawley after his role in what became a dangerous threat to our democracy and freedom." They have proclaimed that in a progressive society, the content officers of media companies have prevented aides to Donald Trump from gaining employment or acquiring book contracts: "Do not let the chronic liars cash in on their dishonesty. Trump's liars don't merit that same golden parachute. Hire any of Trump's fellow fabulists, and Forbes will assume that everything your company or firm talks about is a lie." (Randall Lane, Forbes media). They have proclaimed that a progressive society has launched a Final Solution against the supporters of Donald Trump and fired up the people's gas ovens for them: "We just launched the Trump Accountability Project to make sure anyone who took a paycheck to help Trump undermine America is held responsible for what they did. " (Hari Sevugan, Barack Obama's campaign aide). They have proclaimed that a progressive society has launched a campaign to hunt down and eradicate the supporters of Donald Trump from every continent and corner of the earth: "At @ProjectLincoln we are constructing a database of Trump officials & staff that will detail their roles in the Trump administration & track where they are now. They will be held accountable & not allowed to pretend they were not involved." They have

proclaimed that in a progressive society, United States senators, such as Ted Cruz and Josh Hawley, who supported the people's right to resort to violence to obtain election justice when election justice by peaceful means was denied to them, are immediately expelled from office and drummed out of the human race. They have proclaimed that in a progressive society, universities have rescinded the degrees of officials and supporters of Donald Trump, such as White House Press Secretary Kayleigh McEnany, Senator Ted Cruz and Representative Dan Crenshaw, on the grounds that they challenged the fraudulent electoral votes cast for Joe Biden in the 2020 election. A gallop is defined as the fastest pace of a horse in which all feet are off the ground at the same time in each stride. The current purge campaign conducted by the reds is galloping faster than the speed of light in opposite directions of time. It has at once galloped into the Marxist Great Terror launched by Stalin a century ago, and into the red totalitarian nightmare which awaits the West after the liquidation of freedom.

### **THE RED CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION**

In the field of political science, the term *front* is used in two senses: to refer to an organized political group, and to an organization which serves as a cover for subversive activities. An example of the former would be the Palestine Liberation Front, and an example of the latter was the National Lawyers' Guild, which served as a front for the Communist Party USA. It is rare that an organization assume both roles at one and the same time. Founded by red activist Roger Baldwin in 1920, the American Civil Liberties Organization is one such organization, serving both as an active political campaign, marching under the banners of People Power, to resist conservative and libertarian policies and ideas, and a front for efforts by the reds to overthrow the government by means of force and violence. A look at the world of the ACLU is a look at a practice of deception in place from the very first day of the organization's existence, for in not one moment since that day has it ever promoted the cause of civil liberties, and for the past one hundred years, it has served as a faithful, effective political and legal weapon of the reds' campaign to sweep away American civil liberties and establish in its place the iron heel of red totalitarian dictatorship. Look at the nightmare reality of the ACLU and see a red organization in action. See its staging of mass rallies and weekend parties in which participants receive nine point plans to defy the law and set up "freedom cities" in which gender, race and class politics are comprehensively enacted. See its public endorsement of Islamic activist Keith Ellison who calls for mass rallies to stop Donald Trump's proWestern, proAmerican agenda. See its rally of 2,000 people at the University of Miami to whip up a nationwide malarial fever for socialist revolution. See its executive director praising the rally as a successful mass recruitment drive for the reds: "We've seen this exponential growth in people becoming card carrying members of the ACLU. They're younger. They're in every state around the country. The biggest danger was in not doing something like this, where people get apathetic and they fall asleep." (Anthony Romero). Listen to the words of Roger Baldwin and hear him advocate the disarmament of the West, the dissolution of the law, the abolition of private property, the extermination of property owners, and the subjugation of the people by a communist jackboot shod in the iron nails of violence and compulsion: "I am for socialism, disarmament and ultimately for abolishing the state itself as an instrument of violence and compulsion. I seek the social ownership of property, the abolition of the propertied class, and sole control by those who produce wealth [the proletariat]. Communism is, of course, the goal." (Quoted in *The ACLU On Trial* by William H McIlhany). Listen to the words of Baldwin and hear him construct a front organization festooned on the outside with the bright shining flags of liberal

institutions and civilized order, and concealing within a dark, cruel, coldly determined core of socialist invasion and communist terror: "Steer away from making it look like a socialist enterprise. We want also to look like patriots in everything we do. We want to get a good lot of flags, talk a good deal about the Constitution and what our forefathers wanted to make of this country, and to show that we are really the folks that really stand for the spirit of our institutions." (Quoted in *America Adrift* by Jim Nelson Black). Look at the world of the ACLU and see an organization with a deadly and dangerous plan. This is a plan to erase the history, culture and institutions of freedom, which for centuries have defined the aim and essence of America, and to establish a communist and socialist narrative, value system and reign of fear in their place: "They have an agenda that is antithetical to the USA. This organization was started at the turn of the last century and is today perpetuated by communists/socialists who wish to destroy just about everything that makes our nation great only to have it remade on a communist/socialist model. This aim of the ACLU is antithetical to all our interests as well as our culture and history." (*Is The ACLU Evil?* by Warner Todd Huston). Look at the world of the ACLU and see an organization which defends the free speech rights of reds and nobody else, the freedom of conscience of reds and nobody else, and advocates the banishment of the human spirit to a prison house marked *political correctness in the service of marginalized groups*. See its promotion of gender politics and the repeal of the due process rights of men accused of sexual assault. Accusations without evidence. Allegations without witnesses. Accusations without the name or identity of the accusers revealed. Expulsions and suspensions without grounds or proof. Investigations without justice. Hearings without fairness. Crimes without substance. Trials without the right of the accused to conduct cross examination. Trials without the right of the accused to confront the evidence against him. Trials without the right of the accused to obtain the assistance of counsel. Trials without the right of the accused to defend himself and prove himself innocent. Trials without the presumption of innocence of the accused. Campus tribunals transformed into campus star chambers. Such is the fearsome and terrifying regime advocated by the ACLU in its campaign to undermine civil liberties, subvert the foundational principles of all civilized legal systems, the principles of truth and objectivity, and in the name of women, nonwhites, transgenders and homosexuals, implement the red agenda of partisan political combat and totalitarian control: "By now, the ACLU's retreat from the First Amendment is well documented. It will not defend the First Amendment rights of prolife pregnancy centers or small religious businesses. It no longer defends religious freedom, although it once did. And in a leaked internal memo, the ACLU takes the position that free speech denigrating 'marginalized groups' should not be defended. Sadder yet, however, is the ACLU's recent abandonment of the right to due process. For a group founded to help the powerless, this is a repudiation of its founding ideals. Consider the new Title IX rules designed to restore the due process rights of those accused of sexual harassment on college campuses. The rules are meant to restore protections that were erased under the Obama regime. Under the old rules (which remain in effect until August), colleges may expel students based on nothing more than the word of their accuser or even the word of a third party who witnessed nothing. The accused often is denied the right to cross examine, the right to counsel, the right to see the evidence against him or her, and the right to present exculpatory evidence. It's so bad that in one case, after two students got drunk and had sex, one of them rushed to report his sexual partner to the Title IX officer simply because he was worried that she would report him first. Because he got there first, she was found guilty of sexual assault and suspended. The new rules restore some due process protections. The American Civil Liberties Union should be happy. In fact, the ACLU ought to have been fighting for them. After all, it was only two years ago that the ACLU opposed a

victims' rights bill because it would 'undermine a bedrock principle of our legal system—the presumption of innocence.' Instead, the ACLU is suing to block the new rules. It claims that they 'dramatically undermine students' civil rights.' The ACLU's logic is as backward as are its priorities. The organization says it is concerned that the new rules—in particular a requirement that victims report assaults to a Title IX official before an investigation may begin—would 'inflict significant harm on students who experience sexual harassment or assault.' The lawsuit does not clearly explain why that is so, but asserts that the new rules would interfere with students' right to 'equal educational opportunities' especially for students of color, LGBTQ students, and other minority groups. Never mind that under the old rules, men of color are accused and suspended or expelled with alarming frequency. There is no way for the ACLU to explain its decision to fight against due process, except that it has abandoned its principles to advance the trendy partisan agenda of the day." (*The ACLU Loses Its Way* by GianCarlo Canaaparo). Two headed animals have been observed in nature from time to time. Known as bicephalics, these monsters have been observed primarily in shark populations. One hundred years ago, a two headed monster was born in America in response to the Palmer raids which saw hundreds of communist subversives arrested and deported back to Russia. Founded to defend these communists and to advance the agenda of the reds throughout the nation, this two headed monster was called the American Civil Liberties Union. At once a public political organization and a covert revolutionary organization, the ACLU has filed the legal briefs, staged the rallies and manned the assassins' nests for the dissolution of America.

