

REDS SET UP BANANA REPUBLIC IN WASHINGTON AND OTHER ESSAYS

By A. J. Reffes

Progress Publishers

For Kathy, friend, mentor and wife. *Stupor Mundi*.

Copyright ©2021 A. J. Reffes. All rights reserved. Published by Progress Publishers, Berlin, Germany.

REASON, CULTURE AND HISTORY

Volume 107

By A. J. Reffes

Progress Publishers

For Kathy, friend, mentor and wife. *Stupor Mundi*.

Copyright ©2021 A. J. Reffes. All rights reserved. Published by Progress Publishers, Berlin, Germany.

INTRODUCTION

These reports are intended for a general, nonspecialist audience. They run the gamut of the arts and sciences, historical and current events, and should provide the reader with useful introductions to given topics. May they encourage a few happy hours of suggestive thought!

TABLE OF CONTENTS

REDS SET UP BANANA REPUBLIC IN WASHINGTON
RED JUDGES COMMIT TREASON
CALLING ALL CARS: REVOLUTION IN PROGRESS
BILL HR 1: REDS ROLL BACK DEMOCRACY
REALITY DEPLATFORMED
REDS ASSASSINATE LINCOLN
KILLER CLOWNS AT LARGE
REDS MOVE IN ON MATHEMATICS
NINE RED JUDGES ALL IN A ROW
POLITICAL EQUALITY: REPEALED BY THE REDS
LBJ'S GREAT STATIST SOCIETY
PORTRAIT OF A MUGGING
JOHN KENNEDY, FASCIST
REDS RUN SENATE TRIAL
A PLACE, NOT A COUNTRY
THE FUHRER OF FEMINISM
CRITICAL LEGAL THEORY: LAWYERS FOR THE REDS
THE BRASS KNUCKLES OF CRITICAL RACE THEORY
THE REDS' WALL AROUND AMERICA
TRUTH AS CORRESPONDENCE: BANNED IN THE WEST

REDS SET UP BANANA REPUBLIC IN WASHINGTON

Political scientists use the term *banana republic* to describe a state which has renounced Western liberal democratic ideals and descended into a war against civilized society. They have delineated the following characteristics of banana republics and observed them replicated in nation after nation in the twenty first century: red control over the marketplace of ideas, the enforcement of a red ideological echo chamber, and massive red fraud at the polls. Once the leader and inspiration of freedom fighters throughout the world, whose City Upon A Hill defined what it meant to be a constitutional republic, America has descended into the savage jungle of a banana republic and filled political scientists with feelings of anxiety, concern, consternation and dismay. This inexpressible tragedy called America, this spectacle of treason without precedent in the history of the nation or in the annals of modern Western democracies, has brought forth a totalitarian swampland which has made a nation conceived in constitutional liberty and forged on the battlefields of Lexington and Concord unrecognizable today. This twenty first century America, this postAmerica America, has composed the biggest, blackest playbook of red subversion in the Western world's recording book of high crimes and misdemeanors; it is a set of tactics, methods, notes and diagrams which occupy every paragraph of every page of the final chapter of the sordid story of freedom's stab in the back by the masses. Sewn and bound by the Devil himself, the narrative of a nonWestern wasteland known as America describes the proclamation from coast to coast of the doctrine of the reddest of the reds, Saul Alinsky, that the end justifies the means. It describes a plan of voter fraud, counterfeit ballots, ballot harvesting, electronic ballot box stuffing and voting machine manipulations, attested by ten thousand pages of affidavits and witness testimony and ten thousand reels of surveillance footage, which robbed Donald Trump of victory in the presidential election of 2020 and installed Joe Biden into power. It describes an election night in which vote counting was stopped at 11 PM, Republican poll watchers were told to leave their stations and go home, and election workers then manufactured 140,000 mail in ballots for Biden in Wisconsin, 200,000 ballots for Biden in Michigan and 1 million ballots for Biden in Pennsylvania. It describes the reds' derailing of Trump's America First libertarian movement. It describes the reds' taking control of the Senate. It describes the reds' mass cancellation of all those who oppose them. It describes the reds' plan to grant statehood to the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico and thereby gain four more red senators from the Democrat Party. It describes the reds' use of stimulus money to rescue cities mired in corruption and mismanagement at the hands of red mayors for the last fifty years. It describes the reds' plan to grant citizenship to millions of illegal aliens. It describes the reds' plan to dissolve the border and allow a mass influx of immigrants without selection, examination or investigation of any kind. It describes the reds' plan to pack the Supreme Court with red judges by expanding its size and thereby blow up the Court and the Constitution in one fell swoop. It describes the reds' plan, in the *For the People Act*, to ensure that red presidential candidates never lose another election by authorizing the following, in violation of the Article 1, Section 4 of the Constitution, which grants to states the primary authority to set the rules for federal elections: universal mail in voting, which is banned by every state in Europe; universal unsolicited mail in voting; voting without registering; allowing deceased voters and voters who have relocated to remain on voter lists; expanding voting times by months; and prohibiting requests for voter identification. The story of America on its way down describes a nation of once free people transformed into a socialized mass rioting from coast to coast to preserve its welfare benefits. It describes a nation with red political parties in total control at all levels of government. It describes a nation with a retracted and vanishing private sector in which the majority receives income

from the government, as government employees or as workers under contract from the government. It describes a nation of once self-reliant people transformed into a shameless almshouse in which shiftless paupers are supported by Social Security, food stamps, unemployment insurance, covid stimulus payments and covid business bailouts. It describes a nation which, in defiance of the scientifically established fact that sex is determined by genes and is unchangeable, has granted legal recognition to transgender individuals and granted them the right to use facilities and accommodations reserved for the opposite sex. It describes a nation whose universities have all black dormitories and all black graduation exercises. It describes a nation which has rejected the liberal principle that when choosing candidates for jobs, promotions or academic placements, the candidates' race and gender should be ignored in favor of their character and personality. It describes a nation which proclaims that all white people are racist and that it is impossible for black people to be racist. It describes a nation which proclaims that all men are sexist and that it is impossible for women to be sexist. It describes a nation which condemns as racist and sexist all those who admire the music of Beethoven, the paintings of Da Vinci and the plays of Shakespeare. It describes a nation which condemns its national anthem, the *Star Spangled Banner*, as racist. It describes a nation which proclaims that America was founded in 1619 with the first arrival of black slaves, and not in 1776, and that the American Revolutionary War was fought to preserve slavery and not to expand liberty. It describes a nation which condemns George Washington, Thomas Jefferson and Abraham Lincoln as racists and has taken down statues of them and removed their names from public buildings. It describes a nation which proclaims that only evil, violent, ignorant, racist, sexist, homophobic people voted for Donald Trump. It describes a nation which proclaims that capitalism has been an irremediable blight on America and the world. It describes a nation which condemns images of Aunt Jemima as racist and images of Mia the Indian girl as racist and sexist and removes them from product packages. It describes a nation which condemns books by Dr. Seuss as racist and removes them from schools, libraries and publishers' lists. It describes a nation which has relinquished control over its borders, allowed illegal immigrants to stream in by the millions, and granted citizenship to them. It describes a nation which condemns police departments as racist and has defunded and dismantled them. It describes a nation which orders schools to not to address their students as "boys and girls" because students might not identify as male or female. It describes a nation which rejects science and reality and proclaims that men can bear children. It describes a nation which invites men dressed up as women into public libraries and school classrooms to conduct Drag Queen Story Hours for children. It describes a nation which condemns as hate speech all words voiced in praise of modern liberal civilization and in opposition to savage indigenous cultures, revolutionary Islamic cultures and subversive Marxist cultures. Look at the reds at work in America today and see the nation's foremost children's author censored on the same grounds that the reds have censored every product and manifestation of capitalist culture. See the author of the most popular children's books of all time, books which have sold over 600 million copies worldwide and been translated into twenty languages, censored along with Aunt Jemima, Mia the Indian Girl, the Washington Redskins, Walt Disney, *Gone With The Wind*, Abraham Lincoln, Theodore Roosevelt and Woodrow Wilson. See these symbols of the West censored by the reds on the grounds that they, the West and the capitalist system are hate-filled, evil, bigoted and racist. See the reds remove an author whose books have won the Lewis Carroll Shelf Award and been promoted by the National Read Across America Day and the National Education Association, and see them install in place of Dr. Seuss the agitation and propaganda megaphones of the red totalitarian revolution: "Six popular Dr. Seuss books—including *And to Think That I Saw It on Mulberry Street* and *If I Ran the Zoo*—will stop

being published because of racist and insensitive imagery, the business that preserves and protects the author's legacy said Tuesday,' The Associated Press reported. 'These books portray people in ways that are hurtful and wrong,' Dr Seuss Enterprises told The Associated Press in a statement marking the late author and illustrator's birthday. 'Ceasing sales of these books is only part of our commitment and our broader plan to ensure Dr. Seuss Enterprises' catalog represents and supports all communities and families,' Dr. Seuss Enterprises said. The Associated Press reported that the 'racist and insensitive' depictions played a part in their removal. The other books affected are McElligot's Pool, On Beyond Zebra!, Scrambled Eggs Super!, and The Cat's Quizzer. The move to drop the six titles comes just twenty four hours after a Virginia school system removed children's books by Dr. Seuss from its annual Read Across America program over charges they have 'strong racial undertones,' as *Breitbart News* reported." (*Dr. Seuss Is Canceled: Six Books Will Stop Being Published Because Of 'Racist and Insensitive Imagery'* by Simon Kent). In 1904, while staying in Honduras, the American author William Sydney Porter coined the term *banana republic* to describe this Central American state. He saw a country in which the people were oppressed, enslaved, cheated, exploited, regimented, programmed and indoctrinated by their rulers. He called this baneful state of affairs a phenomenon pervasive in Latin America. When he died in 1910, the reds were marching on America and one hundred years later, his report on Honduras would become the fearsome and terrifying norm in the new Latin American republic headquartered in Washington.

RED JUDGES COMMIT TREASON

Treason is the crime of attacking a state to which one has sworn allegiance. This crime embraces many forms of attack and culminates in the attempt to directly overthrow a government and its constitution. Students of history and politics have observed something in America which they had never in their worst nightmares ever imagined they would observe. They can scarcely believe the evidence of their senses and desperately want to believe that they are mistaken in their judgment. But the evidence is irrefutable and overwhelming and has struck them like a bolt of lightning rending the sky in half. The crime they have observed is as vast in its scope and participants as it is grave in its import and consequences, and has upended America as a nation devoted to the rule of law, and made it unrecognizable from the constitutional republic fought for and died for on the fields of Lexington and Concord. They have observed multiple acts of treason committed by federal, state and local judges across the nation in rulings related to the 2020 presidential election. They have observed, in over two dozen cases filed across six contested states plus Texas by President Trump or others on his behalf, judges abdicating their sworn duty to uphold the constitutional right of the people to vote in fair and honest elections, and in so doing allowing the constitution to be overthrown, and committing by their crime of omission and abdication an act to overthrow the Constitution. Students of history and politics who have reviewed the record of the judges' rulings have reviewed a litany of shameless disowning and renunciation of responsibility without precedent in the history of the nation, and have observed a nation descend, at the hands of its treasonous judges, into a lawless jungle of political corruption which is as brazen as it is tragic, the latter because it happened in America, once the world's citadel of constitutional liberty and law, and the last place on earth where civilized people would imagine it could ever happen. *Item.* In the case of *Boland v. Raffensperger* in Georgia, the plaintiffs reported that the ballot rejection rate in the state had decreased from 1.53 percent in 2018 to 0.15 percent in the 2020 election, and reported that 20,000 people had voted who were not eligible to vote because they were not

residents. The court dismissed the suit on the grounds that the defendant, Brad Raffensperger, was an "improper party". *Item.* In the case of *Donald J. Trump for President, Inc. v. Benson* in Michigan, the plaintiffs reported that state law had been violated when election officials refused to allow access to counting stations by Republican observers. The plaintiff produced video footage of vote counters clapping as Republican observers were being removed and covers being placed over windows. The court dismissed the suit on the grounds that it was not filed until 4 PM when the vote counting had stopped and was therefore moot. *Item.* In the case of *Metcalfe v. Wolf* in Pennsylvania, the plaintiff reported that over 200,000 completed mail in ballots were illegal. The plaintiff produced testimony from a US Postal Service contractor who stated that he had been hired to transport these ballots from New York to Pennsylvania. The ballots were backdated at a postal station in Erie, Pennsylvania. The court dismissed the suit on the grounds that it had been filed on December 4, eleven days after the deadline to file. *Item.* In the case of *Trump v. Evers* in Wisconsin, the plaintiff reported that Milwaukee and Dane counties had violated state election law when the following actions took place: municipal clerks issued absentee ballots without required written applications; they completed missing information on the ballots in violation of the law; the ballots were wrongly cast by voters who claimed "Indefinite Confinement" incorrectly and provided no identification; and the city of Madison's Democracy in the Park violated election laws. The court dismissed the suit on the grounds that the plaintiffs had not gone through the lower courts. In the case of *Zicarelli v. Allegheny County Board of Elections* in Pennsylvania, the plaintiff reported that over two thousand mail in ballots were defective under the state's Election Code. The court dismissed the suit on the grounds that the word *shall* in the Election Code does not mean *shall*; it does not mean an instruction or command; but means what it does not mean: a mere suggestion, recommendation or piece of advice: "We agree with the Campaign's observation that the General Assembly set forth the requirements for how a qualified elector may cast a valid absentee or mail in ballot. We further agree that these sections of the Election Code specifically provide that each voter 'shall fill out, date, and sign' the declaration on the outside envelope. We do not agree with the Campaign's contention, however, that because the General Assembly used the word *shall* in this context, it is of necessity that the directive is a mandatory one." *Item.* In the case of *Donald J. Trump for President, Inc. v. Boockvar* in Pennsylvania, the plaintiff reported that Democrat counties had established a "notice and cure" policy for defective ballots which allowed them to be rectified and counted, while Republican counties had not established such a policy. This disparity violated the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. The court dismissed the suit on the grounds that plaintiff lacked standing to sue: "The Democrat counties had nothing to do with the denial of Individual Plaintiff's ability to vote because their ballots were rejected by Lancaster and Fayette [Republican] Counties, neither of which is a party to this case." *Item.* In the case of *Donald J. Trump for President, Inc. v. Philadelphia County Board of Elections*, the plaintiff reported that the Philadelphia County Board had refused to allow Republican poll watchers to observe the counting of ballots. The court dismissed the suit on the grounds that the law does not mandate that election observers be allowed to actually observe anything but only that they be permitted to remain in the room: "The Election Code allows the board to make rules for protecting its workers' safety from COVID-19 and physical assault. The only requirement is that one authorized representative of each candidate in an election and one representative from each political party shall be permitted to remain in the room [emphasis in the original]." *Item.* In the case of *Ward v. Jackson et al.* in Arizona, the plaintiff reported that Republican poll watchers were not allowed to observe the counting of ballots. The court dismissed the suit on the grounds that it was untimely and

the plaintiff should have brought the suit earlier in August, when there was a Democrat Party primary: "The observation procedures for the November general election were materially the same as for the August primary election, and any objection to them should have been brought at a time when any legal deficiencies could have been cured." Look at the world of America's judges and see petitions denied and cases dismissed in order to allow election illegality to stand, and see the face of treason. See judges refuse to uphold the Constitution, which grants to state legislatures the exclusive right to make election rules, and prohibits states from changing their rules by nonlegislative means. See judges manufacture a reason called "lack of standing" to abdicate their responsibility to enforce the constitutional right of citizens in every state, a right guaranteed under the Fourteenth and Nineteenth Amendments, to vote and to vote in free, fair and honest elections. See judges close up shop, accede to the pressure of the majority, and replace the rule of law by the rule of the mob: "The nation's highest court showed some early inclination for involvement in the brewing election issues, such as Justice Samuel Alito's order to separate certain late ballots in Pennsylvania in *Republican Party of Pennsylvania v. Boockvar*. Yet it soon took a different tone. A petition to expedite a hearing was denied and later the court refused the case. In December, the court rejected a key lawsuit filed by the state of Texas (*Texas v. Pennsylvania*), and joined by 18 other state attorneys general, alleging that Pennsylvania, Georgia, Michigan, and Wisconsin violated the US Constitution by changing election procedures through nonlegislative means. The justices ruled that Texas lacked standing under *Article III of the Constitution* to challenge the results of the election held by another state." (*Courts Repeatedly Refused To Consider Trump's Claims On The Merits* by Bob Anderson). America has not been defeated by its external enemies but has been stabbed in the back by its reds at home. Assuming national presidential power through fraud and corruption, the reds have been aided and abetted by their brethren on the bench. These brethren were confronted by overwhelming evidence of ballots tampered with, mishandled, miscounted, manufactured and wrongfully accepted. They were confronted with overwhelming evidence that voting machines produced decimal totals for votes rather than whole numbers, and were designed to change votes and make an audit impossible, and were connected to the internet on election night. They were confronted by overwhelming evidence of procedural fouls and violations of the Constitution. Confronted by a world of facts and reality, they refused to take action and sold out their nation to the forces of evil.