### **REDS SWEEP THE NATIONAL GOVERNMENT**

A trifecta is a run of three wins, grand events or desirable developments. The word is a combination of the prefix *tri* with the Spanish word *perfecta*, which refers to a horse racing bet in which those who finish first and second are chosen correctly. Students of American history correctly predicted a political trifecta on January 6, 2021. On that day, the Democratic Party achieved majority control in three chambers of government, in the presidency, the Senate and the House of Representatives, displacing the Republican control in the Senate and the White House. Students of American history were not in the least bit shocked by this development, for they had seen it coming for decades. Way back at the dawn of the twentieth century, prescient students of American history had correctly predicted this. They saw then that the commencement of an agenda of social regulation of business, packaged in bright red boxes marked *progressivism*, would culminate at a point where it had of necessity to culminate, in the establishment of a red totalitarian tyranny the likes of which the nation will never have witnessed before. They saw that the nationwide trend toward the left which had just commenced, establishing a grassroots vortex of red power sweeping round and gathering human debris from home to home, school to school, neighborhood to neighborhood and coast to coast, would develop, by the logic and dynamic of a mass movement which is not stopped, into a call by the mothers, fathers, wives, husbands, sons, daughters and childless, single folk next door for the establishment of a raging sea monster. This was an immense, omnipotent form which would consume free minds and free markets within a maw marked *socialism and statism*. Students of American history today have seen these prognostications come to pass in the red takeover of the national government in the year 2021 to the wild acclaim of the red mobs who are now firmly in control of the nation. The presidency: Democrat controlled; the Senate: Democrat controlled; and the House of Representatives: Democrat controlled. Three points of victory ignited by a democracy degraded and run amok. Three points of victory which together compose a portrait of a

nation locked in a death spiral and a nation which has hit rock bottom. Framed and encapsulated by President elect Joe Biden, this is a portrait in which one thousand sworn affidavits, one thousand meters of secret surveillance footage, one thousand photographic stills, one thousand petitions from state and federal legislators, one thousand pages of live testimony, and one thousand depositions given by cyber security experts have born witness to the greatest political crime committed in the history of modern democratic nations: the theft by the reds of the 2020 presidential election from Donald Trump and the fraudulent inauguration of Joe Biden. This is a portrait in which Donald Trump is impeached and convicted of incitement to violence during mass protests against the stolen election, an incitement which he was morally and logically compelled to commit, inasmuch peaceful redress had been impossible to obtain at the hands of red judges and cowardly judges and red legislators and cowardly legislators. This is a portrait in which the Supreme Court is packed with red ideologues, and the Senate is packed with four new red senators from the new states of Puerto Rico and District of Columbia. This is a portrait in which the Senate filibuster is eliminated in order to facilitate the enactment of the red agenda. This is a portrait in which Big Media, Big Tech, Big Education, Big Hollywood and the Democratic Party incite violent Marxists in Antifa and Black Lives Matter to riot, vandalize, plunder and burn down cities throughout the nation. This is a portrait in which reds encourage people to "transition" to the opposite sex, which is an ontological, scientific, logical and empirical impossibility, inasmuch as gender is determined by genes and chromosomes and not by human decision. This is a portrait in which reds use the covid pandemic as a pretext for imposing unprecedented totalitarian control over the people in the form of lockdowns, shutdowns and limitations on home visitors. This is a portrait in which businesses throughout the world have severed relations with Donald Trump and those who support him and purged the voices of the antired opposition. This is a portrait in which reds utilize the internet to promote the supremacy of the state and community over the rights of the individual and to foment violence and hatred against the voices of liberal enlightenment. This is a portrait in which social media platforms have expunged antired, conservative, libertarian, proTrump, politically incorrect content. This is a portrait in which Facebook has forbidden all content which challenges or denies the validity of the 2020 presidential election. This is a portrait in which Google, Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, YouTube, Snapchat, Pinterest, Reddit, TikTok, Twitch and Discord have banned Donald Trump and his supporters from their platforms. This is a portrait in which Apple has banned the antired social platform Parler from its store and Amazon has expelled Parler from its hosting service. This is a portrait in which antired Ron Paul has been prevented by Facebook from managing his page. This is a portrait in which the Facebook page of the antired Walkaway Campaign has been banned. This is a portrait in which the payment processing service Stripe no longer processes payments for Donald Trump's website. This is a portrait in which the ecommerce platform Shopify has banned TrumpStore.com. This is a portrait in which the electronic marketing firms Salesforce and ExactTarget have banned Donald Trump and the Republican Party from using their services. This is a portrait in which Deutsche Bank and Signature Bank have closed down Donald Trump's accounts and will no longer lend money to him. This is a portrait in which Deutsche Bank has refused to conduct business with members of Congress who supported Trump in his fight against the certification of the electoral college vote. Look at the world of the 2021 red electoral sweep and see a consolidated leftist establishment carrying out the destruction of free speech. See the red political sphere, the red media giants and the red educational system join hands to throw gasoline into the house of liberal democracy, set it on fire, and celebrate a blazing inferno which has criminalized opposition to a social justice totalitarian state. See reds of all stripes

and varieties, communists, fascists, national socialists and gender, race and class tribalists, converge upon the dead body of American constitutional order and brawl for power on streets and campuses. See the determination of reds of all stripes and varieties, the Mussolinists, the Hitlerites, the Stalinists and the Maoists, to destroy every last trace and remnant of individual freedom, make abject slavery the centerpiece of their sinister agenda, and make America the global capital of red conspiracy and subversion. See a nation founded upon the majestic hills of law and order reduced to a dark, churning arena of bloody violence in which the enemies of authoritarian rule have been hacked to death by machete wielders marked *Antifa* and *Black Lives Matter*. See a burning, high temperature red majority distill the quintessence of human evil, place it in canisters marked *identity politics*, and firebomb two hundred years of capitalist freedom and development in a republic called America: "The destruction of the freedom of speech in America today is being carried out by the leftist establishment that dominates the political sphere, the social media giants, the establishment media, the educational system--virtually everything, really. The left is using the Capitol riot of January 6 as its Reichstag Fire moment to criminalize, silence, and destroy utterly not just President Trump, but all Americans who still dare to oppose its sinister woke totalitarianism. In doing so, leftists are revealing themselves, for all their rhetoric about how Trump and his supporters are fascists, to be quintessential fascists themselves. This fact is all too often waved away by those who insist, quite correctly on one level, 'They're not fascists, they're communists.' The national socialists of Germany strongly identified with Mussolini's fascists in Italy, and brawled on the street with the communists. Hitler made his determination to destroy communism in Europe a centerpiece of his agenda. So many assume that Leftists today, carrying the banner of Antifa, an organization that was born in 1920s street fights with the national socialists, could not possibly be fascists. Yet they can be and they are. Contrary to what many believe, being a communist and a fascist is not a contradiction in terms, like being a Republican Democrat or an honest journalist. Communists and national socialists were bitter enemies in Weimar Germany in large part because they were competitors in the same arena: in the late 1920s and early 1930s, they were the two major German parties that wanted to destroy the Weimar Republic and impose authoritarian rule. They just disagreed over who would be in charge." (*Fascism Comes To The US* by Robert Spencer). Students of world history have supplemented the observations of their American history colleagues and seen the red sweep of 2021 as a tragic illustration of a truth known for thousands of years by political and moral philosophers. It is the truth that in any society where the masses are listened to, socialism and statism will eventually and ineluctably be established as the supreme laws of the land. The masses hate liberty. They hate individuality. They hate personhood. They hate self responsibility. They hate rational human flourishing. Imprinted upon the mental character of the masses as genes are imprinted upon strips of chromosomes, these satanic hatreds have bludgeoned America to death in the twenty first century.

### **ASSAULT ON THE CAPITAL: TRUMP'S GREATEST LEGACY**

A legacy is anything which is handed down by a predecessor, any consequence or effect of a predecessor's actions. The legacy of the British Empire was the institution of freedom and law in the savage lands it conquered. The assault on the United States Capital building on January 6, 2021 by members of a movement who could not obtain legal redress for a fraudulent election was a legacy. It was a display of people power in the service of the Constitution and in the face of opposition from the establishment without precedent in the history of the nation. This empowerment of civilized, law abiding citizens against the



depredations of the red political and cultural ruling class was the greatest legacy of Donald Trump. Though the efforts of the protesters were doomed to fail by virtue of an entrenched red majority which rules the nation, and has been ruling it since the dawn of the Progressive Era, a dam has nonetheless been breached. This was the dam which discouraged, condemned and prohibited large scale violence conducted against the reds. This violence will now continue by a movement of resistance created by Trump to the red establishment, and while the republic descends deeper and deeper into the dungeons of red totalitarianism as destiny decrees that it must, the violent efforts of these courageous freedom fighters will long be remembered and cherished by civilized people in America and throughout the world. The protesters who stormed the barricades of Congress and occupied the Capital building were legitimized by two fundamental facts. They were legitimized by the worthiness of their cause, and they were legitimized by the fact that they had been unable to obtain justice for their cause by peaceful, legal means and therefore had a right and a duty to seek justice by means of violence. They understood and affirmed, as civilized people have understood and affirmed for thousands of years, and have known and affirmed since the time when political organization was first established in the earliest cultures, that if a cause which is morally right and proper cannot be defended peacefully, then doing so by means of violence becomes morally imperative. The American revolutionaries knew this truth, the revolutionaries who opposed communist governments throughout Eastern Europe knew this truth, the members of the Warsaw Ghetto Uprising knew this truth, and the protesters who stormed the Capital building carried this truth with them, emblazoned upon their flags of bravery and heroism. Civilized people saw in the 2020 presidential election a jungle of political corruption without precedent in the history of modern democracies, and saw that the refusal of governmental authorities to redress this fraud established the rightness of the protesters' use of violence. They saw a pressure campaign to violate the Constitution, state laws and federal laws and change mail in voting rules to bring about mass illegal and invalid certifications of Biden victories. They saw testimony from election security experts and first hand witnesses that the election had been stolen in contested states. They saw Dominion voting machines hacked to change vote totals in favor of Democratic candidate Joe Biden. They saw voting tabulators and scanners manufactured by Dominion, Election Systems & Software and Hart InterCivic connected to the internet from which votes for Biden were manufactured. They saw truckloads of ballots cast for Biden arriving in the middle of the night in the exact numbers necessary to give Biden victory. They saw criminals hiding evidence: Trump's legal team was not allowed to look at paper ballots or examine voting machines. In Arizona, they saw that Maricopa County election officials did not allow Republican observers to observe the verification of mail in signatures. They saw that six minutes after the polls closed in Maricopa County, Biden received 143,000 votes. They saw a cyber security expert testify that this increase was a mathematical impossibility inasmuch as there was an insufficient number of machines to process that number of votes in six minutes. In Georgia, they saw state officials allow over 100,000 unqualified individuals to register and cast votes, including 66,000 underage individuals; 9,000 deceased individuals; 2,500 felons; 2,400 unregistered individuals; 2,500 individuals who had registered in another state after moving from Georgia; 1,000 individuals who registered using a post office box and not a physical address, as required by state law; 16,000 individuals who had filed a national change of address with the United States Postal Service stating that they had relocated to another state prior to election day; and 40,000 individuals who had moved to another county without reregistering. They saw that four states, Pennsylvania, Georgia, Michigan and Wisconsin, had unconstitutionally made changes to their voting rules through election officials or courts instead of through state legislatures, in violation of the Electors