CALLING ALL CARS: REVOLUTION IN PROGRESS

The word *alarm* has a vivid and graphic etymology. It comes from the French expression *A l'arme*, which means "To the arms!", and it told citizens to pick up their weapons and prepare for combat because the enemy had arrived. Students of history and politics who have studied developments in America since the election of Joe Biden have sounded an alarm bell about a red takeover of the nation and have warned civilized communities to prepare for combat to defend mankind's citadel and arsenal of freedom and the world's preeminent beacon of light for the oppressed. In their report on the nation's change of ownership from law abiding citizens to the reds, students of history and politics have laid out the case and the situation and pleaded to anyone, anywhere in the world, with a conscience and a sense of decency, to act and act rapidly and decisively to defeat this menace to freedom without equal in the annals of public crimes and tyrannies. The following is their report. In an election which was rigged and stolen, Donald Trump is no longer president and Joe Biden has been installed into power. The greatest election scandal ever to hit a Western democratic nation, the fraudulent character of the 2020 presidential

election is attested by a ten thousand volume, multimedia library filled to overflowing with sworn affidavits, secret surveillance footage, photographic stills, local venue testimony and formal statements made by cybersecurity experts. This act of fraud saw vans filled with fake ballots for Biden, United States Postal Service trucks filled with fake ballots for Biden, and airplanes filled with fake ballots for Biden. On election night, when Republican Party witnesses had been ordered to leave their stations, these millions of fake ballots were entered into vote totals. In a coordinated effort and conspiracy spanning five states, Michigan, Georgia, Nevada, Pennsylvania and Wisconsin, the official vote counting was stopped at 11 PM and these fraudulent entries were made. This act of fraud saw election workers in Georgia falsely report that a water pipe in a vote counting station had burst and caused a flood. This was used as a pretext to clear the building of independent observers. After the observers had left, suitcases filled with fake ballots for Biden were rolled out from under a table and entered into vote totals. This act of fraud was captured on video. The fake ballots rolled out had only Biden's name filled out, had not been mailed out and had been rushed from the printing presses. The reds removed Trump in an arranged election because he had given the nation the greatest economic comeback, employment statistics, improvement in middle class incomes and increase in gross domestic product in its history. With America's red revolution fully underway, President elect Joe Biden has opened the nation's borders and dissolved its border walls. He has halted deportations and allowed every specimen of depravity to settle in the land along with their relatives. He has legalized millions of illegal aliens and included them in the national census. He has eliminated all background checks on immigrants and all requirements that they be skilled and educated. He has condemned capitalism as a system of racism. He has advocated for totalitarian tyranny in the name of social justice and advocated for discrimination against white people in the name of racial equity. He has revived the Iran nuclear deal and allowed the country to develop nuclear missiles. He has canceled the Keystone XL pipeline, shut down the oil, coal, natural gas and fracking industries, and made America an energy dependent nation. He has reentered the Paris Climate Accords, decimated industrial civilization in the name of reducing global warming and achieving zero carbon emissions, and allowed India and China to expand their industrial development without limit. He has sought to have as many Americans as possible supported by welfare programs, supplemental benefits and covid stimulus payments. He has sought to increase the national debt to multitrillion dollar levels and bankrupt the country. He has promoted federal recognition of transgender individuals in defiance of science which has established that sex is determined by genes and is thus unchangeable. He has established universal mail in voting in presidential elections without voter identification required in order to ensure that no red candidate ever loses a presidential election. He has revived President Obama's 2011 Dear Colleague Letter to colleges and universities and deprived male university students accused of sexual assault the protections of due process of law, including the right to cross examine complainants, the right to have independent evidence presented against them, and the right to be presumed innocent of allegations until proven guilty by independent evidence. Look at the reds at work in America today and see a campaign against capitalism and the West conducted in the name of the savage, indigenous, marginalized people of the world. See the voices of liberal equality denounced as white racists. See social justice warriors launch a crusade of Indoctrination Across America to inspire the denizens of the streets and sewers with passionate hatred toward justice, fairness and a liberal, meritocratic society. See a leftist assault on the values and traditions of individual rights and the rule of law. See fraudulent academics and sinister specialists in gender, race and class theologies falsify the history of the West, sully the stories of liberal soldiers and statesmen, gun down liberal universality in plain sight. and kill the defenders of

liberal order in cold blood. See the reds' calendar of tribal governance turn back the clock to the year zero. See the hate filled red analyses condemn the moral and intellectual achievements of the Enlightenment. See the reds' religion of antirepublicanism and anticonstitutionalism promote group power politics in the name of human liberation and crown modern radicals as kings for life. See every symbol, figure, trace and vestige of man's moral, spiritual, political, material and technological advancement condemned as racist, sexist, colonialist, imperialist, oppressive and exploitative: the European colonization of primitive lands; Jefferson's Declaration of Independence; the American Revolutionary War; the American national anthem; the American Constitution; Lincoln's Emancipation Proclamation; every major American president; the liberal ideal of equality of opportunity in which all people are allowed to achieve their highest aspirations and goals; man's exploration of outer space; the interconnection of the planet through digital communications; children's stories written by the world renowned Dr Seuss which celebrate liberal freedom and equal treatment in a race blind and gender blind society; and a radiant universe filled with popular films and consumer products. See red agitators and propagandists conducting a march of subversion through liberal establishments and institutions and preparing the way for an age of totalitarian tyranny and enslavement: "Dr. Seuss, it turns out, is just another white racist who had, until March 2021, pulled the wool over our collective eyes for decades (including from the grave). Didn't you know, he was programming the youth of America to indefinitely oppress the marginalized peoples of the world? Of course, this is complete and utter woke nonsense. Even President Barack Obama once told an audience of White House interns that 'pretty much all the stuff you need to know is in Dr. Seuss.' Seuss's own stepdaughter says, 'There wasn't a racist bone in his body.' Yet here we are. On what would have been the author's 117th birthday, a day made famous by a nationwide event he helped inspire, Read Across America, it was announced that six of his books will no longer be printed. The irony of course, is that Dr. Seuss's books are designed with their morals—not racism—in plain sight. [D]eeming even a small part of Dr. Seuss's collection unsuitable for children is just the latest leftist assault on America's shared traditions and values—and really, on our entire history. Whether it's judging men who lived in in the 18th century according to rules that a bunch of academics invented in the last eighteen months or canceling Dr. Seuss for not being culturally sensitive enough for 'specialists in the field' in 2021, these critiques fail to give credit for the moral and cultural achievements these people made in their own time. Yes, Thomas Jefferson owned slaves, as many of his contemporaries did, but he wrote the Declaration of Independence, which set the course for the liberation of countless peoples across the world. Just as the French Revolutionaries adopted the French Republican Calendar—with year one beginning in 1792—in an attempt to remove all religious and royal vestiges from French culture, our modern radicals also want to remove the vestiges of America's shared stories and histories. They want to cast the future of America in their radical progressive image, but how can they do that if America is still in love with its past? The long march through the institutions thus continues, bullying all of us into repenting for daring to enjoy a children's book, a bottle of syrup, a movie, an anthem or a president. It will continue until we renounce it all. The American Dream? That is just romanticized capitalist greed. The Constitution? A document forever tainted by the Three Fifts Clause. The triumph of the Revolutionary War over British tyranny? That was just white colonists fighting over stolen land. Landing on the moon, the Emancipation Proclamation, pioneering computers and connectivity? All of it has to go until we're so disgusted by our home and our history that we're begging for a clean slate. We can start with year one as the year when we finally dealt with that pesky Dr. Seuss." (*Cancel Culture Comes for Dr. Seuss* by Charlie Kirk). The history and development of alarm devices has

seen the use of bells, drums, whistles, buzzers, klaxons, sirens, horns and flashing colored lights. Before a movement by the reds to overthrow America's social, cultural and political order, the sounding by civilized people of all manner of alarms has been to no avail. Nothing can wake up the mob and bring them out of their feverish, intoxicated, dogmatic slumbers. The wheel of destiny, ever circling and never advancing, has brought the specter of decline and fall back onto the stage of history and appointed the twenty first century as the final century of America.

BILL HR 1: REDS ROLL BACK DEMOCRACY

Electoral fraud is interference in the process of an election with the aim either of increasing the vote share of a favored candidate or decreasing the vote share of the candidate's rival. Prior to the 2020 presidential election in America, electoral fraud had been a phenomenon confined to nonWestern nations and sullied elections held in Argentina, Mexico, Venezuela, Nigeria, Kenya, Indonesia, Philippines and Russia. In the 2020 election in America, the nation entered the nonWestern community of corruption when the fraudulent victory of Joe Biden over Donald Trump was exposed by a big black book of shame containing ten thousand pages, a book whose table of contents includes the following items: *Affidavits, Depositions, Declarations, Lawsuits, Court Rulings, Testimony at Local Hearings, Analyses Conducted by Research Institutions and Legal Centers, Video Footage, Photographic Stills, Equal Protection Clause Violations, Voter Fraud, Ballot Mishandling, Process Violations, Voting Machine Irregularities and Significant Statistical Deviations*. Without precedent in the history of modern Western democracies, this level of political corruption will be institutionalized and made a permanent feature of national elections in bill HR 1, called the *For the People Act*, passed by the House of Representatives in March 2021. The purpose of the bill is as brazen and unabashed as were the vote rigging schemes conducted by the reds during the 2020 presidential election, and is to ensure that the rigging continues in perpetuity and that the reds never lose another national election. A massive piece of legislation passed by the Democratic Party majority on a party line vote, the bill seeks to establish dishonesty and unfairness as national electoral norms and conventions, disenfranchise the antired opposition, and place the reds in permanent control of the government. The bill's items are monstrous points of darkness on a map of subversion which will upend constitutionally mandated electoral processes for all time to come. *Item*. The bill proclaims that "Congress finds that it has broad authority to regulate the time, place and manner of congressional elections under the Elections Clause of the Constitution." In point of fact, the Constitution gives primary authority to the states and not the federal government to set the rules governing elections, and grants to Congress only the power to redress unconstitutional state rules: "The Times, Places and Manner of holding Elections for Senators and Representatives, shall be prescribed in each State by the Legislature thereof; but the Congress may at any time by Law make or alter such Regulations, except as to the Places of choosing Senators." (Article 1, Section 4). *Item*. The bill condemns the following as "burdensome" and instruments of "racial discrimination" and "systemic racism" and seeks their repeal: voter registration procedures, the requirement that voters present photo identification in order to vote, lists which exclude ineligible voters, and restrictions on voting by mail. *Item*. The bill proclaims that the only courts which will have jurisdiction to hear challenges to its constitutionality are the notoriously red colored courts in Washington DC. *Item*. The bill establishes automatic and online registration, allows voters who have no signatures on file to supply signatures on the day they request a ballot, and prohibits states from verifying ballot applications with anything more than the last four digits of the applicant's Social Security

number. *Item.* The bill protects from prosecution illegal aliens who seek to vote who were automatically registered and never declared in writing that they were American citizens. *Item.* The bill allows voters to change their address and other information at polling places and allows them to cast regular ballots and not provisional ballots on the basis of the new information. *Item.* The bill allows same day voter registration in order to restrict voter checking time by the state: "Each state shall permit any eligible individual on the day of a federal election and on any day when voting, including early voting, is permitted for a Federal election—to register to vote in such election at the polling place and to cast a vote in such election." *Item.* The bill authorizes early voting: "Each State shall allow individuals to vote in an election for Federal office during an early voting period which occurs prior to the date of the election, in the same manner as voting is allowed on such date." *Item.* The bill prevents states from removing ineligible voters from voter rolls through checking with other states unless they already possess extensive information proving voters' identity. It prevents third parties from challenging voters' eligibility unless they have "personal knowledge" of ineligibility. *Item.* The bill authorizes nationwide voting by mail without photo identification: "States may not require an individual to provide any form of identification as a condition for obtaining an absentee ballot." It does not require witness signatures for mail in votes. It provides that approved applications for mail in voting shall be deemed to be valid forever. *Item.* The bill authorizes early voting: "Each State shall allow individuals to vote in an election for Federal office during an early voting period which occurs prior to the date of the election, in the same manner as voting is allowed on such date." *Item.* The bill authorizes unlimited third party ballot collections: "States shall permit a voter to designate any person to return a voted and sealed absentee ballot to the post office, a ballot drop off location, tribally designated building, or election office so long as the person designated to return the ballot does not receive any form of compensation based on the number of ballots. States may not put any limit on how many voted and sealed absentee ballots any designated person can return." *Item.* The bill requires states to abolish all photo identification requirements for voter applicants: "States must allow applicants to submit sworn written statement, signed by the individual under penalty of perjury, attesting to the individual's identity and attesting that the individual is eligible to vote in the election." *Item.* The bill requires states to provide absentee voter boxes forty five days before an election: "In each county in the State, each State shall provide in person, secured, and clearly labeled drop boxes at which individuals may, at any time during the period described in subsection (b), [forty five days] drop off voted absentee ballots in an election for Federal office. The boxes must be available to all voters on a non-discriminatory basis and during all hours of the day." *Item.* The bill, in violation of the First Amendment, requires the Federal Election Commission to ensure that all political opinions expressed during an election campaign adhere faithfully to the red party line: "The Federal Elections Commission must conduct an independent study and report on media literacy with respect to online political content consumption". *Item.* The bill condemns the landmark Supreme Court decision *Citizens United Versus Federal Election Commission* (2010), which recognized the self evident fact that restrictions on money used for speech entail restrictions on speech itself and that the First Amendment's guarantee of free speech prohibits the government from restricting expenditures for political communications by organizations. It advocates changing the Constitution to allow the government to restrict political expenditures for speech. It justifies its violation of free speech in the name of progressive politics and opposition to the poisonous moneyed interests of liberal democracy: "The Supreme Court's misinterpretation of the Constitution to empower moneyed interests at the expense of the American people in elections has seriously eroded over 100 years of congressional action to promote fairness and protect elections from

the toxic influence of money. The Constitution should be amended so that Congress and the States may regulate and set limits on the raising and spending of money." On November 19, 1863, at the dedication of the national cemetery on the site of the Battle of Gettysburg, Abraham Lincoln delivered a speech which civilized people throughout the world at once noted and would never forget. He said that "this nation shall have a new birth of freedom--and that government of the people, by the people, for the people, shall not perish from the earth." Moved by the hands of the mob, the wheel of history has returned full circle to the rule of primitive tribes countless eons ago and rendered Lincoln's noble words a dead letter. Transferring control of elections from cities, counties and states to Washington in violation of the Constitution, establishing mass mail in ballot as permanent, prohibiting voter identification, establishing automatic voter registration whether people want to vote or not, allowing same day voter registration and voting, thus allowing no time to check the eligibility of potential voters, authorizing third party ballot harvesting, and forcing all legal appeals into one court, the Washington circuit court controlled by the Democratic Party, the House of Representatives has established in bill HR 1 a fearsome and terrifying new regime in a land where fair and honest elections once reigned. It is a regime in which elections are fixed, arranged and predetermined and government of the reds, by the reds and for the reds has been enacted as the supreme law of the land.

REALITY DEPLATFORMED

Empiricism is the doctrine that all knowledge is derived from sense experience. It is based upon the recognition that ideas which are not derived from reality can never make contact with reality and are nothing more than subjective ideological constructions. Supported by all people of sound mind, empiricism was the official doctrine of the Age of Enlightenment and Classical Greco-Roman culture. The sworn enemy of religion, empiricism has fallen under sustained bombardment and attack by the reds over the past one hundred years and in the twenty first century, it has been completely superseded and replaced by apriori methods of thought promoted by gender, race and class activists in their war to annihilate Western liberal civilization. Telling people that truth is that which serves political interests and is never anything which they can see with their own eyes, the reds have broadcast a program and manifesto of apriori truth and alternate reality which replicates the symptoms of schizophrenic disorder as it plants a signpost pointing inexorably downward into the collapse of civilized society. This program and manifesto is replete with exhibits which establish a case of mass mental illness and national political corruption without precedent in the annals of modern Western states. Exhibit One. In March 2020, New York's red governor Andrew Cuomo issued an order which required nursing homes throughout the state to accept hospital patients known to be infected with covid 19. In consequence, the virus spread rapidly throughout the homes and killed thousands of residents. In true red fashion, Cuomo denied ever having issued the order, stating "it never happened". In addition, Cuomo deliberately underreported the covid fatalities by fifty percent. He denied having done this as well, stating "all deaths were always fully, publicly and accurately reported." Look at the world of the reds and see press conferences pouring forth tidal waves of false and inaccurate information. See a shadow play projected on a wall of deception whose characters, sequences and progressions of events serve to advance a narrative called *social justice*, *tribal politics* and the *people's war against liberty*. See a national left wing media establishment expunge such terms as *failure*, *fraud*, *corruption* and *incompetence* from the airwaves in their descriptions of their fellow reds in office, concoct an image of honest and dedicated red statesmen, and cover up the deaths of tens of thousands of people at the hands

of red mayors and red governors: " 'The governor's 111 daily briefings worked so well because he effectively created television shows, with characters, plot lines, and stories of success and failure', Bruce L. Paisner, the International Academy president, said in a statement in Nov. 'People around the world tuned in to find out what was going on, and New York tough became a symbol of the determination to fight back.' Ironically, it turns out Paisner is spot on. Cuomo's briefings were entirely orchestrated by a left wing media seeking to construct the governor as an effective leader he demonstrably did not prove to be. Cuomo is currently being investigated by the federal government for his mishandling of the pandemic, notably sending an estimated 9,000 COVID-19 infected seniors back to nursing homes. A 76 page report in January authored by New York Attorney General Letitia James showed that more than 15,000 elderly citizens died in nursing homes in New York." (*Governor Kristi Noem Slams Corrupt Leftist Media in CPAC Speech* by Gabe Kaminsky). Exhibit Two. For the past five decades, the reds have been promoting the hoax of man made global warming. They have claimed that modern industrial civilization has increased carbon dioxide levels in the atmosphere to 410 parts per million and this will lead to catastrophically rising sea levels and the incineration of the planet in a matter of decades unless industrial civilization is dismantled. However empirical science reveals that carbon dioxide levels on Earth three millions of years ago were the same as they are today. At this distant time of global warming, there were no ice sheets covering Greenland or West Antarctica, while most of the ice sheets covering East Antarctica had melted. Global temperatures outside the poles were 3.8 degrees Celsius warmer than before and were seven degrees warmer at the poles, and sea levels were twenty meters higher than before. This phenomenon of prehistoric, preindustrial global warming was caused by outgassing from volcanoes and asteroid bombardment of the planet. The atmospheric carbon dioxide levels present at this time are measured by bubbles of air trapped in the Antarctic and Greenland ice sheets, which serve as samples of the ancient atmosphere. In addition, scientists have discovered the presence of liquid water on Earth when it was formed 4.7 billion years ago. But at this time, the output of the sun was seventy percent of what it is today. These facts prove that carbon dioxide levels were much higher at this time than they would be at any later time, and produced the greenhouse gas warming necessary to make liquid water possible at this time. Look at the world of the reds and see the perpetration of a hoax without precedent in the annals of pseudoscience. It is the hoax that human activity is responsible for high levels of atmospheric carbon dioxide. It is the hoax which denies the results of empirical research which reveal that current carbon dioxide levels were present on Earth three million years ago. It is the hoax which ascribes to the Industrial Age things which it did not and cannot do. It is the hoax of anthropogenic global warming which the reds have employed in their campaign to turn back human social, technical, scientific and cultural development and grind humanity into dust: "The far left *ThinkProgress* reports that scientists have finally proven that the theory of man made global warming is a total hoax. Of course, no one will admit it, but that is exactly what has happened. A new scientific study shows has revealed the following:

Current CO2 levels of 410 parts per million (ppm) were last seen on Earth three million years ago, according to the most detailed reconstruction of the Earth's climate by researchers at the Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research and published in *Science Advances*.

"Yes, you read that correctly, three million—million—years ago CO2 levels on Earth were the same as they are today, but there is one major difference between three million years ago

and today. Three million years ago, we humans were not driving cars or eating the meat that requires cow belching; we weren't barbecuing or refusing to recycle or building factories; there was no Industrial Age, no plastic, no air conditioning, no electricity, no lumber mills, no consumerism, no aerosols. In fact, three million years ago, there were probably no human beings on Earth, at least not human in the way we use that term today. And yet, CO2 levels were the same then as they are now." (*Scientists Prove Man Made Global Warming Is A Hoax* by John Nolte). Exhibit Three. In the presidential election of 2020, the greatest act of political corruption in the history of modern democratic states was committed when the reds robbed Donald Trump of victory and awarded victory to Joe Biden. Condemning claims of election fraud as baseless, unproven and unsubstantiated, the reds have denied the mass of assembled evidence which has established proof of fraud beyond all reasonable doubt. They have denied the existence of thousands of pages of affidavits, depositions, testimony and photographs and thousands reels of surveillance videos which prove ballot fraud, ballot fouls, ballot mishandling, violations of the Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause, the manipulation of voting machines, and extreme statistical deviations which cannot be explained away. Look at the world of the reds and see a denial of reality which is as sinister as it is pathological. See vision impaired ideologues digging a mass grave marked *empirical facts and information* and throwing into it evidence of fractionalized votes, counterfeit ballots, corrupt computer algorithms, compromised voting machines, and the results of nonpartisan audits, evaluations and inspections. See the reds douse this grave with the gasoline of mob power and red agitation and see them send Western civilization to its death in raging vortices of smoke and flames: "There's all kind of precedent for fixing what happened in this election from *Bush vs. Gore* to other cases as well. Fractionalized votes. That's exactly what we have here from a computer algorithm that we can prove in multiple counties, and it could prove across the country if anybody would issue an order allowing inspection of the machines. The very fact that Dominion and other companies are not allowing inspection of the voting machines ought to tell everybody all they need to know. Federal law calls for election records to be kept for 22 months. In this case, it requires forensic evaluations of the machines and looking at all of the paper ballots. We already know that's not going to match up. There were counterfeit ballots. People were saying, 'Oh, well they did a full audit in Georgia. Well, if you just keep running the same counterfeit bill through the same counting machine, you're going to get the same result. There is enough evidence in the cases before them or enough evidence in the public now to more than reverse the election in at least five states.'" (*Sidney Powell: 'More than Enough Evidence' to 'Reverse Election in at Least 5 States'* by Jack Murphy, quoting Powell). Armed with the greatest of all weapons of war, the weapons of truth and fact, formations of loyal, patriotic, decent, disciplined, focused Americans stormed the Capital Building in Washington on January 6, 2021 to take back an election, a government and a constitution which had been desecrated and hijacked by the reds. Listen to the words of the nation's last remaining soldiers of liberty and the rule of law stride fearlessly onto the field of battle to smash, decapitate, blow up, kick in and spill the blood of an octopus whose tentacles marked *Antifa*, *Black Lives Matter* and *Gender, Race and Class Politics* have strangled and suffocated America: "Congress needs to hear glass breaking, doors kicked in, and blood from their Black Lives Matter and Antifa slave soldiers being spilled. Get violent, stop calling this a march or rally or a protest. Go there ready for war. We get our President or we die. NOTHING else will achieve this goal." In the reds' war against civilization, veracity and honesty have been the first victims and casualties. Rejecting the power and primacy of empirical observation in human thought, the reds have peddled a hate blinded party political line to the people and expelled human progress from the public square.

REDS ASSASSINATE LINCOLN

It is granted to very few people in their lives to always speak the truth. Abraham Lincoln was one of them. He spoke the truth in his condemnation of slavery and was revered as the Great Emancipator, as the savior of the oppressed, and as a placid island of reason and tolerance amidst a storming sea of racist bigotry and derangement. In 1922, the Lincoln Memorial was dedicated in Washington and in 1941, the Mount Rushmore Memorial was completed and featured a colossal sculpture of Lincoln. The equality of all races is proclaimed by the sixteenth president in his *Gettysburg Address*, November 19, 1863: "Four score and seven years ago our fathers brought forth on this continent, a new nation, conceived in liberty, and dedicated to the proposition that all men are created equal." The second great truth Lincoln spoke was his view of the superiority of Western culture over indigenous cultures and his condemnation of Native American culture as backward, savage and uncivilized. For speaking this latter truth, Lincoln has been vilified by the reds, his name banned from public buildings and hunted to extinction, and his reputation blackened beyond redemption or repair. In their war against the liberal, Enlightenment order, the reds have made common cause with antiliberal, antienlightenment cultures throughout the world and have seen in Lincoln their mortal enemy. Lincoln's monumental crime in the eyes of the reds was that he was the architect of the American West and pushed back the frontiers of indigenous savagery into the deepest depths of the ocean. The reds hate Lincoln because he sought to populate the west with the defenders, the institutions and the technology of civilization. The reds hate Lincoln because he created the Department of Agriculture in 1862 as an agency to promote civilized farming techniques in lands which had never known them. The reds hate Lincoln because he sponsored the Homestead Act of 1862 which opened millions of acres of public land to settlement and cultivation by civilized people. Lincoln's homesteads would be established in thirty states and liberated 270 million acres from Indian savagery. The reds hate Lincoln because he sponsored the Pacific Railway Act of 1862 which created a transcontinental railroad running through Indian lands and linking the civilized east with the savage west. The reds hate Lincoln because he sponsored the Morrill Act of 1862 which created the land grant system. Under this system, states were given title deed to Indian lands to sell. The revenue generated from the sales funded agricultural and technical colleges amidst plains of darkness which had never seen light. The reds hate Lincoln because he ordered the liquidation of the Dakota Indians in the Dakota War of 1862, ordered the execution of 38 Dakota savages, and allowed civilization to flourish in the new state of Minnesota. The reds hate Lincoln because he removed Navajos and Mescalero Apaches from New Mexico Territory in 1863 and allowed civilization to expand unmolested in this land. The reds hate Lincoln because he ordered the liquidation of the Cheyenne and Arapaho Indians in the Sand Creek Massacre of 1864 and allowed civilization to flourish in Colorado Territory. Look at the world which Lincoln saw and see why the reds hate him. They hate him because he saw a world of night walled in by ax marks and arrowheads. Because he saw packs of foraging animals searching the land for food while appearing to the world in human form. Because he saw wolf clans raiding and battling each other around the clock and fighting to the death for scraps of garbage. Because he saw skeletons roaming the American West, terrifying figures cloaked in black, bearing scythes and beckoning civilized people come on in to the distant past, and return to the murderous ages of tribal warfare. Because he saw despicable subhumans organizing tribal societies as roving extermination squads and boasting body counts as high as they can. Because he saw populations of fierce, violent and uncontrollable monsters threatening civilization like forces of nature and not abating until they were defeated by the determined forces of free people: "Contra leftist

anthropologists who celebrate the noble savage, quantitative body counts—such as the proportion of prehistoric skeletons with ax marks and embedded arrowheads or the proportion of men in a contemporary foraging tribe who die at the hands of other men—suggest that pre state societies were far more violent than our own. It is true that raids and battles killed a tiny percentage of the numbers that die in modern warfare. But, in tribal violence, the clashes are more frequent, the percentage of men in the population who fight is greater, and the rates of death per battle are higher. According to anthropologists like Lawrence Keeley, Stephen LeBlanc, Phillip Walker, and Bruce Knauft, these factors combine to yield population wide rates of death in tribal warfare that dwarf those of modern times. If the wars of the twentieth century had killed the same proportion of the population that die in the wars of a typical tribal society, there would have been two billion deaths, not 100 million." (*A History of Violence* by Steven Pinker). Look at the world which Lincoln saw and see why the reds despise him. They despise him because he saw a storm of savage hunters sweeping across the land. Because he saw governments at all levels under ceaseless attack from the forces of atavism, reversion and degeneration. Because he opened up vast tracts of western territories to the energy and vitality of civilized populations. Because he ended Indian occupancy and desecration of pristine lands and allowed civilized wealth, economies and power to flourish throughout them. Because he repelled invasions of barbarian hordes and established borders and settlements for progress and enlightenment. Because he protected civilization from contamination by Indians and segregated them in wild sanctuaries where they belonged. Because he defended American communities from the dark powers of moral and cultural vermin which had stagnated on the land for thousands of years. Because he expanded the wisdom, the counsels, the guidance, the direction, the habits, the aims, the plans, the programs, the policies, the influence, the education, the institutions, the authority, the government, the morality and the happiness of free people into indigenous lands enveloped in tyranny and ignorance for countless, nameless eons, and expanded them across the length and breadth of America, and let the bells of truth and reason ring out from the highest mountain tops and from the lowest lying plains: "The consequences of a speedy removal will be important to the United States, to individual states, and to the Indians themselves. The pecuniary advantages which it promises to the government are the least of its recommendations. It puts an end to all possible danger of collision between the authorities of the general and state governments on account of the Indians. It will place a dense and civilized population in large tracts of country now occupied by a few savage hunters. By opening the whole territory between Tennessee on the north and Louisiana on the south to the settlement of the whites it will incalculably strengthen the southwestern frontier and render the adjacent states strong enough to repel future invasions without remote aid. It will relieve the whole state of Mississippi and the western part of Alabama of Indian occupancy, and enable those states to advance rapidly in population, wealth, and power. It will separate the Indians from immediate contact with settlements of whites; free them from the power of the states; enable them to pursue happiness in their own way and under their own rude institutions; will retard the progress of decay, which is lessening their numbers, and perhaps cause them gradually, under the protection of the government and through the influence of good counsels, to cast off their savage habits and become an interesting, civilized, and Christian community. These consequences, some of them so certain and the rest so probable, make the complete execution of the plan sanctioned by Congress at their last session an object of much solicitude." (*First Annual Message to Congress by President Andrew Jackson*, December 8 1820. Long celebrated as the Land of Lincoln, the state of Illinois has removed public statues of the sixteenth president on the grounds that he violated the norms of diversity and

inclusion and sought to cleanse the nation of indigenous savagery. Marching under the banners of Indigenous People's Days of Rage, the reds have expunged the name and images of Lincoln from streets, squares and college campuses throughout the nation. Under the iron grip of the reds, America in the twenty first century has been placed inside Ford's Theater on the evening of April 14, 1865. Sitting in a private box above the stage, the statesman who liberated the West from the bestial yoke of indigenous retrogression has been assassinated once more, this time around by the mob voices of gender, race and class politics. Canceled by the red activists of "diversity, equity, inclusion" and rank anticivilization, Lincoln has been cast into the dustbin of history, where he presides over a nation long since deceased.

KILLER CLOWNS AT LARGE

Serial killer John Wayne Gacy had an alter ego named Pogo the Clown. When Gacy was captured, fearful and terrified children throughout the world drew connections between killers and clowns. In the twenty first century, the killer clown phenomenon has returned to monstrous actuality in the transgender movement, whose high priests peddle the antiscience of gender changeability as they present a spectacle of the malformed, the misshapen, the mutilated and the grotesque stalking children and adolescents with a horrifying arsenal of puberty blockers, cross sex hormones, and amputation surgery of breasts and genitalia. Promoted by the red agitators of gender, race and class politics, the dogma of antiscience and its psychotic assault on truth and reality has descended to new depths of boldness and brazenness in the transgenders' denial of the biological determination and fixity of gender. Unmoored to anything which exists outside the realm of their own feelings and wishes, transgenders have set forth a program and manifesto for the dissolution of empirical science, which has established the genetic basis of sex determinations, and in so doing have trod in the sinister footsteps of their predecessors, the communist promoters of Lysenkoism and the national socialist promoters of *Deutsche Physik*. Embodied in the *Equality Act* of 2020 proposed by the Biden administration, this program and manifesto is a public declaration of the policies and aims of a violent, raging, red groundswell which has placed modern civilization into a live volcano and watched its debris scatter across the sky. Broadcast into every home, school and office in the land, this program and manifesto proclaims that the science of biological sex is an Enlightenment based construct without foundation in reality. It proclaims that subjective gender identity supersedes biological sex because the latter does not exist. It proclaims that a progressive society has ended legal recognition of the biological sexes and granted legal recognition to those who have claimed to change their sex. It proclaims that our laws and language need not be grounded in reality and need not recognize that human beings are unalterably created male or female. It proclaims that a progressive society denies the fact that biological sex constitutes a basic feature of who we are. It proclaims that a progressive society denies the fact that every cell in a person's body is programmed to produce sex cells, either female sex cells or male sex cells. It proclaims that a progressive society denies the fact that sex is a fundamental component of our genetic information. It proclaims that a progressive society denies the fact that every cell in the body has a sex and that a person's sex is determined by the genes contained in his chromosomes and by nothing else, and is not reducible to his secondary sex characteristics. It proclaims that a progressive society denies the fact that a person's sex is determined by his sex chromosomes, that females have two X chromosomes and males have an X chromosome and a Y chromosome, and that a person's sex is not reducible to any such thing as his subjective self perception or his "gender identity". It proclaims that a progressive society denies the fact that sex is an unchangeable genetic reality, that a person genetically is either

male or female, and that so-called intersex conditions are developmental disorders which have no relation to the underlying genetic reality. It proclaims that a progressive society denies the fact that genetic females alone are truly females because they alone experience female puberty, become pregnant, bear children and experience menopause. It proclaims that a progressive society denies the fact that no person can define himself in or out of his sexual reality because his sexual reality is a biological, genetic reality. It proclaims that a progressive society proclaims that to be a male or female is simply to be an aggregate of male or female body parts and is not to be biologically coded male or female. It proclaims that a progressive society denies that gender has any relation to sex and affirms that gender can be changed by physical interventions. It proclaims that the biological distinction between male and female is completely irrelevant to the determination of gender. It proclaims that a progressive society recognizes that who we are is answered by our gender and not by our sex and that the former is subject to endless surgical and chemical manipulation. It proclaims that a progressive society has constructed a steamroller called *gender identity* and allowed it to obliterate the reality of genes, chromosomes, biology and birth sex. Look at the world of transgenders and see the facts of reality denied and gender proclaimed to have no genetic basis. See gender proclaimed to be an arbitrary social construct. See the rejection of all that biology informs us about sex. See cruel, wicked creatures preying upon children and encouraging them to undergo surgical sex mutilation and chemical interventions in a futile attempt to change their sex. See virulent religionists of unsound mind promoting the antiscience of gene nonexistence and the reduction of sex to sexual body parts. See those who maintain that sex is determined by genes subjected to cancellation, censorship, exclusion, proscription, vilification, assault and exile. See the scientific citizens of Western civilization who affirm that men are genetically men and women are genetically women, and that genetic man cannot become women, and genetic women cannot become men, taken away by left wing, transgender activists and burned at the stake. See red radicals and commissars marching under the torchlights of transgenderism deplatforming the voices of mental health, gunning down the soldiers of rational resistance, shouting down the chorus singers of civilization's anthem, trampling down the towers of human progress and enlightenment, and plunging mankind into a raging vortex of physical ruination and psychological collapse: "Dr. Ben Carson, a retired pediatric neurosurgeon, described the pushing of left wing transgender ideology upon children as child abuse on Friday's edition of SiriusXM's Breitbart News Daily with host Alex Marlow. Democrats and the broader left regularly frame human sexual dimorphism as an arbitrary social construct. Marlow recalled Thursday's confirmation hearing for Dr. Rachel Levine, a man who describes himself as a woman. 'We're being told it's scientific to allow children to change their genders, either with treatments or with surgeries,' Marlow said. 'This is not science. It's so far beyond it, but give me your sense of someone who's lived his life as a scientific person.' Carson replied, 'Well, I think they have completely neglected biology. Biology tells us that there are males and there are females, and there's a reason for that, and we also know that the human brain is an incredibly complex organ and it's not fully developed until your mid to late twenties.' 'So why would you be asking a preadolescent about these complex issues?' Carson asked. 'Why would we even be complicating their lives? Children are curious by nature, and of course they're going to ask questions and explore things. That doesn't mean that they want to change their sex. And to confuse them in that way, I think, is child abuse. It certainly has nothing to do with science. These radical things are actually going to ruin people's lives long term.' On Thursday, Levine refused to reject transgender medical treatment for children when invited to do so by Senator Rand Paul (R-KY). Such medical practices include drugs that obstruct puberty and sexual development and surgical

mutilation of genitals. Marlow asked about left wing political censorship related to the left's rejection of human biology. 'It seems like this is one [issue] where people are just not being vocal enough,' Marlow remarked, 'and if you are vocal, your book gets canceled, you get thrown off of social media, you can't say a man is a man or a woman is a woman, or else you're risking cancellation What are we going wrong here in terms of our activism?' Carson highlighted the value of courage. He replied, 'Well, you know, it's in our national anthem and the last line of the first stanza. It says, 'the land of the free and the home of the brave.' You cannot be the land of the free if you're not the home of the brave. You've got to be willing to stand up.' He went on, 'Maybe there are some consequences, so what? There were consequences for our Founders, but if they weren't willing to accept those consequences, we would still be under British rule. So stop being chickens, and get out there and fight for what we believe in. If we just allow them to have a platform and to dictate everything without resistance, then they win.' 'If they win, not only does the country lose, but the world loses,' Carson concluded, 'because people forget what the world was like before the United States was on the stage—a bunch of despotic leaders who just trampled on anybody that they could. It will go right back to that, I guarantee you.'" (*Ben Carson: 'Child Abuse' To Prey On Children's Curiosity With Transgender Ideology* by Robert Kraychik). Denying the existence of genes on the grounds that they are incompatible with Marxist ideology, Lysenkoism was imposed on the Soviet Union under Stalin and set back Soviet biology by decades. Denying the existence of genes as determinants of sex on the grounds that this fact is incompatible with transgender ideology, the Lysenkoists of today have set back biology in the West by over a century and placed it in the age before Mendel. The primary test which psychiatrists administer to people thought to be suffering from severe mental illness is to have them answer the following question: "Is the statement A is A true or false?" Denying that people with two X chromosomes are immutably female and that people with a single X chromosome and a single Y chromosome are immutably male, transgenders have failed the primary test for determining sanity and have scorched the world with deceptions, delusions and death.