Clause of Article II of the Constitution, and allowed voting rules to vary from county to county, in violation of Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution. They saw the Supreme Court dismiss on grounds of lack of standing a lawsuit brought by Texas which challenged the election results in these four states. They saw that, in point of fact, Texas had standing to sue because the constitutional crimes committed by these four states had deprived the voters in Texas, and deprived voters throughout the nation, of their right, under the Nineteenth Amendment's Privileges and Immunities Clause, to vote in free, fair and honest elections, and their right to inhabit an uncorrupted political system. They saw Vice President Mike Pence state that he would not seek to decertify the electoral college votes cast for Joe Biden. They saw him state: "It is my considered judgment that my oath to support and defend the Constitution constrains me from claiming unilateral authority to determine which electoral votes should be counted and which should not." They saw that, in point of fact, under the Twelfth Amendment, the vice president has the right to check the validity of electoral votes. They saw that his responsibilities under the amendment include opening and counting the votes in public, in the presence of Congress, and that this transparency is meant to ensure that the votes are counted correctly, which means that only true, bona fide and correct votes are counted, only votes which are not tainted by error or fraud. On January 6, 2021, Donald Trump incited a mass movement to commit acts of violence because violence was the only recourse which logically remained to the movement. Peaceful efforts to reverse the results of a fraudulent election had one and all failed, being met by a constant, round the clock, back and forth refrain of *no evidence and no standing*, and *no standing and no evidence*, drummed out by local, state and federal officials, legislators and judges. Civilized people, guided by their plain eyesight and by their plain knowledge of the constitution, knew that one thousand sworn affidavits from eyewitnesses, one thousand reels of secret surveillance footage, one thousand photographic stills, and one thousand depositions from expert witnesses constituted a body of evidence which established proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the presidential election of 2020 had been stolen. They knew that every voter in the nation has standing to sue states which violate the Constitution and deprive the people of their right to vote, which means their right to vote in a meaningful manner, which means their right to vote in elections which are free of fraud, dishonesty and corruption. When peaceful means had failed to secure election justice, logic demanded acts of violence. Logic demanded that Donald Trump tell the movement gathered in the Capital that they will never secure election justice through physical weakness but only through physical strength. Logic demanded that he tell them that they must never surrender and never concede when the theft of a national election has been perpetrated. Logic demanded that he tell them that they cannot fight like a boxer with one hand tied behind his back. Logic demanded that he tell them to fight like hell for the sake of preserving a politically and morally legitimate country. Speaking to a March To Save America rally gathered in the nation's capital, a rally representing seventy five million defrauded voters, Donald Trump unleashed a mighty whirlwind of righteous violence. He unleashed it against a nation which had turned red from top to bottom, from its red political, cultural and academic establishment to its red neighbors living next door, and had become in its red coloration fanatical, unscrupulous, lawless, dangerous and mentally unbalanced. Donald Trump's creation of a movement of physical demonstration and physical pressure to combat a nation which, through the perpetration of a stolen presidential election, had evicted itself from the ranks of the civilized community, will live forever in the annals of free and decent people.

## FREEDOM FIGHTERS STORM WASHINGTON

A patriot is defined as someone who supports his country and defends it against all enemies domestic and foreign. In America, a patriot defends what America has traditionally stood for, individual rights under the rule of law, and does not defend the nation conceived in any other way. An American patriot defends ideas and principles and not mere ties of blood and ethnicity. On January 6, 2021, America witnessed something not seen since the American Revolutionary War of 1775–1783: a vast formation of patriots, defending the America they knew, and an America past generations knew, storming and occupying the Capital in attempt to rectify a crime, the most monumental political crime committed in the history of the nation, and return the nation to its founding principles. The crime was the theft of the presidential election of 2020 and the fraudulent victory of Joe Biden. The patriots who stormed and occupied the Capital had two fundamental facts on their side, two fundamental facts which burnished their cause to a radiant glow of maximum righteousness, and made them supreme national heroes in the eyes of their patriotic countrymen. Fact number one: the existence of massive, overwhelming evidence of widespread electoral fraud and unconstitutional actions committed by states. Fact number two: the principle, acknowledged by civilized people throughout history, that when peaceful means of rectifying criminal acts committed by a state are not available, the use of violence to rectify them becomes justified and imperative. Honest, fair minded people throughout the world have looked at the evidence of fraud and unconstitutional state actions and have seen proof established beyond a reasonable doubt that Donald Trump was cheated out of victory by the efforts of Democratic Party operatives at work in every state in the nation. They have seen massive increases in mail in and absentee ballots and zero scrutiny of these ballots. They have seen states inundated with illegal ballots. They have seen changes in election rules made by courts or state officials and not by state legislatures, in violation of Article II of the Constitution. They have seen the sending out of absentee or mail in ballots to every voter in a state. They have seen the illegal use of secured receptacles or drop boxes. They have seen illegal ballot harvesting. They have seen corrupted voting machines manufacturing tens of thousands of votes for Joe Biden. They have seen the abolition of voter identification registration. They have seen the abolition of signature matching requirements. They have seen the illegal counting of ballots without outer envelopes. They have seen the abolition of poll watching. They have seen secretaries of state in Georgia, Michigan, Nevada and Pennsylvania unconstitutionally circumventing state legislatures and allowing the counting of illegal ballots. They have seen Michigan's secretary of state decide that all voters would receive mail in ballot applications automatically. They have seen Georgia's secretary of state decide that all voters would do so as well. They have seen the State Election Board in Georgia decide that voters can cast ballots in drop boxes around the clock, in violation of state law which prohibits the collecting of ballots outside the normal business hours of election offices. They have seen poll watchers prevented from observing the counting and processing of ballots collected from these drop boxes. They have seen the Wisconsin Election Commission rule that mail in ballots with missing addresses can be fixed, in violation of state law: "If a certificate is missing the address of a witness, the ballot may not be counted." (Wisconsin statutes 6.84 and 6.86). They have seen Pennsylvania's secretary of state decide that local election officials may not conduct on the spot signature verifications of absentee or mail in ballots. They have seen Pennsylvania's secretary of state decide that voters who used mail in ballots without identification would be able to fix their ballots by November 12 or eight days after the election. They have seen Pennsylvania's secretary of state extend the deadline for mail in ballots. This action to sway the election in favor of Joe

Biden was described by Senate Majority Leader Jake Corman: "The issue is the secretary trying to influence this process by sending out guidance at the eleventh hour." They have seen a United States District Court judge in Arizona order an extension period for absentee ballots and allow missing signatures to be added during five business days after the election. Look at the 2020 presidential election and see an act of judicial abdication without precedent in the history of the nation. See the United States Supreme Court surrender to the threat of red violence and refuse to enforce the Constitution. See Article II, Section 1, Clause 2 of the highest law of the land rendered null and void by a court evenly divided between red operatives masquerading as judges and abject weaklings masquerading as judges. See members of the highest court in the land renounce their oath of office, refuse to protect and preserve the rule of law, and decline to do what should have been done to restore soundness and legality to the government: "Consequently, in each of these four battleground states—and there were others—whether through executive fiats or litigation, key, if not core, aspects of state election laws were fundamentally altered in contravention of the explicit power granted to the state legislatures and, therefore, in violation of the federal Constitution and the process set forth for directing the selection of electors. And this is before we even get to the issue of voter fraud. That said, in many instances, ballots that would have been rejected or, if counted, evidence of fraud, were now said to be legal—not by state legislatures but by those who unilaterally changed the election laws. The United States Supreme Court had an opportunity before the election, and in this general election cycle, to make clear to the states that they must comply with the plain language of Article II, Section 1, Clause 2 of the Constitution. Indeed, when a federal district judge in Michigan altered that state's election laws, a closely divided US Supreme Court overturned his order. Justice Gorsuch pointed out that the state legislature writes election laws. However, when a case was brought to the Court involving the Pennsylvania Supreme Court's interference in state election laws, the US Supreme Court was paralyzed. Chief Justice Roberts attempted to distinguish between federal and state courts, which is irrelevant; in another instance, Justice Alito ordered the Pennsylvania secretary of state, not once but twice, to segregate certain mail in ballots, but nothing came of it. A court divided against itself cannot stand, to paraphrase Abraham Lincoln. Its failure to enforce the Constitution (and by that I don't mean make law or intervene in legitimate state election decisions) has contributed mightily to our current plight. It is not asking too much for the Republicans to uphold the United States Constitution—which they all took an oath to do—and to fight to preserve and protect the plain words set forth in Article II." (*On January 6, We Learn Whether our Constitution Will Hold* by Mark Levin). For thousand of years, civilized people have known and affirmed the fundamental truth that violence is the language of the unheard. In a March to Save America, formations of decent, upright, hard working, law abiding citizens were the unheard. Surrounded on all sides by coalitions of craven cowards and radical reds, they chose the only option which remained open to them. They had been told by the courts that allegations of electoral fraud were unproven. They knew that the allegations were supported by thousands of sworn affidavits, by thousands of reels of video footage and by thousands of photographic stills. They had been told by the Supreme Court that they had no standing to obtain redress. They knew that when state governments violate the Constitution, a national election becomes illegal and illegitimate and every honest citizen is harmed and disenfranchised. They had been told by legislators from both parties, at both state and federal levels, that their eyes were deceiving them and that A was not A and that substantial physical evidence of fraud was not substantial physical evidence of fraud. They had been told by the vice president of the United States that he would not perform his constitutional duty, would not act for the good of the country, would not reject fraudulent electoral votes, and would not restore