REDS MOVE IN ON MATHEMATICS

In Russia in the 1930s, a movement swept across the scientific community which put an end to its pursuit of truth. Led by Trofim Lysenko, it was a movement to reject the science of genetics in favor of the discredited theory of Lamarckism on the grounds that the latter alone is compatible with the tenets of dialectical materialism. It was a movement to enforce the reds' dogma that all science has a class character and that proletarian science is superior to bourgeois science. In Germany in the 1930s, a similar movement swept across the scientific community. Instigated by the national socialists, it was a movement known as Aryan Physics and it proclaimed that scientific laws and theories are products of race and that the science manufactured by the Aryan race is superior to the science manufactured by the Jewish race. Ever and always turned round and round by the violent claws of the mob, the wheel of history has traveled full circle in the present day and launched another movement of the reds to abolish science. This movement has seen an attack on mathematics which has astounded civilized people who had never before imagined that this field, this shining paradigm of scientific truth and certainty, would ever fall victim to the reds' fusillades of political correctness and become one of its most tragic casualties. Undaunted by the facts of reality and without conscience or remorse of any kind, today's reds have launched a movement called racial and ethnic mathematics and set forth a program and manifesto for the dissolution of the science of number and space which for centuries had crowned the

achievements of mankind and made possible the technological successes of physics and engineering. This program and manifesto for the politicization of mathematics represents the reds' final assault on the precise, quantitative sciences and sounds the definitive death knell of reason in the West. The reds' program proclaims that in mathematics the search for the right answer to a problem is an expression of white supremacy. It proclaims that the traditional requirement in mathematics education that students show their work and reasoning is the product of white infiltration of the classroom. It proclaims that the notion that mathematics is an objective science is completely false and must be replaced by the affirmation that mathematical truths are racial constructs and disagreements are settled by means of open war. It proclaims that the notion that mathematics is an empirical, neutral science is a prejudice of white, racist, Western culture and must be replaced by the affirmation that there are as many right solutions to mathematical problems as there are races and ethnicities, no one of which may be valued more than any other. It proclaims that traditional mathematics is controlled by white biases and must be replaced by the mathematics of critical race theory which teaches that mathematics is a product of the racial to power. It proclaims that Western mathematics was made by white people and reflects white needs and interests. It proclaims that traditional mathematics is a product of the systemic racism of the West. It proclaims that mathematics has nothing whatever to do with facts or reality and is a completely partisan, political system. It proclaims that mathematics is created by human beings and is therefore relative to human beings and corresponds to nothing which exists in reality. It proclaims that all mathematics is the mathematics of genders, races, cultures and classes and no mathematics can be held to be empirically established. It proclaims that in mathematics the acquisition of truth is not possible, only the pursuit of power, and mathematics has nothing whatever to do with judging the merits of arguments, and is only concerned with establishing social gains and advantages. It proclaims that mathematics has nothing whatever to do with science or scholarship, and the statement that two and two equal four is not valid everywhere in the universe, but is only valid for the white race and white civilization. It proclaims that the purpose of mathematics is not the study of reality but the pursuit of social justice. It proclaims that the notion that mathematical truths are abstractions from reality, generalizations from experience, and connect to the world of physical objects, is a weapon of discrimination against women, nonwhites, transgenders and the poor. It proclaims that mathematical equations have no real world applications and simply express group benefits and concerns. Look at the reds' movement to sweep away the science of mathematics and see schools throughout the world condemn logical argumentation as racist and oppressive. See public instruction in mathematics replaced by public instruction in ethnic studies. See the proclamation that mathematical truths are products of gender, race and class identity. See the rejection of a universal mathematical intelligence. See the proclamation that politics should hold unchecked power in the mathematics classroom. See the proclamation that there are no objectively right or wrong answers in mathematics but only answers which satisfy group interests and exigencies. See the rejection of empirically grounded, data driven processes in mathematics in favor of apriori, tribally driven processes. See the proclamation that a neutral, nonpartisan, nonpolitical mathematics lacks all meaning and legitimacy. See the condemnation of universal mathematics as an instrument of victimization, marginalization and disenfranchisement of women, transgenders, homosexuals, bisexuals, nonwhites and the poor. See the proclamation that the rejection of objectivity in mathematics alone will bring liberation to the comrades of gender, race and class. See the condemnation of mathematical axioms and inferences as weapons of war against communities of color. See the condemnation of truth in mathematics as a lash of racial discrimination and regimes of

inequality. See the proclamation that mathematical truths are manufactured by the collective thinking of genders, races and classes and say nothing about an alleged physical reality. See the red educational authorities place students who want to study mathematics into political indoctrination programs and commit an act of child abuse without precedent in the history of mankind: "The Seattle public schools have created a framework to protect children from racist and 'oppressive mathematical practices.' A document made public by the Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction includes the findings of an 'Ethnic Studies Advisory Committee [which] was created by the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI) as mandated by the 2019 Legislature (SB 5023) to identify and make available ethnic studies materials and resources for use in grades seven through twelve.' Included in this report is the 'Seattle Public Schools Math Ethnic Studies Framework' that is so woke it devolves into gibberish. In no particular order, here are some of the highlights:

- What is my mathematical identity?
- How important is it to be Right? What is Right? Says Who?
- What does it mean to make a mistake? In the classroom? In my home? In my community?
- How do we derive mathematical truth?
- Who holds power in a mathematical classroom?
- Is there a place for power and authority in a math classroom?
- Who gets to say if the answer is right?
- Who is Smart? Who is not Smart?
- Can you recognize and name oppressive mathematical practices in your experience?
- Why/how does data driven processes prevent liberation?
- How is math manipulated to allow inequality and oppression to exist?
- Who is doing the oppression?
- Who does the oppression protect?
- Explain how math and technology and/or science are connected and how technology and/or science have been and continues to be used to oppress and marginalize people and communities of color
- Power and oppression, as defined by ethnic studies, are the ways in which individuals and groups define mathematical knowledge so as to see Western mathematics as the only legitimate expression of mathematical identity and intelligence. This definition of legitimacy is then used to disenfranchise people and communities of color. This erases the historical contributions of people and communities of color.

And my personal favorite:

- How can we change mathematics from individualistic to collectivist thinking?

Here's my own question:

- How is placing your child in a public school these days not child abuse?" (*Seattle Public Schools Seek To Expose 'Oppressive Mathematical Practices'* by John Nolte).

The wheel of history is a wheel of destiny and this wheel has once again brought the reds' attack on science into the world. Inexorably moved by the agitations of the mob, this wheel visits the same plagues on mankind in periodic fashion, over and over again, without mercy and without surcease. In the latest attack, the reds have proclaimed that the notion of

objective mathematical truth is a social construct, that there are no such things as mathematical data which are independent of genders, races or classes, and that the statement *triangles have three sides* is a product of white, supremacist, bourgeois patriarchy. The sounds tolled out by the fearsome clock of fate have announced in the present day the final destruction of science and the final descent of civilization into the dead of night.

NINE RED JUDGES ALL IN A ROW

The phrase *step up to the plate* is an idiom derived from the world of baseball. When a baseball player is prepared to receive a pitch, he steps up to the plate to indicate he is ready. The idiom means the ability to rise to the occasion and accept a challenge. On January 22, 2021, the United States Supreme Court refused to step up to the plate and perform its duty to uphold the Constitution. It decided once again that it would hear none of the cases of election fraud and illegal votes in the presidential election of 2020, and it thereby sanctioned, once and for all time, the greatest political crime committed in the history of modern Western democracies: the theft of the election from Donald Trump and the arranged victory of Joe Biden. This refusal was the capstone of a history of refusals by the court to uphold the Constitution and to ensure that the election of 2020 was conducted in a fair and honest manner, and it provided final, irrefutable proof, if such proof was needed, of the red partisan, mob controlled character of the court. This sordid history of judicial abdication commenced on October 28, 2020, when the court decided in *Scarnati v. Boockvar* that it would not hear a request to review the decision by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to override election rules established by the state legislature. The state legislature had established a deadline of 8 PM on election day for receiving mailed ballots. Illegally citing clauses in the state constitution, illegally because the federal constitution and not state constitutions controls federal elections, the Pennsylvania Supreme Court extended this deadline by three days. It also ordered election officials to count ballots received by the new deadline whether or not there was a postmark to prove that the ballots had been mailed before this time. Justice Clarence Thomas describes the Pennsylvania Supreme Court's blatant disregard of the federal constitution and its illegal subordination of the latter to the state constitution: "Because the Federal Constitution, not state constitutions, gives state legislatures authority to regulate federal elections, petitioners presented a strong argument that the Pennsylvania Supreme Court's decision violated the Constitution by overriding 'the clearly expressed intent of the legislature.' (*Bush v. Gore*) ". (*Republican Party of Pennsylvania v. Degraffenreid*, January 20, 2020. Clarence Thomas, dissenting). Then on November 1, 2020, the Supreme Court again refused to hear the request, this time around on the grounds that the case was now too old and the complainants had waited too long to sue. Through its inaction, the Supreme Court undermined the constitutional structure and sanction of elections. It dug a cavern beneath a law abiding society and filled it up with explosives. When it banged its gavel and issued its denial of review, it detonated the explosives and blew up election fairness, election integrity, the transparency of participatory democracy, and the confidence of the people in their processes of self governance: "Elections are 'of the most fundamental significance under our constitutional structure'. (*Illinois Board of Elections v. Socialist Workers Party*, 1979). Through them, we exercise self government. But elections enable self governance only when they include processes that 'give citizens (including the losing candidates and their supporters) confidence in the fairness of the election.' (*Democratic National Committee v. Wisconsin State Legislature*). 'Confidence in the integrity of our electoral processes is essential to the functioning of our participatory democracy' (*Purcell v. Gonzalez*). Unclear rules threaten to undermine this

system. They sow confusion and ultimately dampen confidence in the integrity and fairness of elections. To prevent confusion, we have thus repeatedly—although not as consistently as we should—blocked rule changes made by courts close to an election." (*Republican Party of Pennsylvania v. Degraffenreid*, January 20, 2020. Clarence Thomas, dissenting). Through its inaction, the Supreme Court sanctioned unconstitutional election decisions made by state officials, decisions unauthorized by their state legislatures. In so doing, it distorted the national election results, destroyed the national electoral system, lowered a curtain of unmitigated disaster upon the nation, and swept away the nation's institutions of free and fair elections and the nation's shining system of self government: "Changing the rules in the middle of the game is bad enough. Such rule changes by officials who may lack authority to do so is even worse. When those changes alter election results, they can severely damage the electoral system on which our self governance so heavily depends. If state officials have the authority they have claimed, we need to make it clear. If not, we need to put an end to this practice now before the consequences become catastrophic." (*Republican Party of Pennsylvania v. Degraffenreid*, January 20, 2020. Clarence Thomas, dissenting). Through its inaction, the Supreme Court turned a blind eye to the United States Constitution and rendered its articles and clauses a dead letter. It proclaimed that state statutes and state constitutions override the supreme law of the land. It proclaimed that what a state legislature commands for the conduct of an election is without legal relevance or importance, the text of the Constitution notwithstanding. It proclaimed that state courts and state officials are free to defy state legislatures and the unequivocal stipulations of the Constitution and change election laws at will. It proclaimed that the rule of law means nothing and vanishes into thin air before the higher exigencies of judges and executives answering the call of partisan politics and mob rule: "I agree with JUSTICE THOMAS that we should grant review in these cases. They present an important and recurring constitutional question: whether the Elections or Electors Clauses of the United States Constitution are violated when a state court holds that a state constitutional provision overrides a state statute governing the manner in which a federal election is to be conducted. That question has divided the lower courts, and our review at this time would be greatly beneficial. In the cases now before us, a statute enacted by the Pennsylvania Legislature unequivocally requires that mailed ballots be received by 8 pm on election day. Nevertheless, the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, citing a provision of the State Constitution mandating that elections 'be free and equal,' altered that deadline and ordered that mailed ballots be counted if received up to three days after the election, Both the state Republican and Democratic parties urged us to grant review and decide this question before the 2020 election. But the Court, by an evenly divided vote, refused to do so. That unfortunate decision virtually ensured that this important question could not be decided before the election. Now, the election is over, and there is no reason for refusing to decide the important question that these cases pose. The provisions of the Federal Constitution conferring on state legislatures, not state courts, the authority to make rules governing federal elections would be meaningless if a state court could override the rules adopted by the legislature simply by claiming that a state constitutional provision gave the courts the authority to make whatever rules it thought appropriate for the conduct of a fair election." (*Republican Party of Pennsylvania v. Degraffenreid*, January 20, 2020. Samuel Alito, dissenting). Supreme Court justices wear black robes to symbolize that justice is blind. Refusing to uphold the Constitution and invalidate the results of the 2020 presidential election, the court has belied and disgraced this noble symbolism. In the abdication of its responsibilities, the only blind eye the court has shown is a blind eye toward the world of facts and laws. The laws: the Fifteenth, Nineteenth, Twenty Fourth and Twenty Sixth Amendments to the Constitution guarantee the right to vote without respect to race, sex, age,

previous condition of servitude, or failure to pay a poll tax. They guarantee to every citizen the right to vote, which means the right to vote in fair, honest and constitutionally authorized elections, or it means nothing whatever. The facts: an infinite dimensional universe of irregularities engulfed the 2020 election in total darkness and reduced transparency to a shriveled up ghost receding, terrified and frightened, faster than the speed of light. The canopy over this great forest of gloom was constituted of the following: blatant electoral fraud; the mishandling of ballots; multiple process violations; multiple violations of the Fourteenth Amendment's guarantee of equal protection and equal treatment of all voters; and statistical anomalies which could not be explained away. The evidence for this corruption is vast, deep and delineates the darkest depths of political criminality. It is a Book of Satan between whose black covers are thousands of pages of affidavits, declarations, video footage, photographs, lawsuits, public comments, press reports, court rulings, testimony in hearings held throughout the nation, and analyses undertaken by legal centers and scholarly institutions. Wielding the hammer of Thor with a thunder and violence which signaled the end of the age, the Supreme Court sought to smash this world of facts and laws and pretend that it did not exist. Its shameless make believe, pretense, deception and denial, paraded under spurious flags marked *no standing to sue*, *too late to sue*, and *a moot issue*, drove law abiding citizens out of the peaceful, democratic process and into the arms of insurrection. The diametrical opposite of the reds' description of them as a riotous mob bent on terror, a formation of citizens gathered in Washington on January 6, 2020 and sought to stop the certification of a fraudulent election. They did what moral law and moral necessity demanded that they do. Denied the means of peaceful redress of their righteous grievance, the grievance of a stolen election, they launched an attack. It was an attack against a nation, a government, a Congress and a Supreme Court which had failed to do their duty and had in consequence ceased to exist in all but name. Focused, disciplined, purposeful, sparing innocent civilians and respecting essential property, it was an attack launched in the name of a constitution which the reds on the bench had declared to be null and void.