election integrity. So they stormed the barricades outside Congress and occupied the capital of a country which had lost all moral and political legitimacy. They were the voices of the unheard and violence was their only recourse. They were inspired and uplifted by the words of Donald Trump. He told them that the stolen election was the most serious assault on democracy in the history of the nation. He told them that they could not restore the rule of law against this unprecedented attack by the reds with moral and physical weakness. Led by Donald Trump, this movement of resistance to an act of subversion, an act which defined the meaning of the word *subversion*, was as noble as it was doomed during the collapsing hours of America.

### **HORST WESSELS ON THE MARCH**

On April 19, 1926, Horst Wessel enrolled in the University of Berlin to study law. He represented a new breed of student which had just arisen in Germany: a student political activist. Ostensibly studying the origins and nature of the law and seeking to become a lawyer, Wessel was in reality a campaigner to bring about social and political change along the lines of a red ideology of national socialism, which like its rival Marxism sought to sweep away all forms, institutions and processes of the law and establish in their place the lawless, totalitarian rule of a mob intent upon collectivizing and controlling every aspect of human life. The Horst Wessel figure, the square circle of a student/nonstudent, a student/agitator, a student/zealot, a student/fighter and a student/thug, would become a permanent fixture on university campuses throughout the world and would place them under a state of round the clock siege and attack. Today's Horst Wessels have taken up the relay baton handed to them by their predecessors, and in true red fashion which would have done the real Horst Wessel proud, launched an attack on free speech and academic freedom and commenced a campaign to shut down a liberal society and its educational institutions. Shouting out a red program of speech suppression and thought control under the banners of gender, race and class politics, today's generation of Horst Wessels is the last generation before the end; its members are the final, fearsome, terrifying avatars of the decline and fall of the West. Assuming control over every institution of higher education in the world, they have proclaimed that the defenders of liberal order are the promoters of racist and homophobic dialogues and must be suppressed. They have proclaimed that free speech should not in justice exist and what should count as permissible speech is what progressive societies, states and social contexts have decreed is permissible speech. They have proclaimed that free speech is a lash of oppression wielded by the force of white power and must be repealed. They have proclaimed that a progressive society suppresses speech which is unacceptable, controversial or harmful to the reds. They have proclaimed that all criticisms of gender, race and class identity theory, and all words in support of the liberal constitutional order of free speech, are forms aggression against women, transgenders, nonwhites and the poor, and are sexist, homophobic, transphobic, racist and classist, and must be outlawed. They have proclaimed that the institution of free speech negatively impacts upon the health, well being and educational success of women, homosexuals, transgenders and nonwhites and has no place in a progressive society. They have proclaimed the free speech leads to the marginalization of minority groups and must be repealed. They have proclaimed that a world which censors and silences those who oppose socialism, a world which controls and regulates the speech of those who doubt or deny the truth of the people's social religions of gender, race and class, is the most just and progressive world imaginable. They have proclaimed that the notion that free speech is a universal human right to expression is a Western, capitalist, white male construct which ignores the ontological

primacy of women, nonwhites and the poor and their natural right to control all speech. They have proclaimed that a progressive society places definite limits on freedom of speech and prohibits all speech which criticizes nonWestern, illiberal cultures, the dogma of cultural relativism and its corollary multiculturalism, Islamist violence and terror, and the mob's gospel of gender, race and class. They have proclaimed that antitribalist, antisocialist and anticommunist speech constitutes hate speech and must be criminalized. They have proclaimed that speech which denies the validity of social justice and upholds the principle of individual justice in its place makes women, nonwhites and Muslims feel unsafe and must be prohibited. They have proclaimed that in a progressive society, the members of the intelligentsia, the writers, editors, commentators and academics, have advocated one and all for the abolition of free speech and for the state ownership, control and weaponization of the channels of communication in the name of advancing the interests of women, nonwhites, nonethnic Europeans, transgenders, Muslims and indigenous cultures. They have proclaimed that the First Amendment to the United States Constitution must be repealed because it allows the dissemination of the false and dangerous views that freedom is superior to slavery, that individuals have intrinsic rights which society cannot take away, and that individuals have a natural, indefeasible right to live work and flourish for themselves without obtaining permission from the state or community. Look at the Horst Wessels in command of today's campuses and see them call for a regime of pervasive censorship. See red student editors and student government leaders hound, pressure and intimidate those who oppose the march of statism and socialism. See college presidents and deans don the uniforms of the red thought police and take over the channels of campus communication. See student committees drive out and expel the opponents of black racism, feminist sexism and communist violence. See student leaders and student representatives demand the expunging of liberal voices and the ousting of the enemies of tribal politics. See student assemblies silence those who oppose discrimination against white, heterosexual, nontransgender individuals, cancel their academic and professional careers, pursue them to the ends of the earth, attack them in cold blood, publicize them as global enemies number one, and expel them from the ranks of humanity. See campus radicals blow up the foundations of the liberal arts academy. See student organizers disarm, defund and disband the forces of law and order and forge the shackles of red oppression. See student secessionists spilling columns of red ink in support of gender, race and class politics and condemning the right of dissent and disputation. See campus hoodlums masquerading as journalism professors advocate the politicization of the news business and the placing of newspaper, magazines, news websites and news broadcasts under the iron heel of the commissars of political correctness. See faculty members, administrators and student mobs pass resolutions condemning free speech, freedom in the academy, and one thousand years of liberal civilization: "We have been discussing student editors and student government leaders using their positions to retaliate against the exercise of free speech by other students with the support of faculty. This trend is hardly surprising as journalism deans call for censorship and journalism professors call for the rejection of neutrality in the media. Universities have generally remained passive as students and faculty harass and punish those with opposing views. The latest such example was detailed in a column on the site *College Fix* on how students at Cornell University moved to oust student representatives who voted against disarming and defunding campus police. What concerns me most is the silence of the university on the controversy. According to the report, students failed to pass a resolution calling for the defunding and disarming of the Cornell Police Department. After the measure failed, student leaders called for the removal of opposing leaders from committees or the student government as a whole. Uchenna Chukwukere, the Student Assembly vice president

of finance declared 'These 15 student assembly members watched us pour out our traumas and fears on the floor practically begging them to vote no, and finally send a message to the university that we can no longer allow these oppressive institutions to keep us down. Many of these assembly members are white-cis-het men and women who quite literally laughed and danced in our faces when the resolution failed. Their faces are all over social media. We will never forget their campus careers are over. We must disarm, defund, and disband the Cornell University Police Department.' Eventually, after a series of failed votes, the student organizers were able to pass Resolution 30, calling to disarm campus police by two votes. Student Dakota Johnson, a Marine veteran, recounted a confrontation with a government leader where he was allegedly told that 'As a white man, you cannot be the arbiter of what is and isn't racist and who is a good or bad person. You will never be the arbiter because you are a white man.' The question is the responsibility of universities when students use their positions to deny the free speech of other students or punish those who hold opposing views. There has been a conspicuous silence from faculty and administrators as both free speech and academic freedom has been attacked in recent years. It is a disgraceful failure to protect the foundational values for academia." (*Their Campus Careers Are Over': Cornell Student Representatives Targeted For Opposing Efforts To Defund And Disarm Campus Police* by Jonathan Turley). Rioting, organizing, vandalizing and hating, today's Horst Wessels are advancing the political agenda of red totalitarianism. Ruffians and antiintellectuals parading as university students, they are revered as national heroes during the twilight age of the West, marked *Marxist and NeoMarxist Insurrection*.