POLITICAL EQUALITY: REPEALED BY THE REDS

A cardinal principle at the basis of all civilized order is the principle of political equality. Since civilized people first walked upon the face of the earth, they have cherished the principle that all persons must be treated equally before the law and must be allowed to flourish and succeed without respect to their gender, race or class. This principle of meritocratic advancement is voiced by Pericles in his *Funeral Oration*: "If we look to the laws, they afford equal justice to all in their private differences. If people fall in their social standing, it is because their reputation for ability has fallen. Class considerations are not allowed to interfere with merit, nor again does poverty bar the way." Displayed in the ancient Forum for all to see, the *Law of the Twelve Tables* was the foundation of Roman law for centuries and established the principle of equal treatment of all citizens, plebeians and patricians, without respect to class. The principle of equal power and rights without respect to gender, race or class is set forth by John Locke in his *Second Treatise of Government*: "It is a state also of equality, wherein all the power and jurisdiction are reciprocal, no one having more than another. There is nothing more evident than that creatures of the same species and rank, born to all the same advantages of nature and the use of the same faculties, should also be equal one among another without subordination or subjection." The principle of universal rights, resting upon a universal human nature and essence, without respect to gender, race or class, is set forth by Alexander Hamilton in *A Full Vindication of the Measures of the Congress*: "All men have one common origin, they participate in one

common nature, and consequently have one common right. No reason can be assigned why one man should exercise any power over his fellow creatures more than another, unless they voluntarily vest him with it". The principle of a race blind, gender blind and class blind society is set forth as a mandate of natural law by Herbert Spencer in his *Social Statics*: "It is natural law that every man may claim the fullest liberty to exercise his faculties compatible with the possession of like liberty to every other man. Each has freedom to do all that he wills provided that he infringes not the equal freedom of any other". As the wheel of destiny struck its appointed hour and rang out the advent of the twentieth century and the epoch of mass culture, the principle of political equality, the principle which free people had strived to achieve and had succeeded in achieving over the course of centuries, was hurled into the dustbin of history and another principle was selected to take its place. Plucked out of the moral sewers of primitive man flowing with the filth and grease of tribal politics, this was the principle of preferential treatment on the basis of gender, race and class. Under the repeated hammer blows and tectonic assaults inflicted by mass culture, a philosophical and constitutional chasm opened up in the West and swallowed up the principle that people must be judged and treated as individuals and not as members of groups, and that individuals alone possess rights and that there are no such things as group rights because there are no such actual, physical things as groups. The principle which had placed a psychic grip on people before the twentieth century, the principle that all people stand equally before the law, was bulldozed into a mass grave marked *Western Civilization* and a program and manifesto of tribal preferences and social justice was thundered out by a red professoriate installed by the masses as the lords and masters over society. This was a program and manifesto born of the nameless eons before time began when cavemen lived and breathed in the shadow of the tribe and crawled in the blood of the innocent shed for the sake of the tribe. This program and manifesto proclaimed that a progressive society rejects the call of the Enlightenment for the government to treat all people equally and establishes in its place the principle of social equity, which calls for the government to dispense unequal treatment to people on the basis of their membership in gender, race and socioeconomic groups. It proclaimed that a progressive society affirms that successful, white males form a class of oppressors whose victims are women, nonwhites and the poor, and these oppressed, marginalized groups must be granted preferential treatment and be invested with the robes of absolute power. It proclaimed that a progressive society orders all government agencies and departments to reject race and gender blind policies and enact in their place policies which produce outcomes favorable to women and nonwhites. It proclaimed that a progressive society issues executive orders which abolish the principle of equal protection under the law and exalt racial and ethnic equity as the supreme laws of the law: "This Executive Order refers underserved communities that have been denied such treatment, such as Black, Latino, and Indigenous and Native American persons, Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders and other persons of color." (*Executive Order on Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities for the Federal Government*, issued by President Joe Biden, January 20, 2021). It proclaimed that a progressive society rejects the liberal standard of individual merit and achievement and promotes a standard of group equity and group proportionalism. It proclaimed that a progressive society rejects the equitable treatment of individuals in favor of preferential treatment for groups and sacrifices fairness and justice upon the altars of racial percentages and racial representation: "Racial inequity is when two or more racial groups are not standing on approximately equal footing. Racial equity is when two or more racial groups are standing on a relatively equal footing. An example of racial equity would be if there were relatively equitable percentages of all three racial groups living in owner occupied homes." (*How to Be an Anti Racist* by Ibram Kendi). It proclaimed

that a progressive society is an unconstitutional, coercive society which which violates people's rights by policies of numerical proportionalism and racist discrimination; "The only remedy to racist discrimination is antiracist discrimination. The only remedy to past discrimination is present discrimination. The only remedy to present discrimination is future discrimination." (*How To Be An Anti Racist* by Ibram Kendi). It proclaimed that a progressive society rejects the rights of persons and the qualities and conditions of individual existence and sees people as members of racial, gender and class categories. It has incinerated liberal constitutions, amendments and charters of rights and freedoms in blazing furnaces marked *critical race theory*, *Marxist race theory* and *national socialist race theory* and brought free nations under the iron heel of collectivist totalitarian dictatorships. It has prohibited the ideals and attitudes born in the Age of Enlightenment, which affirmed equal rights and equal protection for all, and sought to refill the swamplands of tribal oppression and primitive anticivilization: "Millions of Americans continue to remember that we are 'all created equal', not equitable, and the Fourteenth Amendment offers 'equal protection' under the law. Millions of Americans also don't think of themselves first and foremost as members of categories, nor as oppressed or oppressors, no matter how much Critical Race Theory training is applied. Such a mindset will never become second nature to the many who can go back to the Bill of Rights and see that it treats us as individuals, mentioning the rights of persons, not collectives." (*Pushing 'Equity' Under The Guise of 'Unity'* by Mike Gonzalez). Powered by the fearsome and terrifying engines of mass culture and operating beyond any person's will or control, the course of Western history commencing in the twentieth century has enacted a set of ideas and policies which have swept away the laws of free people and the jurisprudence of centuries. Rigid caste systems. Category straitjackets. White people condemned as a privileged race and targeted for extermination. The repeal of color blind adjudication by the parliaments of racial justice. Workplace advancement dictated by statisticians of gender, race and class. Educational achievement dictated by diversity timetables. Jobs for the best and the most qualified replaced by group conscious discrimination. Selection on the basis of character and ability burned at the stake by the flames of proportional representation and "avoidance of disparate impact on oppressed groups". Group rights exalted above individual rights and human beings proclaimed to be born unequal. An ideology of racism, sexism and classism voted into law and men proclaimed to be inferior to women, whites proclaimed to be inferior to nonwhites, and the rich proclaimed to be inferior to the poor. A revolution of human enslavement has overrun the world and its red commissars of gender, race and class have composed the final chapter of the West.

LBJ'S GREAT STATIST SOCIETY

Evolution is defined as the gradual development of an entity from a simple to a complex state. Students of history and politics have celebrated the evolution of human society from the simplest laws of the jungle to the complex state of affairs known as modern capitalist civilization, founded upon individual rights and constitutional order. Turning their attention to America, these same scholars have observed a process of social evolution at work but in this instance have been given no cause for celebration. They have observed the evolution of a nation conceived in liberty into a complex state of socialism, statism and totalitarian disorder under the pressure and impact of mass psychology. They have observed beneath this sinister complexity a deeper simplicity at work and the reversion of society into the simplest forms of tribal politics, jungle warfare and rule by brute force. They have observed that this dark evolutionary process commenced in the early years of the twentieth century

and reached a high tide in the 1930s, and then another high tide in mid 1960s, and then built upon these high tides and reach still higher tides as the decades rolled by, until the final, total moral and spiritual collapse of the nation, the highest tide of all, occurred in the mid decades of the twenty first century, and spelled the definitive end of freedom in America. From the moment his presidency began after the assassination of John Kennedy, Lyndon Johnson was committed to creating a high tide in the evolution of statism in America and to completing what the Progressive Era, the New Deal and the Fair Deal policies had begun. Split seconds after the assassin's shots rang out and felled the president, Johnson told his aides of his plan to take up the cause of statism anew where it had sat languishing on his desk in 1937: "You know, when I went into that office tonight and they came in and started briefing me on what I have to do, do you realize that every issue that is on my desk tonight was on my desk when I came to Congress in 1937?" Peddling his vision of a statist and socialist America in a package called the Great Society, Johnson brought the degeneration of the nation's founding system of personal liberty, a process which had commenced a half a century earlier beneath the first hammer blows inflicted by mass culture, to its highest point up to that time, and made the death knells of the nation thunder louder and louder for those who had ears to hear them. Promoting a domestic program of federally subsidized social welfare which far surpassed anything which had come before it, Johnson plunged America into a malarial swampland marked *gender, race and class politics* and thrust freedom, dignity and self responsibility into the backward rolling tides of the rapidly receding past. Encapsulating a state of national free fall and as unAmerican as unAmerican could be up to that point, Johnson's Great Society was passed by a national, clamoring, raging campaign following the landslide defeat of Republican candidate Barry Goldwater, who had stood up for the cause of liberty and paid a crushing price. Passed by overwhelming majorities in both houses of Congress and by a volcanic build up in every town and city in the nation, the Great Society proclaimed that a progressive society violates private property rights by forcing private business establishments to serve members of races they do not wish to serve in the name of civil rights. It proclaimed that a progressive society steals money from the people to provide health insurance for the elderly and the poor. It proclaimed that a progressive society steals money from the people to provide aid to primary and secondary education. It proclaimed that a progressive society steals money from the people to provide support for higher education. It proclaimed that a progressive society steals money from the people to subsidize antipoverty and nutritional programs. It proclaimed that a progressive society steals money from the people and launches a social justice crusade to empower the destitute dregs and the homeless vermin who crowd the streets, the squares and the dark flowing sewers: "An unconditional war on poverty. It will not be a short or easy struggle, no single weapon or strategy will suffice, but we shall not rest until that war is won. The richest nation on Earth can afford to win it. We cannot afford to lose it." (*State of the Union Address to Congress*, 1964). It proclaimed that a progressive society steals money from the people to feed and educate wild young animals clothed in the rags of waywardness and perversity: "A Great Society in one where no child will go unfed, and no youngster will go unschooled." (*Speech at Ohio University*, May 7, 1964). Look at the world of the Great Society and see the evolution of Progressivism and the New Deal into the collectivization of the nation. See the rejection of the private sector and the right of the individual to pursue his own needs and interests. See a policy of national wealth transfer and federal confiscation of job earnings paraded under the banners of Medicare, Medicaid, the Economic Opportunity Act, the Elementary, Secondary and Higher Education Acts, and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program. See government planning of communities and government ownership of homes paraded under the banners of the Urban Development and Housing Act. See

government control over charities, mass transit and natural resources. See a nation conceived in constitutional liberty and individual responsibility reduced to a crying almshouse, an institution for beggars and paupers, and a subculture of social dependents and parasites. See a nation which had exalted success and achievement reduced to a wasteland of mass equality and mass misery. See a negative, morally inverted, antiuniverse in which the doers and makers of wealth and value have become vassals, and the poorest and neediest have become their lords. See a leviathan smashing through the restraints of constitutional order, feeding on the devil's banquet of total power, launching a war of annihilation against private businesses and private markets, taking control of the financial resources of the nation, placing retirement, education, employment, healthcare, housing, marriage, children, parents, families, homes and neighborhoods under the iron grip of its ministers, commissars, bureaucrats and social engineers, making determinations regarding the quality, conditions and duration of people's lives, abolishing the American republic of free and independent people, taking away the rights of every man, woman and child, reducing a showcase of liberal affluence to a wretched, low income slave yard, and leading a mass movement of millions of Americans to sweep away the ramparts of progress and civilization: "Five and a half decades ago today, President Lyndon Johnson announced he was committed to completing the legacy of the New Deal. This included ending poverty and illiteracy, and providing housing and medical care for everyone. LBJ proclaimed the American people lacked the initiative to do this. And the private sector failed to correct these problems by disregarding national needs, in favor of their individual needs. Johnson promised to be an activist president and remake America into the Great Society. LBJ was obsessed with being remembered for his Great Society. Well, he got his wish. He is praised by progressives for his Great Society. But to others his illusion is an expensive memory. Progressives have never learned: 'There's no success like failure, and failure is no success at all.' LBJ ingrained the framework for today's progressive state. The feds seized medicine with Medicare and Medicaid. The Economic Opportunity Act guaranteed everyone a hand up, or a hand out. With the Elementary, Secondary and Higher Education Acts, education was federalized. Government became our landlords and planned our communities when he signed the Urban Development and Housing Act. He federalized charities, the environment, mass transit and water. He sealed the deal by passing the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, aka food stamps. We will pay for his gifts forever. Progressives praise LBJ for leapfrogging America into socialism. Conservatives see the Great Society as classical government overreach. One thing we can all agree on is, over a half century since he left office, we live Johnson's legacy each day. and judging what the Great Society did to our society, it had broad intended consequences. It redefined the definition of poor. Instead of helping the poor improve themselves and become independent, it sequestered them in a subclass that retarded growth and made them federal dependents. The Great Society produced a miserable society for the most needy in America. Their nuclear families became entangled by unaccountable liberal federal social engineers and bureaucrats. The collapse of marriage and classic traditional family architecture became the norm for millions of Americans in our lower income communities. The fundamental premise of LBJ's Great Society was based on political paternalism. But this belies the basic tenets of republicanism, where leaders permit the free market's use of its intrinsic ability and financial resources to solve problems within a society. The dilemma with political paternalism is: By permitting government to do everything for us, we give the government total power over us. If we let government plan retirement, education, provide healthcare, housing and other necessities for us, then government will determine and dictate the quality, quantity and conditions of our total bequests." (*LBJ's Not So Great Society, An Expensive Legacy* by William Haupt).

Widespread, overwhelming and monstrous: a tidal wave of statism swept across American under the Great Society of Lyndon Johnson. The wave was brought by the inexorable sands of cultural evolution. These sands have filled an hourglass which has revealed the fearsome and terrifying time of history. At this time, the final hours of freedom in America have run out.

PORTRAIT OF A MUGGING

New York City has experienced a surge in crime blasting out from the depths of hell. In 2020, shootings increased by one hundred percent, murders by fifty percent, and the city was pockmarked by fifteen consecutive weeks of gunfire in the streets. Students of history and politics have exposed a spectacular crime perpetrated amidst this imagination defying surge, a crime which has dwarfed all the rest. It is a moral crime and a cultural crime and it has attacked and robbed the city's school system of its character and integrity, as it has served as a terrifying warning and signpost of the accelerating cancer of red activism which is sweeping across America and the world. In 2021, the principal of the East Side Community School sent out literature to the parents of the white students which demanded that they "reflect on your whiteness" and in reflecting, reject the liberal democratic system. It demanded that whites become "white traitors" and devote themselves to the exclusion of whites from society and to making the planet the equivalent of *judenrein* applied to whites. Entitled *Eight White Identities* and authored by Barnor Hesse, professor of African American Studies at Northwestern University and one of the top academic reds in the nation, the literature condemns capitalism as a regime of white power and advocates the establishment of an ethnic classification scheme of whites in order to identify which white groups should be exterminated: "There is a regime of whiteness, and there are action oriented white identities. People who identify with whiteness are one of these. It's about time we build an ethnography of whiteness, since white people have been the ones writing about and governing Others." Listen to the words of a red professor wearing swastika armbands and hear him condemn white people who support liberal democracy as white supremacists, white voyeurs, upholders of white privilege, and upholders of white benefit. Hear him condemn capitalism as a regime which preserves, protects, names and values white superiority, and seeks to establish and perpetuate white rule, and seeks to control the consumption and appropriation of black culture, and seeks to impose unfairness and inequality upon blacks: "1. White Supremacist. Clearly marked white society that preserves, names and values white superiority. 2. White Voyeurism. Wouldn't challenge a white supremacist; desires nonwhite because it's interesting, pleasurable; seeks to control the consumption and appropriation of nonwhiteness; fascination with culture (example: consuming black culture without the burden of blackness). 3. White Privilege. May critique supremacy, but a deep investment in questions of fairness/equality under the normalization of whiteness and white rule; sworn goal of 'diversity'." (*Eight White Identities* by Barnor Hesse). Listen to the words of a red professor promoting a Hitlerite program of racial extermination. Hear him condemn capitalism as a white regime. Hear him critique whites, subvert whites, dismantle whites, seek to abolish whites, proclaim whites to be the essence of evil, exhort whites to target, attack and kill each other, and seek to push whites into the sea. Hear him march under banners marked *People of Color* and seek to blow up the institutions which protect racial equality and to turn back the clocks to the gloomy eons of tribal war and annihilation: "4. White Benefit. Sympathetic to a set of issues but only privately; won't speak or act in solidarity publicly because benefiting through whiteness in public (some People of Color are in this category as well). 5. White Confessional. Some exposure of whiteness takes place,

but as a way of being accountable to people of color after; seeks validation from people of color. 6. White Critical. Takes on board critiques of whiteness and invests in exposing/marking the white regime; refuses to be complicit with the regime; whiteness speaking back to whiteness. 7. White Traitor. Actively refuses complicity; names what's going on; intention is to subvert white authority and tell the truth at whatever cost; need them to dismantle institutions. 8. White Abolitionist. Changing institutions; dismantling whiteness; and not whiteness to reassert itself." (*Eight White Identities* by Barnor Hesse). Listen to the words of New York City's school reds and hear them promote preferential treatment of blacks in the name of diversity, discrimination against whites in the name of equity, and a policy of segregation of whites and violence against their persons and property in the name of conducting the people's progressive antiracist work: "Antiracism and the celebration of diversity is at the core of our work on behalf of the young people of New York City, and the East Side Community School's students, parents and staff partner together to advance equity in their community. The document in question was shared with the school by parents as a part of ongoing antiracist work in the school community and is one of many resources the schools utilizes." (New York City Department of Education statement to the *New York Post*). Hesse's literature is sponsored by the Slow Factory Foundation, one of the most influential red propaganda organizations in the nation. Listen to the words of the foundation and hear it ignite a swastika torch blazing with the sinister light of race hatred and chauvinism voiced for black, brown, indigenous and minority ethnic communities. Hear it reject academic standards, scholarship and liberal arts education in favor of anticapitalist agitation and indoctrination into the tribalist dogmas of blood and soil and national socialist regression: "We provide equity centered education for Black, Brown, Indigenous and minority ethnic communities, taught by Black, Brown, Indigenous and minority ethnic scholars, thinkers and educators. Equity centered education that acknowledges power structures and historical social context as a key driver of any topic. Focusing on free and open accessibility, ECE initiatives are typically offered without academic admission requirements and distributed online. Open education broadens access to learning and training traditionally offered through formal education systems or corporate programs that are inaccessible to most people." (*slowfactory.foundation.com*). Listen to the words of the foundation and hear it condemn capitalism as a regime of colonialism and exploitation: "**Fashion And Colonialism.** Colonialism and Fashion have long been intertwined. From sourcing and manufacturing to exporting waste, this class will explore current practices that reproduce colonialism and exploitation in fashion, and how we can avoid such practices." (*slowfactory.foundation.com*). Listen to the words of the foundation and hear it condemn capitalism as a regime of environmental degradation and opposition to social justice which ignores the higher, mystical rights of women, nonwhites, indigenous tribes and the poor: "**Fashion And Culture.** Sustainability is a Culture. It's not something we buy, it is how we engage with environmental and social justice. In this class we will explore best practices on how fashion can impact culture and culture can catalyze action." (*slowfactory.foundation.com*). Listen to the words of the foundation and hear it condemn liberty as a system of crass materialism and exalt the spirituality of socialist man: "**Fashion And Spirituality.** The fashion industry has taken a big position regarding spirituality in the past decades by adopting the yoga look and making polyester leggings the go-to items for anyone looking to stretch their spiritual muscles. How has spirituality been coopted by the fashion industry, and how can we do better?" (*slowfactory.foundation.com*). Listen to the words of the foundation and hear it condemn modern civilization as a system of oppression and exploitation which must be swept away by a people's movement of antiindustrialism and social reversion to year zero: "**Fashion And Resistance.** Dismantling oppressive systems

through culture, history, knowledge and art. How Fashion can continue to be used as a medium for social and environmental change at scale." (*slowfactory.foundation.com*). Listen to the words of the foundation and hear it condemn the modern age as a weapon of war against the people's dustbowls swirling with indigenous cultures, ancient sorceries and the wisdom of savages. Hear it exalt the efforts of red entrepreneurs, red organizers, red operatives and red activists to halt the march of human progress, weave a pall compounded out of Indian savagery and Islamic terror, embroider it with the symbols of communist and fascist power, and then drape it across the coffin of civilization: "**Fashion And Cultural Heritage**. Preserving Indigenous Practices through Fashion and Indigenous practices such as embroidery, weaving and pattern making. In this class, fashion entrepreneur and activist from Palestine will explore anthropological and ancient wisdom related to fashion in the region for thousands of years." (*slowfactory.foundation.com*). In the terrifying crime wave which has struck New York City, innocent schoolchildren have been targeted in their homes and classrooms and on sidewalks and streets. They have been assaulted by red highwaymen on a fearsome mission of soul destruction during the final hours of the West.