### **LIBERTY: BANNED BY BRUSSELS**

A tidal wave is an immense ocean wave caused by an underwater earthquake or volcanic eruption. In 1960, a monster tidal wave called a tsunami leveled the Hilo Bay area of Hawaii, damaging hundreds of homes and offices and causing hundreds of millions of dollars in damage. In 1987 and 1992, two monster political tidal waves struck Europe and transformed a free trade community into a continental superstate dedicated to the social regulation of people, services, labor and capital. It was a tidal wave launched by the forces of destiny, by the spirit of the times, which had decreed that all political efforts, undertakings, projects and institutions bear the imprint of red mob ideologies and have as their primary aim and mission the repeal of liberal democratic rights and freedoms. The two tidal waves were the Single European Act of 1987 and the Maastricht Treaty of 1992 and they established the political integration of the members of the free trade community and the formation of a federal system of European wide governmental intervention and control. Building upon the Single European Act, the Maastricht Treaty established an entity called the European Union and made Brussels the capital of a political and economic union whose agenda was headed by the word *social* and included the goals of social development, social markets, social labor, social capital, social transfers, social charters, social responsibilities and social justice, and excluded the traditional European concern for the rights, freedoms and dignity of the individual against the claims of the state, as enshrined in law books, philosophic treatises, parliamentary speeches and political constitutions composed during the Age of Enlightenment. Drafted by the European Convention in 1999, solemnly proclaimed by the European Parliament, the Council of Ministers and the European Commission in 2000, and made legally binding on all European Union member states by the Treaty of Lisbon in 2009, the European Charter of Fundamental Rights and Freedoms enshrines the reds' attack on the liberal, capitalist, laissez faire order which for centuries had been the crown and glory of Europe as it tamed savage nations, conquered savage cultures,

and spread the gospel of liberty to the farthest corners of the earth. Marching under red banners marked "social and economic rights and entitlements", the Charter is a program and manifesto for the subsumption of private existence into articles, titles, stipulations, provisos and clauses proclaiming the moral and political primacy of communitarian values and ideals. A walk through the Charter is a descent into a netherworld of cultural decadence which has negated everything Europe has traditionally stood for in the eyes of those oppressed by tribal creeds and religions, and is a downward climb into a pseudoliberal, quasiliberal, antiliberal document which is in substance and essence statist and socialist. Listen to the voices of the European Union reds proclaim that the right to life is absolute, unqualified and exists independently of justice and morality and that no specimens of depravity and corruption ever deserve to die: "1. Everyone has the right to life. 2. No one shall be condemned to the death penalty, or executed." (Article 2). Listen to the reds proclaim the right of an individual to receive an education paid for by other people" "Everyone has the right to education and to have access to vocational and continuing training." (Article 14, Section 1). Listen to the reds reject the right of an individual to own and dispose of private property without the sanction of social needs and interests: "The use of property may be regulated by law in so far as is necessary for the general interest". (Article 17). Listen to the reds proclaim the right of the state to invade private establishments and deprive their owners of freedom of association: "Any discrimination based on any ground such as sex, race, color, ethnic or social origin, genetic features, language, religion or belief, political or any other opinion, membership of a national minority, property, birth, disability, age or sexual orientation shall be prohibited." (Article 21). Listen to the reds reject the right of private establishments to set the terms and conditions of employment: "Equality between women and men must be ensured in all areas, including employment, work and pay." (Article 23). Listen to the reds proclaim the right of the state to mandate preferential treatment on the basis of gender in all admissions and employment: "The principle of equality shall not prevent the maintenance or adoption of measures providing for specific advantages in favor of the under-represented sex." (Article 24). Listen to the reds reject the right of a private establishment to employ those whom it wishes to employ: "Every worker has the right to protection against unjustified dismissal, in accordance with Union law and national laws and practices." (Article 30). Listen to the reds reject the freedom of contract of employees and the freedom of enterprise of employers: "1. Every worker has the right to working conditions which respect his or her health, safety and dignity. 2. Every worker has the right to limitation of maximum working hours, to daily and weekly rest periods and to an annual period of paid leave." (Article 31). Listen to the reds proclaim the right of the state to force private establishments to provide paid maternity leave: "To reconcile family and professional life, everyone shall have the right to protection from dismissal for a reason connected with maternity and the right to paid maternity leave and to parental leave following the birth or adoption of a child." (Article 33). Listen to the reds establish a welfare entitlement state and proclaim the duty of the individual to pay for comprehensive, cradle to grave welfare security for his neighbor and to provide maternity care, health insurance, accident insurance, unemployment insurance, old age pensions, housing, food and clothing through slave labor and confiscatory taxes: "1. The Union recognizes and respects the entitlement to social security benefits and social services providing protection in cases such as maternity, illness, industrial accidents, dependency or old age, and in the case of loss of employment, in accordance with the rules laid down by Union law and national laws and practices. 2. Everyone residing and moving legally within the European Union is entitled to social security benefits and social advantages in accordance with Union law and national laws and practices. 3. In order to combat social exclusion and poverty, the Union recognizes and respects the right to social and housing



assistance so as to ensure a decent existence for all those who lack sufficient resources, in accordance with the rules laid down by Union law and national laws and practices." (Article 34). Listen to the reds proclaim the right of the incompetent and the unsuccessful to receive health care and medical treatment on the backs of the competent and the successful: "Everyone has the right of access to preventive health care and the right to benefit from medical treatment under the conditions established by national laws and practices. A high level of human health protection shall be ensured in the definition and implementation of all the Union's policies and activities." (Article 35). Listen to the reds proclaim the right of the individual to be supported by other people's money in the name of achieving social cohesion: "The Union recognizes and respects access to services of general economic interest as provided for in national laws and practices, in accordance with the Treaties, in order to promote the social and territorial cohesion of the Union." (Article 36). Listen to the reds proclaim the necessity of rolling back the Industrial Revolution and sending the hands of time spinning backward in the name of achieving environmental protection and sustainable development: "A high level of environmental protection and the improvement of the quality of the environment must be integrated into the policies of the Union and ensured in accordance with the principle of sustainable development." (Article 37). On December 31-January 1, 2020-2021, at 11 PM UK time, 12 AM European time and 6 PM Eastern Standard Time in America, the Big Ben clock rang out and marked the moment Britain left the European Union. During the accelerating free fall of the West into the roiling fever swamps of collectivism and state invasion, a stand for liberty was taken by "This blessed plot, this earth, this realm, this England." In a little world on the North Atlantic ocean, the former capital of a global empire of human freedom, a defensive moat was thrown up against an immense, dark and frightening tide wave of red radicalism roaring out from Brussels. Inside this fortress, this lone rock set in the sea, the reds were in truth advancing. But on New Year's Day 2021, their advance was slowed by a modicum.

## **THE BOXER WAR AGAINST THE WEST**

In the summer of 1900, the civilized world was plunged into a state of suspense and agitation over something which happened far away at the other end of the planet. This type of event had occurred countless times in the past and was the terrifying fate suffered by civilized people throughout history and was the very price of civilization itself: the price of suffering attack and assault again and again by the forces of barbarism and regression. This time around, at the dawn of the twentieth century, the fearsome wheel of destiny stopped in China and placed new protagonists upon the stage of war. They were called the Fists of Righteous Harmony and they declared war against the West and sought to drive out Western progress from the nation. Achieving skill and proficiency in the martial arts, the members of this society were nicknamed the Boxers and they shouted out and shadow boxed a program and manifesto which demanded the extermination of *yangren*, *yangwu*, *yanghuo* and *yangjiao*: "Western people", "Western matters", Western goods", "Western ideas" and the "Western world of railways, telegraphs, ships and modern weapons." Rejecting the cultural transformation of China brought about by the West, the Boxers proclaimed that China must smash the alien shackles of law and civilization and return to the rule of corruption and reaction. They proclaimed that the traditional Chinese society established by Confucius, marked by the exaltation of social harmony above individual freedom, must be given back to the people after the West is pushed out. They proclaimed that the ideal Chinese society is an uncivilized, unenlightened yellow peril which will cast a shadow across the West and raze it to the ground. They proclaimed that the ideal Chinese society has expelled Western values

and ideas and reestablished the rule of the primitive, tribal village. They proclaimed that the ideal Chinese society is ruled by a society of Long Knives whose members drink dissolved ashes of paper which recorded magic formulas to achieve invincibility. They proclaimed that China must achieve moral and spiritual purification by launching a bloodbath which will claim the lives of all Western foreigners together with all Westernized Chinese. Listen to the Chinese voices of hatred of the West. Hear them condemn science as a foreign devil and demand the destruction of the tracks and wires carrying the rays of modern progress. Hear them exalt human stagnation as the will of heaven and worship the satanic gods of zero growth, zero activity and zero development. Hear them condemn rational thought as the greatest of all evils and demand the decapitation and desouling of man and the deprivation of his energy and vitality: "Disturbances are to be dreaded from the foreign devils; everywhere they are starting missions, erecting telegraphs, and building railways; they do not believe in the sacred doctrine, and they speak evil of the gods. Their sins are numberless as the hairs of the head. The will of heaven is that the telegraph wires be first cut, then the railways torn up, and then shall the foreign devils be decapitated." (Boxer poster). Look at the world of Chinese resistance to the West. See the abject fear of modern progress and the religious condemnation of material innovations. See savage country folk lament the course of science and technology and behold its marvels with uncomprehending eyes. See barbarians of the wind and sky terrified at the sight of tracks and wires surging with the currents of human liberation. See the spirits of mysticism and the monsters of the steppes rain down destruction upon the harvest of human reason. See primitive, uncivilized people standing athwart the march of human flourishing and demanding that it halt in its tracks and reverse direction. See the Western monuments of scientific production smashed and desecrated, placed on sacrificial pillars and ignited. See the gods of the dirt, the filth and the fields build churches for the wicked and the ignorant who cannot deal with the advance of civilization, who find it impossible to inhabit and succeed in, who hate and detest it down to the core of their being, and who seek to submerge it in seas of blood and violence: "Alongside churches and other Christian symbols, technical innovations from the West also caused religious reservations with the Chinese. Above all, railways caused mistrust and resistance. Hardly a mile of tracks could be laid without the burial place of some predecessor of a Chinese, arbitrarily placed in the countryside, being desecrated. The telegraph lines also caused fear and desperation. Their purpose was unfathomable and their sight terrifying for the simple country people, whose tapestry of fields the big pillars crossed. When the wind blew, a quiet, pitiful plaint came out of the wires, and when they went rusty, the rain falling down from them was dyed red and reinforced the belief that the spirits were being tormented by this new invention of the 'foreign devils.' " (*Revolt Against The West: A Comparison Of The Boxer Rebellion of 1900-1901 And The Current War On Terror* by Sven Lange). Look at the Chinese war against the West and see a vortex of destruction and a cloud of antienlightenment sweeping across the nation. See a philosophy of fear and superstition placed back upon its throne to the wild acclaim of the people. See devils in human form promote a return to primitivism in the name of the renovation of Chinese society. See the expulsion of human liberty and the suppression of human progress. See the rejection of Western patterns of behavior marked by a concern for personal autonomy and private space. See a nationwide movement of rebellion against the policies brought by Western foreigners, the policies established by free people, the policies which alone can achieve the liberation of mankind. See a nationwide campaign to still the rising tides of reason, freedom, science and industrial development and return China to the swamplands and stagnation ruled by the caliphs of Confucianism: "The ideas of the Boxer movement spread by word of mouth and at an unusual speed--a Chinese chronicler