JOHN KENNEDY, FASCIST

The Stone of Destiny is a rectangular block of sandstone used to crown every king and queen of England since Edward II in 1284. The Celtic name of the stone is *Lia Fail*, which means, "speaking stone", and the stone was held to proclaim unerringly the crowned monarch. Students of politics who have studied the course of American history since the dawn of the Progressive Era in the early twentieth century have beheld in that era a fearsome and terrifying stone of destiny. They have seen this stone crown Statist Power as the permanent monarch of the nation and have seen this coronation amply vindicated by all subsequent developments. Students of politics who have studied this stone carefully and examined its every carving and character have seen a spike in state power occurring around the middle of the twentieth century. They have seen at this time the age old mental characteristics of the masses make a leap toward total collectivism, as they have done in contemporaneous periods throughout history such as the overthrow of republican Rome at the hands of Caesar, and they have seen the masses' perennial attitudes of rejection of liberty, law and constitutional government bring forth in America an unprecedented expansion of national governmental power. The object of unbridled praise and adulation by red intellectuals up to the present day, President John Kennedy enacted this fearsome evolution of statism in America under the guise of the New Frontier, and its program and manifesto vaulted the wheel of destiny forward into the raging swamplands of rules without restraint and unchecked submission during the final decades of the nation. Commencing with Kennedy's election in 1960, the New Frontier has been a shrine at which the reds have worshiped up to the present day in their campaign to shred the constitution into swastika ribbons and tie them together with hammer and sickle belts. While the traditional American had for centuries demanded liberty for himself and for others under the rule of the Constitution, and asked at all times what he had to do for himself and how he could best live his life without the subventions and interventions of the state, Kennedy's new man of the New Frontier asked how he could best serve the state and community, what he could best do for his country, and how he could best immolate himself at the altar tables of the totality, in order to achieve the highest form of human expression and ascend to the heights of human meaning and human flourishing. Rolling back the shining borders of American freedom and liberty first drawn on the battlefields of Lexington and Concord, the New Frontier enlarged

the dark borders of expansive government, devoured a nation born in the Age of Enlightenment, and made it morally unrecognizable from its original state. First using the slogan in his acceptance speech at the Democratic National Convention, and thereafter using it each and every day of his one thousand day presidency, Kennedy allowed the *New Frontier* to define him like no other and throughout his time in office, it unerringly pointed the way into the nation's destiny driven decline and fall like no other. Coined in mid century at the top of the cliff of America's coming free fall, the New Frontier proclaimed that a progressive society increases unemployment benefits. It proclaimed that a progressive society increases social security payments, expands their coverage, and encourages workers to retire early. It proclaimed that a progressive society increases the minimum wage and extends its coverage to every business and worker in the nation. It proclaimed that a progressive society makes cash payments to support farmers. It proclaimed that a progressive society subsidizes home building. It proclaimed that a progressive society increases welfare payments to children and parents. It proclaimed that a progressive society expands free food programs to families and establishes a food stamp nation. It proclaimed that a progressive society grants to the government the power control all wages, prices and profits. It proclaimed that a progressive society establishes affirmative action programs which gives blacks preferential treatment in all employment. It proclaimed that a progressive society orders its citizens to work abroad in poor countries and form a Peace Corps in the name of self sacrifice, social justice and service to the planet. It proclaimed that a progressive society affirms that health care is a universal human right and forces the creators and producers of wealth to subsidize the health care of the nonproducers and vermin of society. It proclaims that a progressive society fights poverty through billions of tax dollars spent on education, public works, welfare security and socialized medicine. Listen to the high priest of the red New Frontier and hear him ask his fellow Americans to sacrifice themselves for the sake of their country. Hear him reject the free man's creed of independence, autonomy and self responsibility. Hear him exalt the state as the master of the people. Hear him worship at the shrine of an oppressive and controlling government. Hear him seek to turn back the clock of history to the gloomy eons when people were surrounded and harassed from birth to death by cruel and arbitrary regimes. Hear him threaten his countrymen who dared to strive for liberty and warn them that the individual exists for the good of the community. Hear him turn morality upside down and proclaim that service to society represents the summit of human goodness, while fighting for one's freedom and dignity represents the essence of evil. Hear him condemn the doctrines of the Enlightenment contained in the Declaration of Independence as dangerous dogmas which advocate social and cultural regression into the icy waters of individual self interest. Hear him reject the world of human advancement through liberty, through peaceful, voluntary exchange and cooperation, and through respect for the rights of others. Hear him esteem the coercive power of the government and proclaim its right to punish those who seek to live, work and succeed for themselves. Hear him exalt the dictates of the state, whether right or wrong, good or evil, just or unjust, cruel or benevolent, as the supreme, unchallengeable laws of the land. Hear him reject the founding principles of America, the principles which affirm that man is a free born agent and not a beast of burden born into servitude, and seek to recast the nation into a swampland of human degradation and the collectivization of the body, mind, spirit and soul, into a dark, ugly, frightening antiuniverse which no righteous human being would ever freely, willingly or voluntarily join: "Recently, I was reminded of John F. Kennedy's most famous line, 'Ask not what your country can do for you; ask what you can do for your country,' when I heard it among several famous sound bites leading into a radio show segment. It also reminded me that we will hear it more soon, as we are approaching

JFK's May 29 birthday. However, it is worth reconsidering what it means. Of particular importance is Milton Friedman's response that *Ask not* was 'at odds with the free man's belief in his own responsibility for his own destiny. It implies the government is the master, the citizen, the servant.' You can see the reason by noting that *Ask not* is completely consistent with what a tyrannical government—the kind that has beset people throughout most of recorded history—expects of its relationship with citizens. Governors did not exist for the good of the governed; those governed existed for the good of their governors. So citizens shouldn't waste their time asking what the government will do for them. But when John Locke argued that government should be for the good of the citizens, not for the good of the governors, he turned that historic reality upside down. And America was formed based on that idea (as illustrated by Richard Henry Lee's claim that Thomas Jefferson plagiarized the second paragraph of the Declaration of Independence from Locke). To Locke, a justifiable government would exist for the good of all. If that were so, every citizen would be willing to voluntarily join that society if given the choice. However, most aren't given that choice. So Locke used the idea of a state of nature—in which one is not automatically committed to being a member of a particular society—to ask what a government that citizens would all willingly join would do for them. That is the opposite of what JFK told us to do. And the answer—very little—is quite different from the government we have. We might actually find more useful inspiration in what Richard Nixon said we must ask and ask not: 'In our own lives, let each of us ask not what government will do for me, but what can I do for myself,' because nothing is more inspiring than what individuals can achieve by pursuing their own advancement in liberty, through peaceful, voluntary cooperation that respects others' equal rights. But when government and its special friends use its coercive power to interfere in such arrangements and impose their own dictates, it punishes rather than promotes the greatest source of societal advancement that exists. Americans need to recognize that 'ask not what your country can do for you,' beyond what advances our joint interests, is good advice, but that to 'ask what you can do for your country' has been used to rewrite our founding principles. What government now demands of us 'for our country' offers no guarantee to advance our interests." (*The Folly of "Ask What You Can Do for Your Country"* by Gary Gales). The stone upon which British monarchs have been invested with power for over six centuries, the Stone of Destiny has a replica in America. Studied assiduously by students of politics, it proclaimed the commencement of full fledged collectivism around mid century. In the New Frontier policies inaugurated by John Kennedy, this nightmare came to pass.

REDS RUN SENATE TRIAL

An insurrection is a violent uprising staged against a government. Students of history and politics have long known and grasped the fundamental principle justifying insurrections. It is a principle ratified by common sense. This principle is that if a government refuses to redress a popular grievance, and the grievance is real, then the use of force to obtain redress is morally justified, necessary and imperative. On January 13, 2020, the United States Senate acquitted Donald Trump of the charge of inciting an insurrection on January 6, 2020 after a crowd of his supporters stormed Capital Hill to stop the certification of the fraudulent presidential election of 2020 which awarded victory to Joe Biden. Students of history and politics who reviewed the verdict looked into a glass which mesmerized them and took them on a journey down through the corridors of time. On this journey they beheld many sights. They beheld a gallery of red insurrections commencing with Lenin's overthrow of the Russian czar in 1917 and his establishment of the world's first Marxist state. They beheld a

record of red suppression and cancellation of free speech. This record has seen free speech canceled on streets and squares, in homes, schools and offices, in every private and public space in society, and in every nook and cranny on the planet. It has seen free speech canceled in the name of eliminating hate speech, malicious speech, offensive speech, distressing speech, abusive speech, insulting speech, inciting speech, politically incorrect speech, speech criticizing Islam, speech criticizing transgenderism, speech criticizing the doctrine of man made climate change, speech criticizing marginalized groups, speech criticizing women, nonwhites and the poor, and speech criticizing the identity politics of gender, race and class. Students of history and politics finally beheld in their glass instances of the reds' brazen weaponization of the law. These instances include President Barack Obama's misuse of the IRS to disallow tax exempt applications made by conservative and libertarian organizations and his policy to subject these organizations to special audits. Armed with these insights, students of history and politics have examined the Senate's acquittal of Trump and found it wanting. They concur with the Senate's ruling that Trump's speech to his followers on January 6, 2020 was protected by the First Amendment. They concur that the reds have a long history of delivering angry, violent, incendiary, agitating speech calling for national insurrection. That the reds have long demanded that the masses fight the government and seek to overrun and overthrow it. That the reds have long sought to persuade and impress the masses with words of combat, aggression, belligerence and physical confrontation and with the terrifying deeds to back them up. That the reds have long taken street hoodlums wearing swastika armbands and hammer and sickle caps, invested them with academic gowns, robes, chairs and credentials, and let them run riot in classrooms, indoctrinating students with a philosophy of destruction. That the reds' condemnation of Trump's advocacy of political violence to right a wrong is breathtaking in its sanctimoniousness and insincerity: "Van der Veen—a street smart Philadelphia lawyer, the perfect contrast to Raskin's academic legal pretensions—called the Democrats' argument 'constitutional cancel culture.' What Raskin and his team were trying to do was to say that political speech with provocative words like *fight* was perfectly acceptable if Democrats used it—even in the context of violent nationwide riots—but that every statement, every tweet, by Trump and his supporters was presumed to be inflammatory. Van der Veen and his colleagues, David Schoen and Bruce Castor, showed the hypocrisy of Raskin's position when they showed a lengthy highlight reel of Democrats—including many of the Senators sitting in the chamber—using the word *fight*, and the phrase 'fight like hell,' to which Raskin and the Article of Impeachment team had ascribed particular significance. Raskin's response was that only Trump's rhetoric was followed by a violent attack on the US Capitol. But Trump's lawyers pointed out that left wing rioters had attacked the White House last May, and nearly overrun it. They did so as Democrats were enthusiastically encouraging the unrest in Washington, DC, and throughout the country." (*Trump's Lawyers Beat The Cancel Culture* by Joel Pollak). Students of history and politics as well concur with the Senate's ruling that putting Trump on trial was blatantly unconstitutional. That the plain meaning of the text of the Constitution renders absurd the process of impeaching, convicting and removing a president who is no longer in office. That the sole penalty for conviction by the Senate is removal from office, which renders logically impossible the trial of a private citizen. That Congress is limited by the language and logic of the Constitution from placing an ex-president on trial and subjecting him to penalties to which only a sitting president can be subjected. That to subject an ex-president to a Senate penalty, such as disbarment from holding office again, is to enact a bill of attainder, or punishment without due process, which is prohibited by the Constitution: "The very concept of constitutional impeachment presupposes the impeachment, conviction and removal of a president who is, *at the time of*

his impeachment, an incumbent in the office from which he is removed. Indeed, that was the purpose of the impeachment power, to remove from office a president or other 'civil official' before he could further harm the nation from the office he then occupies. The plain text of the Constitution's several Impeachment Clauses confirms this understanding of this limit on Congress' impeachment power. For example, Article II, Section 4 of the Constitution reads, 'The President, Vice President and all civil officers of the United States, shall be removed from Office on Impeachment for, and Conviction of, Treason, Bribery, or other high Crimes and Misdemeanors.' In the same constitutional vein, Article I, Section 3 provides in relevant part: 'Judgment in Cases of Impeachment shall not extend further than to removal from Office, and disqualification to hold and enjoy any Office of honor, Trust or Profit under the United States.' Only the Supreme Court can answer the question of whether Congress can impeach a president who has left office prior to its attempted impeachment of him. It is highly unlikely the Supreme Court would yield to Congress's view that it has the power to impeach a president who is no longer in office when the Constitution itself is so clear that it does not." (*Once Trump Leaves Office, The Senate Can't Hold An Impeachment Trial* by J. Michael Luttig). While concurring with the Senate's judgment that Trump's speech on January 6 was protected by the First Amendment and concurring with the Senate's judgment that the trial of an ex-president is unconstitutional and amounts to a weaponization of the law, students of history and politics, armed with the insight that the use of force to obtain redress of grievances is morally justified if peaceful means of redress are unavailable, have dissented from the Senate's refusal to sanction Trump's incitement to insurrection. They have studied the record of election fraud and judicial inaction with respect to this fraud and found the case for insurrection clear, convincing, compelling and irrefutable. They have seen credible witnesses step forward and sign affidavits attesting to the following: election observers were denied access to vote counts; they were denied access to the processing of absentee ballots; absentee ballots were never folded and thus never placed in envelopes; electronic voting machines changed voter selections; ballots were cast using the names of people who had moved to other states; observers were ordered to leave an election station ostensibly because of a water leak, while election workers stayed behind and were captured on surveillance videos processing ballots produced from under a table; spikes of vote totals for Biden appearing in the middle of the night suddenly and inexplicably. Students of history and politics have seen this evidence of vote fraud disregarded by courts, not on grounds of merit but on spurious grounds of standing, and have seen the United States Supreme Court declare, in *Texas versus Pennsylvania et al*, that no state has a right to sue any other state for its unconstitutional actions because the voting rights amendments to the Constitution, amendments 15, 19, 24 and 26, do not in fact exist, and there is no constitutional right to vote in a free and fair election. Beholding this spectacle of judicial abdication, students of history and politics have been compelled to the conclusion that Donald Trump had a moral right to incite an insurrection in order to stop the certification of a fraudulent election. They understood that if he had no such right, then the American revolutionaries had no right of insurrection, and the victims of communist oppression in Eastern Europe had no such right, and the Jews of the Warsaw Ghetto had no such right. They understood that American citizens had a right to attack their own government because their own government, by its dereliction of duty and failure to uphold the right of the people to vote in free and fair elections, had ceased to exist in all but name, and that the nation's Constitution had ceased to exist except as words on sheets of paper. The protesters who stormed the Capital Hill Building on January 6 were not terrorists and were not a mob, as the reds allege. A mob is a disorderly crowd which seeks to cause gratuitous violence. A terrorist is an individual who commits acts of violence against innocent civilians in pursuit of political aims. The

protesters who stormed the Capital Hill Building targeted government officials and nobody else, and targeted government property and nothing else, and were ordered by a definite purpose, to stop the certification of a fraudulent election, and were thus the very antithesis of terrorists and mobs. If these protesters were terrorists and mobs, then the American revolutionaries were terrorists and mobs, and the participants in anticommunist uprisings in Eastern Europe were terrorists and mobs, and the participants in the Warsaw Ghetto Uprising were terrorists and mobs. Beholding the failure of the Senate to uphold Donald Trump's right to incite an insurrection, students of history and politics have seen a catastrophe looming over America and a catastrophe which has presently arrived in its fearsome and terrifying actuality. The climax of a century long crescendo of developments, this is the catastrophe of red control over the people and government of America.