compared it with a tornado--although the Boxers had no leader and no organizational structure. Such a group of gods in human form needed no leader and also would not have accepted a leader. This made suppression of the Boxer movement by the authorities more difficult. The policy successfully used in the past by which the leaders of uprisings were executed, but the large mass of the uprisers was spared and requested to spread out, no longer worked. We can say that the Boxers, parallel to al-Qaeda and the Taliban, enjoyed wide support in the population--at least for their prime concern--expulsion of the foreigners and suppression of the Western influence and way of life. In addition, their political objective--the renovation of Chinese society--remained just as vague as al-Qaeda's promise to unite all Muslims in a new caliphate." (*Revolt Against The West: A Comparison Of The Boxer Rebellion of 1900-1901 And The Current War On Terror* by Sven Lange). Look at the Chinese attacks on the West and see vandals from the morasses of moral, political, scientific, technological and industrial illiteracy blow up the ailway lines carrying the glorious freight of human vigor and human development. See the slaves of unseen, mystical powers sabotage the machines and engines of human mastery. See the officers, soldiers and armies of anticivilization on a mission of mass murder and mayhem. See diplomats and ambassadors representing Western nations embodying the rule of law mauled in the streets. See the preachers and evangelists of savage customs storm the gates of cultural betterment, storm the outposts of modern conditions, storm the embassies of constitutional government, and storm the capitals of forward intellectual movement established in their land, and burn down the house which the West had built: "When they heard news of attacks by Boxers on the railway lines between Tientsin and the capital, the embassies in Peking decided to request their own soldiers for their protection on May 28. Even if all the powers except Japan sent more soldiers than the Chinese government had officially admitted, the international military force of more than 400 officers and soldiers which arrived in Peking on May 31 appeared still seriously small to the diplomats in the embassy quarter. Their worries were not without reason: more and more news about further attacks by Boxers on missionaries and Chinese Christians occurred. After an attack on Belgian engineers near Paoting, to the east of Peking, and the murder of two missionaries by Boxers, it was the burning of the stands at the foreign race course outside the gates of the Chinese capital--which was comparatively harmless in the heated situation--that caused the ambassadors to demand further troops." (*Revolt Against The West: A Comparison Of The Boxer Rebellion of 1900-1901 And The Current War On Terror* by Sven Lange). Attacks on civilization will necessarily happen, and will happen again and again, so long as the monster of barbarism stalks the earth. In 1900, the Boxer uprising against civilization was defeated by Western military forces led by American troops which scaled the walls of Peking. One of the most heroic and exciting episodes known to civilization, the liberation of Peking gave unqualified victory to the West. Destiny would strike again, the attacks against civilization would continue with other actors, but this high water mark would never again be reached. In the period of liberal democracy's decline and fall over the past one hundred years, the victories of Marxist gender, race and class politics together with the forces of Islamist terror have made the West's victory over the Boxers a distant and fast receding wave in the waters of time.

### **INDIAN REMOVAL: THE CONQUEST OF SUBHUMANITY**

On December 15, 2020, a renaming committee in San Francisco voted to erase the name of the sixteenth president of the United States from a public school. Abraham Lincoln's crime in the eyes of the committee was that he had led a life "stained by racism" because he

identified Native Americans as savages and took steps to protect the advance of civilization from their savagery. Lincoln's Homestead Act and Pacific Railway Act of 1862 brought about the construction of a transcontinental railroad which eliminated savage lands, savage lifestyles and savage cultures. In 1863, Lincoln removed the Navajos and Mescalero Apaches from New Mexico Territory and thereby cleansed the territory of savagery. When the committee's vote was taken, a mighty bomb exploded whose shrapnel and debris shattered homes, schools and offices throughout the city and moved the reds' cultural war and campaign against America to the next level. Civilized Americans picked up the shrapnel and read the multifarious reasons why the reds hate Lincoln and sought to expunge the name of the greatest and most consequential president in American history. The reds hate Lincoln because he condemned aggressive, warlike tribes who raided and massacred their rivals. Because he condemned savage nomads who lived in bison skin tents folded and carried everywhere. Because he condemned savage tribes who survived by hunting, gathering and raiding their neighbors for crops. Because he condemned savage tribes who lived in houses made of mud and bark. Because he condemned savage nomads who foraged for roots, seeds and nuts and hunted snakes and lizards. Because he condemned savage tribes who lived in houses made of willow poles, saplings, leaves and brush and had no stable settlements or leadership of any kind. Because he condemned savage tribes who survived like animals by means of hunting and raiding expeditions. Because he condemned savage tribes who were organized as family bands of hunters and gatherers. Because he condemned savage nomads who followed animal herds from place to place. Because he condemned savage tribes for whom the concept of human dignity was unknown and who determined a person's worth by his closeness to the village chief and by the number of blankets, shells, skins, canoes and slaves he possessed. Because he condemned savage cultures which had no notions of private property, private families or private labor and for whom the individual existed to serve tribal needs and interests. Because he condemned savage cultures whose medicine men had visited the spirit world and the Supreme Being, and foresaw the end of the world and the coming of the Red Christ. Because he condemned savage cultures which exalted a supernatural reality reached by meditation, prayer, singing and dancing. Because he condemned savage cultures which beheld visions of the Great Spirit of the Earth which would kill everybody on the planet and resurrect the believers in its revelations. Because he condemned savage cultures whose members danced in a shaking and twitching fashion to brush off their sins. Because he condemned savage cultures which exalted the Peyote Road to the realm of the Great Spirit. Because he condemned savage cultures which worshiped peyote as medicine for spiritual healing. Because he condemned savage cultures which ingested hallucinogenic plants to behold supernatural visions. Because he condemned savage cultures which sang peyote songs with gourd rattles and water drums and traveled into otherworldly dimensions. Because he condemned savage cultures which entered sweat lodges, conducted purification ceremonies, endured hours of intense heat, engaged in prayer, fasting, meditation, singing and sacrificial offering, and received mystical revelations. Because he condemned savage cultures which embarked upon vision quests and communed with their grandfathers and spirit ancestors. Because he condemned savage cultures whose members danced around poles to which they were fastened by rawhide thongs pegged through their chests and sacrificed themselves for the sake of the family and tribe. Because he condemned savage cultures whose shamans possessed supernatural powers of curing diseases and controlling spirits. Look at the world of the Indians and see ancient, fiery waters blazing with rank superstition. See barren wastelands thundering with furious gallops of liars, thieves, marauders, murderers, cannibals, the most bloodthirsty of savages, the cruelest of psychopaths, bacterial hordes swarming out of the entrails of animals, and tribal warriors

boasting endlessly of their endless lists of victims. See wild, shouting, dancing, remorseless beasts of prey merging into darkness and night, smeared with the waste of centuries of stagnation. See the distilled essence of swinishness, ignobility, inhumanity, nonvirtue, nonflourishing and monstrous evil, which shocked the conscience of decent, civilized people and placed before their disbelieving eyes a picture of unmitigated hell on earth. See irredeemable, incorrigible blemishes upon mankind who were unfit to live and fit only to be exterminated: "To come to the point at once, I beg to say that I have not the least belief in the Noble Savage. I consider him a prodigious nuisance, and an enormous superstition. His calling rum firewater, and me a pale face, wholly fail to reconcile me to him. I don't care what he calls me. I call him a savage, and I call a savage a something highly desirable to be civilized off the face of the earth. He is a savage—cruel, false, thievish, murderous; addicted more or less to grease, entrails, and beastly customs; a wild animal with the questionable gift of boasting; a conceited, tiresome, bloodthirsty, monotonous humbug. Yet it is extraordinary to observe how some people will talk about him, as they talk about the good old times. There was Mr. Catlin, some few years ago, with his Ojibbeway Indians. Mr. Catlin was an energetic, earnest man, who had lived among more tribes of Indians than I need reckon up here, and who had written a picturesque and glowing book about them. With his party of Indians squatting and spitting on the table before him, or dancing their miserable jigs after their own dreary manner, he called, in all good faith, upon his civilized audience to take notice of their symmetry and grace, their perfect limbs, and the exquisite expression of their pantomime; and his civilized audience, in all good faith, complied and admired. Whereas, as mere animals, they were wretched creatures, very low in the scale and very poorly formed. It is not the miserable nature of the noble savage that is the new thing; it is the whimpering over him with maudlin admiration, and the affecting to regret him, and the drawing of any comparison of advantage between the blemishes of civilization and the tenor of his swinish life. To conclude as I began. My position is, that if we have anything to learn from the Noble Savage, it is what to avoid. His virtues are a fable; his happiness is a delusion; his nobility, nonsense. The world will be all the better when his place knows him no more." (*The Noble Savage* by Charles Dickens). In the mid nineteenth century, the field of soil chemistry was born and scientists discovered the chemical composition of dirt. Its content is forty five percent mineral, five percent organic matter, and fifty percent void, water and gases. Four centuries before, civilized people had encountered a species of moral dirt which they had never encountered before. In their voyages to the New World to spread the blessings of civilization, a new, sinister and savage form of dirt, the most sinister and savage dirt they had ever encountered, swept into their faces and blinded and paralyzed them in horror and incredulity. This dirt carried a tomahawk and knife. It strung around its body the scalps of men, women and children. It rejected reason and science and exalted mysticism as the path to spiritual enlightenment. It roamed the land like a wild animal. It was evil, savage and backward beyond all measure. It went on vision quests with medicine men in an attempt to escape from the bounds of physical reality. It stole food, raided its neighbors, communalized the land, and killed the settlers who planted the banners of law and order. It was restless, vengeful and loved the conduct of war. It was cunning, devious, deceitful, ungrateful, unfeeling and unrepentant. It consumed food which was uncooked and unclean. It gave no thought for the future and had no foresight of anything beyond the range of the moment. It had no desire for knowledge, was averse to education, and was incapable of engaging in abstract reasoning. This was the dirt driving and rampaging across the plains under the name of the Native American Indian. Its expulsion and elimination from the New World was among the preeminent victories of European civilization.

## A SHAM CALLED JUDICIAL REVIEW

A weather vane is a revolving pointer used for indicating the direction of the wind. The word *vane* comes from the Old English word for flag and the weather vane typically sits like a flag at the highest point of a building. In America over the past one hundred years, the Supreme Court has abandoned its constitutionally mandated role of enforcing the constitution and serving as a check on executive and legislative power and has degenerated into a political weather vane dedicated to rubber stamping the will of the majority. As America became engulfed in the raging fires of mob rule, the Supreme Court renounced the principle of judicial review, and sweeping itself into the firestorm, transformed itself into a mob held device for inking and imprinting a message of automatic approval of the mob's red political demands. The past one hundred years have seen a process of rank politicization of the Supreme Court in which judges have provided spurious constitutional justification to whatever it is which the red mob of the day has demanded that it justify and allowed Congress and state legislatures free, unfettered rein to enact a blatantly unconstitutional red political agenda. The litany of unconstitutional decisions handed down by the Supreme Court, in obedience to the wishes of the majority, is one hundred years long, but ten cases stand out as landmarks of judicial abdication and illegality and as signposts of the decline and fall of the nation into the fever swamps of red mob dictatorship. These ten cases are *United States versus Butler* (1936), *West Coast Hotel Company versus Parrish* (1937), *United States versus Darby Lumber Company* (1941), *Wickard versus Filburn* (1942), *Shelley versus Kraemer* (1948), *Heart of Atlanta Motel versus United States* (1964), *Meritor Savings Bank versus Vinson* (1986), *Grutter versus Bollinger* (2003), *National Federation of Independent Business versus Sebelius* (2012) and *Texas versus Pennsylvania, Georgia, Michigan and Wisconsin* (2020). In *United States versus Butler*, the Court held that Congress has the power to violate the Constitution and to lay taxes in support of activities not enumerated in Article I and to establish a national welfare entitlement state. Article I specifies the sole permissible purposes of taxation, and includes among them the regulation of interstate commerce, the regulation of foreign commerce, and the support of the military, and does not enumerate the provision of welfare services. The General Welfare Clause of Article I is simply the statement of a truism that the purpose of the national power to tax is the achievement of the good of all citizens and not the good of some, and does not authorize Congress to tax and spend in support of unenumerated, unspecified welfare programs. In *West Coast Hotel Company versus Parrish*, the Court held that the Constitution empowers the states to restrict liberty of contract on the grounds of protecting public health and safety. The Court held that "The principle which must control our decision is not in doubt. The constitutional provision invoked is the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment governing the states, as the due process clause invoked in the *Adkins* case governed Congress. In each case the violation alleged by those attacking minimum wage regulation for women is deprivation of freedom of contract. What is this freedom? The Constitution does not speak of freedom of contract." (Charles Evan Hughes). In point of fact, the Constitution protects freedom of contract in the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments' provision that no person shall be "deprived of life, liberty or property without due process of law." This provision possesses a substantive dimension inasmuch as the mention of the rights to life, liberty and property entails their existence; this clause would not be found in a socialist constitution in which these rights are on principle not recognized. In the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment, the phrase "life, liberty and property" to the right of the conjunction represents the substantive meaning of the amendment, while the phrase "without due process of law" to the left of the conjunction represents the procedural

meaning. In the substantive meaning, the right to liberty entails liberty of contract or it entails nothing whatever, for liberty of contract cannot be separated away from all other liberties, such as the liberty to procure an abortion, in any coherent, consistent, nonarbitrary manner. In *United States versus Darby Lumber Company*, the Court upheld the *Fair Labor Standards Act* (1938) and held that the Commerce Clause empowers Congress to regulate the employment conditions of each and every business in the nation. In point of fact, the Commerce Clause does not empower Congress to regulate the employment conditions of businesses which engage in activities which are originally local and intrastate in character. The Commerce Clause empowers Congress to regulate activities which are intrinsically interstate, such as interstate shipping and transportation, and not any and every business which may be at some point produce goods which are shipped interstate. In *Wickard versus Filburn*, the Court held that production quotas established under the *Agricultural Adjustment Act* (1938) were constitutional even when applied to agricultural production consumed within a state, because its effect upon interstate commerce placed it within the power of Congress to regulate under the Commerce Clause in Article I of the Constitution. The Court held that "Whether the subject of the regulation in question was production, consumption, or marketing is, therefore, not material for purposes of deciding the question of federal power before us. That an activity is of local character may help in a doubtful case to determine whether Congress intended to reach it. But even if appellee's activity be local and though it may not be regarded as commerce, it may still, whatever its nature, be reached by Congress if it exerts a substantial economic effect on interstate commerce and this irrespective of whether such effect is what might at some earlier time have been defined as direct or indirect." In point of fact, the Commerce Clause says what it says and only empowers Congress to regulate commerce "among the several states" and does not additionally empower Congress to regulate activities which only have an effect on interstate commerce and which themselves are not activities of interstate commerce. A plain, straightforward reading of the phrase *interstate commerce* establishes that it means nothing more than interstate commerce and does not also encompass activities which only affect interstate commerce. The regulatory power granted to Congress by the Commerce Clause is not *carte blanche* but is limited by the rights to life, liberty and property guaranteed by the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments under the doctrine of substantive due process. A private business which engaged in interstate commerce would still have the right to set its own employment conditions under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments' guarantee of private enterprise and private property. A private farmer who engaged in interstate commerce would still have the right under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to produce and consume whatever crops he desired. In *Shelley versus Kraemer*, the Court held that the state enforcement of racially restrictive housing covenants constitutes state action and is therefore prohibited under the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. In point of fact, a private agreement is a private agreement and its enforcement by the state does not magically or mystically transform it into a state agreement. The Equal Protection Clause prohibits state agreements which discriminate on the basis of race and not private agreements. The Fifth and Fourteenth Amendment's protection of the right to private property, guaranteed under the doctrine of substantive due process, protects the right to discriminate on private property. In *Heart of Atlanta Motel versus United States*, the Court held that the Commerce Clause gives Congress the power to force private businesses whose activities affect interstate commerce to abide by Title II of the *Civil Rights Act* (1964), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, sex, religion or national origin in places designated as public accommodations. In point of fact, the Court's unconstitutional interpretation of the Commerce Clause in *Darby* and *Wickard* was repeated in *Heart of Atlanta Motel*. The motel in question did not