A PLACE, NOT A COUNTRY

A country is defined as a nation with a government which occupies a specific territory. An individual born in an area which has no government, no rule of law and no distinct borders of any kind cannot be held to inhabit a country or to be a citizen of anything. Over the past half century, a series of events has transpired in America which has deprived it of its status as a national political entity and transformed it into a raging, formless cesspool of red politics. Students of history and politics understand that America had to arrive at this lugubrious state, that such was the natural and necessary endpoint of any country infested from top to bottom with the locust swarms of mass culture, and that the story of America since the 1960s is a story recorded on a clock wound up and set into motion by the hands of destiny, a clock whose every passing tick has been an inexorable march into the year zero and into the dark swirling currents of catastrophic collapse. The racing wheels of America's clock have emitted louder and louder ticks with each passing day and after a half a century have presently reached a crescendo of wild, deep, deafening roars coming from all directions, which have drowned out the protests made by civilized people and pushed the nation irretrievably into the abyss. Students of history and politics have set down the record of America's half century of self destruction at the hands of the mob and are scarcely able to go back and read what they have written, filled as it is with figures of soul sickness and psychosis, or show it to their friends and colleagues. Measuring the terrifying approach of doom, and raining down hours, minutes and seconds of red subversion upon a land which once had served as the world's City Upon A Hill, the clock of destiny commenced its countdown in 1964 when the reds fomented race riots in Harlem. The dials and displays of this frightening escapement next saw the year 1965, when red race riots blasted Watts in Los Angeles. They saw red race riots engulf Newark and Detroit and the reds attack the Department of Defense in 1967. They saw one hundred cities across the nation explode in red race riots, the reds stage riots at the Democratic Party convention, and the reds occupy Columbia University in 1968. They saw red mobs lay waste to the Department of Justice in 1969. They saw 50,000 bombs detonated by the reds across America in sixteen months beginning on January 1, 1969. They saw 2000 demonstrations by the reds in colleges across America in 1969-1970 which resulted in 250 arson attacks and the death of eight people. They saw red mobs rampage across college campuses in 1970 and shut them down, and the red terror group, the Weather Underground, detonate a bomb in the mathematics building at the University of Wisconsin and kill a man. They saw the reds stage six days of riots in Los Angeles in 1992, which had to be put down by the combined forces of the California National Guard, the United States military and federal law enforcement agencies, and resulted in the death of sixty three people and property damage totaling one billion dollars.

They saw a wave of riots, arson and looting staged by the reds in 2020, commencing in Minneapolis and advancing to Portland, Seattle and 140 cities across the nation, resulting in the deployment of the National Guard, the death of six people, and property damage totaling two billion dollars. Look at the world of the reds and see a maelstrom of mass murder which has ravaged every town and city in the nation. See a whirlpool spraying rivers of blood into streets, malls, plazas, offices, schools, automobiles, backyards, birthday parties, barbecues, baby strollers, bedrooms and living rooms. See a weapon of mass destruction without precedent in American history spanning the length and width of the nation, pointing its monstrous barrel into every nook and cranny in society, blasting the crime rate into stratospheric heights, and submerging every man, woman and child within its nihilistic currents: "The year 2020 likely saw the largest percentage increase in homicides in American history. Murder was up nearly 37% in a sample of 57 large and medium sized cities. Based on preliminary estimates, at least 2,000 more Americans, most of them black, were killed in 2020 than in 2019. Dozens of children, overwhelmingly black, were killed in drive by shootings. They were slain in their beds, living rooms and strollers. They were struck down at barbecues, in their yards, in malls, in their parents' cars, and at birthday parties. Fifty five children were killed in Chicago in 2020, 17 in St. Louis, and 11 in Philadelphia. The anarchy of 2020 has continued into 2021. Shootings in South Los Angeles rose 742% in the first two weeks of the year. In Oakland, homicides were up 500% and shootings up 126% through January 17. In New York, murders were up 42% and shooting victims up 15% through January 17. " (*Taking Stock Of A Most Violent Year* by Heather MacDonald). Look at the world of the reds and see a nation whose borders have dissolved into thin air. See a nation transformed into a savage jungle screaming with migrants from foul lands building beachheads for an invasion of civilization. See a nation which has announced to those abroad who are cruel and barbaric that they need not remain in their own lands and the people, in the name of compassion and multiculturalism, will allow them to settle permanently in America. See a nation which has opened its gates to human scum and let them multiply across its vast interior. See a nation which has released alien disease agents into its communities and forbidden legal proceedings to be launched against them. See a nation which has allowed Islamic revolutionaries to call America their home country. See a nation which has granted visas and passports to the avatars of violence and intimidation and called it an immigration system. See a nation which has exposed itself to a global cancer of criminal gangs and communist hoodlums and made its law abiding citizens refugees in their own land. See a nation which has abolished its border detention centers, repealed the status of *illegal foreigner*, and become unsafe, uncontrolled, insecure, inhuman, unwilling to prevent social disintegration, and committed to the destruction of universal liberal order: "President Joe Biden continues rapidly dismantling former President Donald Trump's effort to secure the U.S. border with Mexico, announcing on Friday he will reverse Trump's Remain in Mexico policy for migrants seeking refugee status and will admit at least 25,000 people into the country. And not only will thousands of migrants be allowed into the interior of the country, but they will be released into American communities to await legal proceedings. Biden's policy also flies in the face of border detention policy from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) that calls for the swift return of migrants to their home country to protect Americans from further exposure to the coronavirus. 'Beginning on February 19 the Department of Homeland security will take steps to begin processing individuals who under the previous administration, has been forced to remain in Mexico,' White House press secretary Jen Psaki said on Friday. 'As President Biden has made clear, the US government is committed to rebuilding a safe, orderly, and humane immigration system,' Homeland Security Secretary Alejandro Mayorkas said in the ABC7 News report.

'This latest action is another step in our commitment to reform immigration policies that do not align with our nation's values.' " (*Joe Biden Still Dismantling Trump's Border Security Measures: 25,000 Migrants Being Brought into US* by Penny Starr). Look at the world of the reds and see an act of official lawlessness without precedent in the history of the nation. See the reds perpetrate the worst theft of an election in American history and steal the presidency from Donald Trump in the election of 2020. See governments at all levels, state legislatures, state courts, state executives, the United States Congress, the United States Supreme Court and the Vice President of the United States, abdicate their responsibility to protect the constitutional right of the people to vote in fair and honest elections and pretend that thousands of records of illegal ballots, thousands of reels of surveillance footage, thousands of sworn affidavits, thousand of depositions given by cybersecurity experts, thousands of records of vote irregularities, thousands of photographic stills, thousands of tabulations of uncertified voters, thousands of ballots cast at illegal voting places, thousands of instances of voting machine fabrications, and thousands of manufactured Biden vote spikes occurring in the early hours of November 4, did not exist. Beholding the parties which challenged the fraudulent results of the 2020 presidential election subjected to a constant drumbeat and perpetual self repeating loop booming out *no standing and no evidence and no evidence and no standing*, a vast formation of decent, virtuous, hard working, law abiding, patriotic American citizens, representing the 75 million voters who had cast their ballots for Trump, took hold of a political precept known and affirmed by civilized people since time immemorial, and gathered in Washington. This precept was as follows: when the peaceful redress of political grievances is prevented by a government, and the cause of the protests is righteous, the use of force to obtain redress becomes morally proper and imperative. They took hold of this precept and let it guide their actions. This precept was the mighty, majestic hand which impelled them to storm the United States Capital Building on January 6, 2020 and stop the certification of an election which the United States government had brazenly stolen from them. These gathered multitudes sought to stage an insurrection, one led, inspired and organized by Donald Trump in the best traditions of civilized, disciplined, purpose driven, revolutionary protest, against a government which had violated the constitution, breached the rule of law, transgressed the principles of election transparency and integrity, and had, in consequence, ceased to exist as a government in all but name. As these protesters against election fraud swarmed into the Capital Building and clashed with the police, students of history and politics heard the tickings of the clock of destiny amplify into ear splitting poundings which they could not block out. These were the poundings of lawlessness in the streets, lawlessness at the borders, lawlessness at the polling booth, and the final moments and final seconds of a fifty year red assault after which America ceased to exist as a country.

THE FUHRER OF FEMINISM

The word *fuehrer* is derived from the German *fuehrer* which means "Leader" and was part of the title *Fuehrer und Reichskanzler*, "Leader and Chancellor of the Empire", assumed by Adolf Hitler in 1934. Fuehrers have been staple features of Marxist and neoMarxist movements throughout the world, from communism to fascism to national socialism to feminism. Famous communist fuehrers include Lenin, Stalin, Mao Zedong and Pol Pot; famous fascist fuehrers include Mussolini; and Hitler was the fuehrer of national socialism. Much less known among the general public, but just as powerful and influential as the other fuehrers, is the fuehrer of the feminist movement. Like her predecessors, she went to school in Marxist philosophy and like her predecessors, she draw out the implications and

ramifications of Marxist identity politics. While Hitler and Mussolini derived race hatred and national chauvinism from the Marxist template, the fuhrer of feminism has filled a cesspool of hatred of men and with it has sullied the politics and public discourse of the present day. Promoting male hatred in the fields of international law, constitutional law, political theory, legal theory and jurisprudence, Catherine MacKinnon, professor of law at the University of Michigan Law School, has shouted out a program and manifesto of misandry which has served to define the word and has galvanized a virulent hate movement to lay siege to the ramparts of Western liberal order. Assuming the top ranks in the satanic universe of Marxist inspired hate movements, MacKinnon's storm troopers wear their fuhrer's pronouncements like swastika armbands as they riot down the corridors of academia and push and shove their way into every home, school and office in the land. MacKinnon's *Mein Kampf* is a blazing torchlight of gender tribalism which has lit up the skies of the twenty first century with one more fusion reactor of hatred and helped to burn down the house of liberal Enlightenment freedom and progress. Sprayed like graffiti across the walls of civilization and exploding like hurled gasoline bombs in neighborhoods, streets and squares, the manifesto composed by today's fuhrer of feminism proclaims that a progressive society is a lawless, power based society which allows women to dominate men. It proclaims that capitalism is a system of submission of women to men and must be overthrown. It proclaims that the only legitimate theory of knowledge is the feminist theory of knowledge which is based upon women's needs, interests, wishes and will to power. It proclaims that the only valid point of view is the female point of view and whatever women want to exist does exist, and whatever women deem to be right is right. It proclaims that the notion of an objective, universal, nonsituated reality is a product of male thinking, male speech and male perspectives. It proclaims that all reality is gendered reality, all truth is gendered truth, all standpoints are gendered standpoints, and what are called physical objects are in fact products of male ideology and do not exist. It proclaims that there exists no universe outside of gender consciousness and the belief that there does is among the false idols inherited from the Age of Enlightenment. It proclaims that the only legitimate doctrine of reality is that of gender solipsism which states that there are two realities, a male reality and a female reality, none of which possesses any real, physical, mind independent existence. It proclaims that gender determines the value of all things and the highest consciousness is women's consciousness, the highest experience is women's experience, the highest truth is women's truth, the highest culture is women's culture, the highest community is women's community, the highest history is women's history, the highest life is women's life, and the highest power is that which protects and expands the special prerogatives of women. It proclaims that male consciousness is false consciousness and women who think like men, and affirm the existence of universal truth, science and reason, the existence of physical objects, and the existence of universal human rights, are disordered and deluded and must be deprogrammed, rectified and prevented from speaking until they come around to accepting the politically correct way of thinking. It proclaims that the notion of an external ground or unsexed sphere of objective reality is a lash of male power and domination. It proclaims that all socalled disengaged truth merely repackages its own subjectivity under the guise of objectivity. It proclaims that all truth is specific, particular and contextual in character and is premised upon the primacy of gender and has no power to persuade members of the opposite gender. It proclaims that women are superior to men in character, intelligence and understanding because they alone perceive the impossibility of grasping abstract, gender neutral truths and perceive the centrality and necessity of the use of force to achieve power. It proclaims that because women comprise a master race and men a subhuman race, all sexual relations between men and women must be forbidden. It

proclaims that men who look at women and touch women are guilty of sexual assault. It proclaims that all sexual intercourse between men and women constitutes rape. It proclaims that violence is integral to male sexuality toward women and there is no such thing as consensual sex between men and women. It proclaims that women are raped whenever they say or feel they are raped and must never be bound to prove their assertions by means of facts or evidence. It proclaims that men accused of sexual assault can never be acquitted in a court of law and to ensure conviction, a fair trial must at all times be prevented by the enforcement of the following safeguards: the complainants must be believed and the defendants must be presumed guilty as charged; accusations must be admitted as evidence and multiple accusations must be admitted as overwhelming evidence; accusations must be granted maximum probative weight and be deemed to establish proof beyond a reasonable doubt of the defendant's guilt. MacKinnon's manifesto proclaims that this master race constituted of women has the right to control all speech and thought and the right to stamp out antifeminist speech as pornographic, discriminatory, sexually harassing and politically offensive. Listen to the words of Hitler fuhrer MacKinnon and hear her condemn both capitalism and communism as forms of genderless universalism. Hear her condemn facts, logic and abstract human rights as products of male norms and the male point of view. Hear her condemn justice, fairness and neutral adjudication as machetes of male power. Hear her condemn truth and objectivity as weapons of male domination and discrimination against women. Hear her condemn the rational mind as the male mind and call for its banishment from society. Hear her exalt female life as the sole legitimate form of life. Hear her exalt the female experience of the world and demand that it become the controlling experience in society. Hear her condemn both liberal freedom and constitutional rights and Marxist class politics and class war in favor of a new version of red philosophy. This a neoMarxist tribalism which promotes a philosophy of law and government and a body of legislation effectuating the feminist will to power and destruction: "When it [the state] is most ruthlessly neutral, it will be most male; when it is most sex blind, it will be most blind to the sex of the standard being applied. When it most closely conforms to precedent, to facts, to legislative intent, it will most closely enforce socially male norms and most thoroughly preclude questioning their content as having a point of view at all. Abstract rights will authorize the male experience of the world. The liberal view that law is society's text, its rational mind, expresses this in a normative mode; the traditional left view that the state, and with it the law, is superstructural or epiphenomenal expresses it in an empirical mode. Both rationalize male power by presuming that it does not exist, that equality between the sexes (room for marginal corrections conceded) is society's basic norm and fundamental description. Only feminism grasps the extent to which the opposite is true: that antifeminism is as normative as it is empirical. Once masculinity appears as a specific position, not just as the way things are, its judgments will be revealed in process and procedure, as well as adjudication and legislation. Perhaps the objectivity of the liberal state has made it appear autonomous of class. Including, but beyond, the bourgeois in liberal legalism, lies what is male about it. However autonomous of class the liberal state may appear, it is not autonomous of sex. Justice will require change, not reflection--a new jurisprudence, a new relation between life and law." (*Feminism, Marxism, Method And The State: Toward A Feminist Jurisprudence* by Catharine MacKinnon). The past one hundred years are comprised of scenes from an exhibition staged in Satan's dark, underground chambers. Scene One: Lenin exhorts mobs to cleanse the earth of the filth of capitalism. Scene Two: Stalin exhorts mobs to exterminate capitalists as a class. Scene Three: Hitler exhorts mobs to exterminate the Jews, the world poisoners of all peoples. Scene Four: Mao Zedong exhorts mobs to advance the class struggle and dig the graves of the bourgeois. Scene Five: Pol Pot

exhorts mobs to liquidate the Cambodian nation in the name of egalitarianism. Scene Six: Catherine MacKinnon, red professor, red scholar, red activist and red author, exhorts mobs to disenfranchise and disempower men in the name of women's will to power. Professor MacKinnon, the latest fuhrer from the Marxist fever swamps, has carried the West into the terror of the twenty first century.