itself engage in interstate commerce; its profits were derived from intrastate transactions. Furthermore, a private motel which did in fact engage in interstate commerce would still have the right to discriminate under the substantive Due Process Clauses of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments. The *Civil Rights Act* defines *public accommodation* in such a manner as to include both publicly and privately owned accommodations. In point of fact, a public accommodation is a publicly owned accommodation, such as a public library or a public concert hall, and is not a privately owned accommodation, such as a private motel or a private restaurant, and the *Act's* illicit, expansive definition of public accommodation violates the right to private property guaranteed under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments. In *Meritor Savings Bank versus Vinson*, the Court held that sexual harassment is a form of sex discrimination and is thus prohibited under Title VII of the *Civil Rights Act* of 1964. In point of fact, the Court repeated the error in *Heart of Atlanta*, for there is right to discriminate on the basis of sex under the Due Process Clauses of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments. In *Grutter versus Bollinger*, the Court held that student admissions processes in public universities which discriminate on the basis of race or sex do not violate the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. The Court held that "the Constitution does not prohibit the law school's narrowly tailored use of race in admissions decisions to further a compelling interest in obtaining the educational benefits that flow from a diverse student body." (Sandra Day O'Connor). In point of fact, the Equal Protection Clause prohibits state discrimination committed for any reason and commands that state actions be at all times and in all respects race blind and gender blind. In *National Federation of Independent Business versus Sebelius*, the Court held that the individual mandate under the *Affordable Care Act* (2010) to purchase health insurance is a constitutional exercise of Congress's taxing power under Article I of the Constitution. In point of fact, the difference between a penalty and a tax is as plain as daylight and can only be conflated by doing violence to words. A penalty is a punishment for breaking a law, while a tax is a compulsory contribution to state revenue levied upon legal goods and services. Furthermore, Congress has no authority to force an individual to purchase a product, for such a mandate violates the right to liberty guaranteed under the Due Process Clauses of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments. In *Texas versus Pennsylvania, Georgia, Michigan and Wisconsin*, the Court held that Texas had no legal standing to sue Pennsylvania, Georgia, Michigan and Wisconsin over the manner in which they conducted the presidential election of 2020. The suit recognized that these four states had unconstitutionally made changes to their election rules through their executives and courts rather than their state legislatures, in violation of the Electors Clause of Article II of the Constitution, and that they allowed different counties to establish different voting rules, in violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. The Court held that "The State of Texas's motion for leave to file a bill of complaint is denied for lack of standing under Article III of the Constitution. Texas has not demonstrated a judicially cognizable interest in the manner in which another State conducts its elections. All other pending motions are dismissed as moot." In point of fact, Texas has standing to sue on two grounds. Firstly, on the general grounds that it was a witness to unconstitutional acts committed by other states and thus had standing to sue as a witness to any crime has standing to sue. Secondly, it was specifically harmed by the unconstitutional actions of the four states inasmuch as its citizens were by these actions deprived of their right to vote in a fair, honest and constitutionally sanctioned national election. Over the past century, red mobs have assumed control over the American state and society and stormed the fortress of the United States Supreme Court. Rampaging down the Great Hall and into the Court Chamber, these mobs have removed judges, jurists and scholars of the law and replaced them with black robed underlings and henchmen of the mob. Rejecting the constitutional



guarantees of private property, private liberty, private action and private association, the mob lawyers on the Supreme Court have decided unanimously to rewrite and dissolve the Constitution.

### **DECADENCE KILLS THE DEATH PENALTY**

Among the preeminent characteristics of a society in a state of decline is the abolition of the death penalty for murder. The notion that no murderer should ever be put to death runs contrary to the code of proportional punishment adopted by civilized people since time immemorial. Civilized people have always understood that a society which is not prepared to take the life of somebody who taken the life of an innocent person is neither a just nor a moral society. Plato upheld the death penalty for murder because he saw it as means of purification of the disease and defilement of the crime. Aristotle upheld the death penalty on the grounds that every person is responsible for his actions, criminal actions are free, voluntary actions and must be compensated by the perpetrator, and the grievous crime of murder can only be paid for by the murderer's forfeiture of his own life. In ancient Rome, the death penalty was held to be the proper and just expiation for the killing of an innocent person. In the Enlightenment, the death penalty was upheld on the grounds of the natural right of the state to exact just retribution. The twentieth century saw the onset of a movement throughout the West to abolish the death penalty; this movement was a direct consequence of the general moral deterioration of the culture; and in the present day, the abolitionists, supported by a groundswell of public opinion, have achieved total victory in virtually every nation, while in nations which still allow the death penalty, such as America, its use is declining and under sustained attack. The avatars of a movement of cultural senescence in which people can no longer distinguish right from wrong, can no longer understand the value of an innocent human life, and no longer know what just punishment is or demands, today's abolitionists have set forth a program and manifesto for the downplaying and excusing of murder and in so doing established an unbridgeable gulf between themselves and civilized people. They have proclaimed that those who torture and strangle people who have done them no harm, douse them with gasoline and set them on fire, do not deserve to die. They have proclaimed that the death penalty is wrong because an innocent person may be executed. Civilized people have pointed out in response that this argument is a sheer pretext because the judicial process can always be changed to require absolute proof and certainty of guilt, in the form of videos, multiple eyewitnesses and fingerprints, before an execution is carried out. They have pointed out that when absolute proof of murder is in fact available and presented, the abolitionists still stand opposed to the death penalty. Today's abolitionists have rejected the imposition of justice and proclaimed that those who are serial killers, those who rape and torture their victims before they kill them, those who kill multiple children, and those who kill thousands of innocent people in acts of terror, do not deserve to die: "There are good reasons to maintain capital punishment in our state. The paramount goal of sentencing is the imposition of justice. Sometimes, justice is dismissing a charge, granting a plea bargain, expunging a past conviction, seeking a prison sentence, or—in a very few cases, for the worst of the worst murderers—sometimes, justice is death. A drug cartel member who murders a rival cartel member faces life in prison without parole. What if he murders two, three, or 12 people? Or the victim is a child or multiple children? What if the murder was preceded by torture or rape? How about a serial killer? Or a terrorist who kills dozens, hundreds or thousands? The repeal of the death penalty treats all murders as the same. Once a person commits a single act of murder, each additional murder is a freebie. That is not justice." (*Coloradans Should Have the Final Say*)

*On The Death Penalty (And I'd Hope They Keep It)* by George Brauchler). Today's abolitionists have proclaimed that even when there is irrefutable evidence established of murder, even when surveillance cameras and telephone data have established guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, the perpetrators do not deserve to die. They have proclaimed that there is no crime in the world which is so dreadful and causes so much horror that it deserves to be punished by death: "Sometimes, there is no doubt that people are killers because their sins are recorded by surveillance cameras or bystanders' phones. With that evidence, other than mental illness, there's no reason not to execute those who commit the most horrific crimes. While executions are rare, having the death penalty can be a bargaining chip for authorities as they investigate crimes. It's also necessary to have capital punishment because some crimes simply are so horrific that any other punishment, including life in a cage, is insufficient." (*Pennsylvania's Death Penalty System Should Be Strengthened, Not Abolished, Amid Newly Raised Concerns* by Paul Muschick). Today's abolitionists have proclaimed that they neither want nor seek justice for murderers. They have proclaimed that the spectacle of murderers being released from jail and allowed back onto the streets should not in any way, shape or form outrage or offend a progressive society. They have proclaimed that a progressive society is dedicated to the correction and rehabilitation of murderers and to favoring them with clemency and commutation of their sentences, and has renounced the achievement of justice in punishment: "In our experience, most survivors want justice for the murderers of their family members. Repealing the death penalty will not heal these peoples' wounds; it keeps them permanently open. Moreover, victims' families will always be haunted by the specter that an inmate sentenced to life without parole will suddenly ask the governor to reduce a sentence—as happened recently in the case of a Fresno murderer who waited 36 years and applied for clemency. As long as an inmate sentenced to life without parole lives, the governor could reduce the sentence and a murderer may be released on the street. It is dead wrong to assert that the death penalty has been conclusively shown not to deter crime. Experience and common sense confirm a deterrent effect." (*California's Broken Death Penalty System Can Be Fixed* by Anne Marie Schubert). Today's abolitionists have proclaimed that a progressive society has renounced the goal of achieving justice for the victims of crime and their families. They have proclaimed that a progressive society has abolished the death penalty for murder, refused to achieve proper resolution of monstrous acts, coddled the most heinous and depraved criminals, shattered the peace enforced by just laws, and allowed fear and cruelty to stalk the streets: "Giving up on the death penalty would mean giving up on justice for crime victims and their families. The prisoners currently on California's death row have murdered more than 1,000 people. Of those, 229 were children, 43 were peace officers, and 294 of the victims were sexually assaulted and tortured. Having a functional death penalty law will help us protect the public from society's worst criminals and bring some measure of closure to the families whose loved ones were cruelly taken from them" (*Reform, Don't Repeal, the Death Penalty* by the Los Angeles Airport Peace Officers Association). Today's abolitionists have proclaimed that the death penalty is unjust and immoral because the lives of murderers possess equal value and dignity with those who do not murder. They have proclaimed that people who behave like predatory animals, people who are devoid of moral sense, conscience and compunction and wantonly take innocent human life, are entitled to equal protection under the law and do not under any circumstances deserve the penalty of death for their misdeeds: "Abolitionists may contend that the death penalty is inherently immoral because governments should never take human life, no matter what the provocation. The death penalty honors human dignity by treating the defendant as a free moral actor able to control his own destiny for good or for ill; it does not treat him as an animal with no moral sense, and thus subject even to butchery to satiate

human gluttony. Moreover, capital punishment celebrates the dignity of the humans whose lives were ended by the defendant's predation." (*Individual Rights and Responsibility--The Death Penalty, But Sparingly* by Bruce Fein). Civilized people in the present day have cried out in their hearts, in their minds and in their entire being for justice and fairness in this world and demanded the execution of murderers. Shrouded in a black cloak and wielding a scythe by which he separates souls of the dead from their bodies, the skeletal figure of the Grim Reaper stalks the West during its dying hours. He has seized the Goddess of Justice, blindfolded and holding a balance and a sword, marched her up to the gallows, fastened a noose around her neck, and released the trap door.