CRITICAL LEGAL THEORY: LAWYERS FOR THE REDS

The National Lawyers Guild was established in 1937 in protest against the American Bar Association's decision to exclude communists from its ranks. It was the first American law association to admit communists and it encouraged its members to engage in communist political activities. The organization peddled red totalitarian tyranny in packages labeled *progressive change* and *human rights*: "The National Lawyers Guild is dedicated to the need for basic and progressive change in the structure of our political and economic system. To the end that human rights shall be regarded as more sacred than property interests." (*National Lawyers Guild History* by Peter Erlinder). In 1950, the House Committee on UnAmerican Activities identified the National Lawyers Guild as an instrument of communist strategy and world conspiracy: "The National Lawyers Guild has an overall communist strategy aimed at weakening our nation's defenses against the international communist conspiracy." (*Report on the National Lawyers Guild: Legal Bulwark of the Communist Party*, House Committee on UnAmerican Activities, September 21, 1950). In 1977 at a conference at the University of Wisconsin at Madison, a second legal movement of the reds was founded, one which would expand across the nation, take over every law school in the land, and become the legal arm of the reds in their campaign to sweep away the liberal Enlightenment order which European settlers had implanted in the New World centuries before and for which the blood of American martyrs was shed in the battlefields of Lexington and Concord. This movement was called Critical Legal Theory and it was an offshoot of Critical Theory which had emerged in 1923 in the Institute for Social Research at Goethe University in Frankfurt, Germany, and was the first academic communist front organization in the Western world. This child of Critical Theory and this second legal organization of the reds in America after the National Lawyers Guild, and more powerful and influential than the first, Critical Legal Theory has established a program and manifesto for the incineration of constitutional government, civilized courtroom argumentation and the rule of right and law within the charnel houses of red street corner agitation. Building upon Marxist class politics, the proponents of Critical Legal Theory have developed race and gender forms of tribalism and brought forth the final, fearsome, terrifying twenty first century of the West. They proclaim that law is nothing more than politics and the pursuit of the will to power of gender, race and class groups. They proclaim that the notion that law should be an objective, rational process of moral decision making is a delusion of Enlightenment liberalism. They proclaim that law a realm of competing, irrational gender, race and class interests in which what is held to be true and right is endlessly reworked and redefined. They proclaim that law is a political ideology whose sole justification is to advance the needs and desires of the gender, race or class party which forms it. They proclaim that liberal, capitalist law which protects individual rights, such as the right to speak freely and the right to own property, is an instrument of oppression and exploitation of women, nonwhites and the poor and must be repealed. They proclaim that judicial fairness, neutrality and objectivity are impossible to achieve because there are no facts which exist independently of gender, race and class interests. They proclaim that the laws which govern the free nations of the West are weapons of white, male vested interests and must be wiped

off the books. They proclaim that legal decisions are products of social contexts and exigencies and have nothing whatever to do with proof or evidence. They proclaim that legal texts such as constitutions have no objective meaning or reference and are amenable to endless interpretations and deconstructions based upon the social requirements of the moment. They proclaim that the legal and political order built up in the West over the past one thousand years, the order which exalts the private sphere above the public sphere, the self above others, and the good of the individual above the good of the community, furthers the interests of the capitalist ruling class and must be replaced by a people's communal regime and swampland of totalitarian collectivism. They proclaim that the liberal legal system reflects male sexism and must be abolished. They proclaim that the established legal order based upon equal protection for all reflects white racism and must be abolished. They proclaim that liberal jurisprudence is an expression of a male dominated society and the sexual objectification of women. They proclaim that capitalism is a product of male orientation, male domination and the victimization of women. They proclaim that capitalism discriminates against women and ensures male control over female sexuality. They proclaim that the liberal legal heritage excludes the voices, feelings and experiences of women. They proclaim that liberal legal institutions, which guarantees to men accused of sexual assault a fair trial and due process of law, are products of male bias, male ideology and the submission of women, and must be replaced by a regime in which accusations are admitted as evidence, mass accusations are admitted as mass evidence, men are presumed to be guilty as charged and all trials eventuate in convictions. They proclaim that a progressive society has abolished the liberal distinction between public space and private space and stationed the jackboots of women's totalitarian power in every home, office and school in the land. They proclaim that capitalism is a system of domestic abuse, marital rape and the exploitation of women and must be replaced by a regime of statism and socialism ruled by women. Listen to the words of the proponents of Critical Legal Theory and hear them proclaim that the laws which govern liberal, capitalist society are police truncheons by which the ruling classes oppress and exploit the historically underprivileged and disadvantaged classes: women, nonwhites, transgenders and the poor. Hear them proclaim that laws reflect the group interests of those who write them and a progressive society allows only women, nonwhites, transgenders and the poor to write the laws, places them at the top of the hierarchy of power, and provides the legal basis for the oppression and enslavement of successful, white, male, nontransgender men: "Critical Legal Studies (CLS) is a theory which states that the law is necessarily intertwined with social issues, particularly stating that the law has inherent social biases. Proponents of CLS believe that the law supports the interests of those who create the law. As such, CLS states that the law supports a power dynamic which favors the historically privileged and disadvantages the historically underprivileged. CLS finds that the wealthy and the powerful use the law as an instrument for oppression in order to maintain their place in hierarchy. Many in the CLS movement want to overturn the hierarchical structures of modern society and they focus on the law as a tool in achieving this goal." (*Critical Legal Theory*, Cornell Law School). Listen to the words of the proponents of Critical Legal Theory and hear them proclaim that the rule of law is a structure of liberal, capitalist terror, a prop of support for a dictatorship of successful, white males, and must be dismantled by lawless gangs of women, blacks and nonwhites: "Leftism aims to transform existing social structures on the basis of a critique of their injustice, and, specifically, at the injustices of racist, capitalist patriarchy. The goal is to replace the system, piece by piece or in medium or large sized blocs, with a better system." (*Left Legalism/Left Critique* by Duncan Kennedy). Listen to the words of the proponents of Critical Legal Theory and hear them reject the vocabulary of peaceful, civilized, moral individuals and embrace the primitive language of force and

violence. Hear them lead a revolution of social and cultural regression, a movement of tribes, clans, families and factions, a rampage of women, nonwhites, homosexuals, transgenders, ecowarriors and the poor, which will tear up the landscape of individual rights and the rule of law, and grind civilization into the dust: "There is an inchoate, scattered yet gathering progressive movement that is emerging across the American landscape. This gathering now lacks both the vital moral vocabulary and the focused leadership that can constitute and sustain it. Yet it will be rooted ultimately in current activities by people of color, by labor and ecological groups, by women, by homosexuals." (*Left Legalism/Left Critique* by Duncan Kennedy, quoting Cornell West). In the twenty first century, the house of liberal order and Anglo-Saxon jurisprudence, constructed from the wisdom and courage of one thousand years, has been set on fire. Masquerading as scholars and professors, street hoodlums wearing the Marxist armbands of identity politics are the arsonists. The institutions of reason, truth, objectivity and human freedom and dignity are the material brought together by the incendiaries of Critical Legal Theory. Consuming every corner of the world, this blazing corpse of human progress is the funeral pyre of Western civilization.

THE BRASS KNUCKLES OF CRITICAL RACE THEORY

The term *hoodlum* has many synonyms, which include *troublemaker*, *hooligan*, *gangster* and *violent criminal*. Students of history and culture have been horrified and dismayed to add to this list a phrase which they have come upon in their studies of contemporary society. This phrase has a long lineage which goes back to the early decades of the twentieth century, and is an echo, a reflection and a ramification of a doctrine which began beating in the heart of the West in Frankfurt, Germany during the days of the Weimar Republic. Formulated by the Institute for Social Research, this doctrine was called Critical Theory and it proclaimed that there are no such things as empirical truths or universal truths and all truths are apriori in character and are expressions of the class will to power. Originally planned to be called the Institute for Marxism, the founders of the school decided to code name the school in order to conceal its true Marxist character. The institute was from its inception a fervent supporter of the Soviet Union and justified the Soviets' program of genocide as the highest expression of progressive politics: "He who has eyes for the meaningless injustice of the imperialist world, which in no way is to be explained by technical impotence, will regard the events in Russia as the progressive, painful attempt to overcome this injustice." (*Dammerung* by Max Horkheimer). The heir and descendant of Critical Theory emerged in the late 1970s and substituted race for class in its program of violence and destruction. It is from this successor school that students of history and culture have discovered another synonym for the term *hoodlum*: *the promoters of Critical Race Theory*. From the original Marxist hoodlums of class war to the present day neoMarxist hoodlums of race war, fighting and brawling under the name of Critical Race Theory, is but a short, logical, natural step, and these present day neoMarxist hoodlums have shouted out a program and manifesto of hatred and fanaticism which has added racism to the Marxist arsenal of war against the liberal enlightenment order. The promoters of Critical Race Theory proclaim that a progressive society seeks to take down all aspects of capitalist society through unrelenting criticism and violence directed against its inherently white racist power structures. They proclaim that laws cannot be written from any neutral, nonracial perspective and the present day laws governing liberal capitalist society are expressions of white power and must be replaced by laws expressing black power within a new national socialist regime. They proclaim that capitalism systemically privileges white people and must be subjected to a movement of transformation and resistance which will establish a black totalitarian dictatorship. They proclaim that

capitalism is a system of bigotry, biases and power imbalances which has disenfranchised and disempowered black people. They proclaim that a progressive society rejects Enlightenment universalism and is founded upon black morality, black justice, black government and black power: "Abstract thinking smuggles the privileged choice of the privileged to depersonify their claims and then pass them off as the universal authority and the universal good." (*Who's Afraid Of Critical Race Theory?* by Derrick Bell). They proclaim that a progressive society is a never ending assault against liberal norms, liberal institutions, and liberal law and order which protect the right of individuals to live and work for themselves, and conducts this assault under the banners of black tribalism and black statism. They proclaim that a progressive society rejects the liberal agenda of equal opportunity in favor of a national socialist agenda of black favoritism and affirmative action. They proclaim that a progressive society rejects the Enlightenment notions of civil rights for all, color blind equality, universal legal reasoning and neutral principles of constitutional law and marches under the savage torchlights of black privilege and a black master race. They proclaim that a progressive society has outlawed freedom of speech and authorizes a regime of brawling and beating up the proponents of politically incorrect ideas in order to protect the people from the hordes of racist, capitalist, stigmatizing, KKK antireds: "Being committed to free speech may seem like a neutral principle, but it is not. Thus, proclaiming that I am committed equally to allowing free speech for the KKK and 2LiveCrew is a nonneutral value judgment, one that asserts that the freedom to say hateful things is more important than the freedom to be free from the victimization, stigma, and humiliation that free speech entails." (*Who's Afraid Of Critical Race Theory?* by Derrick Bell). They proclaim that a progressive society is founded upon collectivism, community, egalitarianism and total enslavement and has banished the liberal doctrine of individual rights which compels the state to stay away from the free man: "Crits [proponents of Critical Race Theory] are suspicious of another liberal mainstay, namely, rights. The more radical CRT scholars with roots in racial realism and an economic view of history believe that moral and legal rights are apt to do the right holder much less good than we like to think. Think how that system applauds affording everyone equality of opportunity but resists programs that assure equality of results. Rights are alienating. They separate people from each other—'stay away, I've got my rights'—rather than encouraging to form close, respectful communities." (*Critical Race Theory: An Introduction* by Richard Delgado and Jean Stefancic). They proclaim that the liberal notions of a universal humanity and universal human reason, essence and intelligence are Enlightenment fictions and have no legitimacy whatever because they deny the fundamental reality of race and the natural right of the black race to rule the world. They proclaim that the notions of objective truth and neutral, empirical science have no basis in reality. Promoted by the Enlightenment, the notion of universal truth does not and cannot exist. All knowledge is subjective and relative to race; all laws, perspectives and points of view are race based and assertions of the racial will to power; and a progressive society gives free rein to the black community and legalizes black violence and savagery in the name of the rights of the oppressed, ignored, silenced, destroyed, appropriated, commodified, and marginalized: "Charles Lawrence speaks for many critical race theory adherents when he disagrees with the notion that laws are or can be written from a neutral perspective. Lawrence asserts that such a neutral perspective does not, and cannot, exist—that we all speak from a particular point of view, from what he calls a 'positioned perspective.' The problem is that not all positioned perspectives are equally valued, equally heard, or equally included. From the perspective of critical race theory, some positions have historically been oppressed, distorted, ignored, silenced, destroyed, appropriated, commodified, and marginalized—and all of this, not accidentally." (*Who's Afraid Of Critical*

Race Theory? by Derrick Bell). They proclaim that the world of the West, the world of scientific rationalism, liberal jurisprudence, human rights and freedoms, capitalist development and the Industrial Revolution, is an instrument and expression of white supremacy and must be swept away by a regime of black tribalism and cultural regression into the swamplands of bestial cruelty. They proclaim that the liberal Western world of freedom, political freedom, economic freedom and social freedom, is a weapon of white domination and must be replaced by a regime of black nationalism. They proclaim that a progressive society is pervaded with race chauvinism; it openly asserts the superiority of black people over white people; and it reserves all positions of power and responsibility to blacks. They proclaim a progressive society is a radical formation of black hate groups whose aim and mission is to smash the culture in which free people live. They proclaim that a progressive society is a black supremacist fever swamp dedicated to the repeal of racial equality and the extermination of white people: "White supremacy is a descriptive and useful term to capture the all encompassing centrality and assumed superiority of people defined and perceived as white and the practices based on this assumption. White supremacy in this context does not refer to individual white people and their individual intentions or actions but to an overarching political, economic, and social system of domination. Again, racism is a structure, not an event. While hate groups that openly proclaim white superiority do exist and this term refers to them also, the popular consciousness solely associates white supremacy with these radical groups. This reductive definition obscures the reality of the larger system at work and prevents us from addressing this system. I hope to have made clear that white supremacy is something much more pervasive and subtle than the actions of explicit white nationalists. White supremacy describes the culture we live in." *White Fragility* by Robin DiAngelo). During the Third Reich, the *Volksempfänger* was developed to enable the mass reception of hate messages broadcast from Berlin. The heirs and descendants of the German national socialists are the black nationalists active today marching under the banners of Critical Race Theory. Their radio receivers are located in every home, office and school in society. This final progeny of Marxism has spread a plague of hoodlum violence in the dying hours of the West.

THE REDS' WALL AROUND AMERICA

Architects define a wall as structure which does four things: it defines an area; it carries a load; and it provides security and shelter for people. In the ancient world, walls were built to last for an eternity and were seen as symbols and embodiments of the ancient virtues of solidity, stability, strength and stasis. Over the course of a century, the mobs of America have been constructing a moral, spiritual and ideological wall around the nation and this wall has served four purposes: it has defined an area of oppression and isolation; it has supported the fearsome and terrifying weight of gender, race and class agitation; and it has provided security and shelter to the totalitarian haters of humanity. Decade by decade since the rays of dawn first broke across the twentieth century, this wall has been constructed by the people of America, by the neighbors next door, by the folks around the corner, by the waiters and porters across the street, by the educated denizens of the coasts, by the main street multitudes of the Middle West, by the workers in factories, and by the senior executives ensconced in corporate suites. Decade by decade the bricks and mortar have been systematically laid and in the twenty first century, the wall has been completed and mass democracy's ultimate product of pure evil has been displayed to all the world. Civilized people who live inside this wall and civilized people throughout the world who have peered into it have recoiled in shock and horror at all that they have seen and sought to run away

from it physically and mentally. Asking themselves what went wrong and how it all happened, how a nation which for generations had been the world's arsenal, citadel, bulwark and policeman of freedom and liberty could degenerate into the moral sewer of red politics, they immediately came upon the answer. The answer was as plain as daylight and was provided to them by the direct, lucid, irrefutable streams of common sense. The answer was *mass psychology and mass participation in political and cultural life*. Civilized people knew from their study of history that this blight called the *masses* had brought down the Classical world and hurled it into an amphitheater where it was mauled to death by Christians and Germans; and they now beheld this same blight bring down the shining City Upon A Hill and hurl it into fever swamps filled by the radical left. Sensitive readers are hereby advised to brace themselves before seeing the kind of world the reds, the establishment, the masses have built up over the past one hundred years behind their wall encircling America. This world is frightening, disturbing, nightmarish and unpleasant and presents a picture of a nation, a culture, a civilization and a planet irrevocably caught in a downward spiral of suicidal death and flaming, storming self destruction. Behind this wall they will see one hundred years of treason against America's founding principles, ideals and written constitution. They will see the doctrine that the mind, body and soul of the individual are the property of the tribe and the community. They will see the doctrine that morality is that which serves the needs and interests of genders, races and classes. They will see independent, untired thought condemned as subversive and insurrectionist and punished by death. They will see individual rights crushed beneath the weight of a social justice leviathan. They will see statism packaged as progressivism by Theodore Roosevelt. They will see statism packaged as an administrative state by Woodrow Wilson. They will see statism packaged as a New Deal by Franklin Roosevelt. They will see statism packaged as an "organic" view of the constitution which panders to shifting ideological currents and rejects the protection of private property and economic freedom. They will see statism packaged as the New Frontier by John Kennedy. They will see statism packaged as the Great Society by Lyndon Johnson. They will see statism packaged as "centrism" by Richard Nixon. They will see statism packaged as a "kinder, gentler nation" by George Bush. They will see statism packaged as "compassionate conservatism" and "progovernment conservatism" by George W. Bush. They will see statism packaged as "something bigger and greater than the self" and as the Affordable Care Act by Barack Obama. They will see statism packaged as a public health necessity to fight the covid 19 pandemic. They will see the red thought police patrolling homes, families, neighborhoods, city streets, offices, factories and college campuses on the lookout for infractions against political correctness, which prohibits all criticisms of Marxist ideology and its race and gender offshoots, all criticisms of nonWestern cultures, all criticisms of indigenous nations, Arabs and Islam. They will see red terror movements traveling under the names Occupy Wall Street, Antifa and Black Lives Matter burn, plunder, raze to the ground and overthrow cities across the nation, shouting "eat the rich", "decolonization", "revolution by the 99 percent", "black nationalism", and "diversity, equity and inclusion". They will see indoctrination of the masses in critical race theory which teaches that the law is an instrument of white supremacy and must be replaced by a state of lawlessness and mob rule in the hands of black power gangs. They will see campaigns of vandalism launched against private residences and public institutions in the name of "wokeness" or alertness to the racist character of the capitalist system. They will see the prosecution of men on charges of sexual assault established by the conflation of an accusation with evidence, and a mass of accusation with a mass of evidence, and without an iota of proof, fairness or due process of law. They will see legal recognition granted to transgender individuals who deny the biological fact that sex is determined by

genes and chromosomes and proclaim that sex can be changed by means of drugs and surgical procedures. They will see antired opponents of gender, race and class theory bullied, blacklisted, doxed, deleted, canceled and cut out of society. They will see the greatest political scandal in the history of the nation unfold during the 2020 presidential election when Donald Trump was cheated out of victory by the perpetration of a fraud attested by one thousand sworn affidavits, one thousand reels of surveillance footage, one thousand pages of testimony by cybersecurity experts, one thousand exhibits of illegal postal voting, one thousand declarations of illegal ballot dumps and one thousand photographic stills. They will see individuals who affirm the reality of this election fraud condemned as neoMansonites who are in need of deprogramming, reprogramming, reeducation and social isolation. Listen to the words of the reds in today's America and hear the sounds of unmitigated hatred toward the nation and its founding in liberty and right. Hear a project of subversion undertaken against rational human flourishing. Hear a campaign of slander and vilification launched against the capitalist system. Hear commissions of political correctness condemn America as a slave state indelibly stained and inherently programmed with the sin of exploitation and oppression. Hear the voices in defense of American freedom, progress and liberal enlightenment condemned as harmful, offensive, bigoted and at variance with the truth. Hear a regime of race and gender discrimination and the targeting of white males for destruction wrapped up in the banners of diversity, equity and inclusion. Hear individual freedom equated with abject slavery, liberal peace equated with unjust war, constitutional government equated with the oppression of the working class, race blind and gender blind meritocracy equated with the victimization of women and nonwhites, and ignorance, propaganda, falsehood and deceit paraded as the highest forms of truth, education, scholarship and understanding. Hear the president of the United States, Joe Biden, proclaim the exiting of the nation from the Western community of free nations and its descent into the ranks of red totalitarian regimes: "On his very first afternoon in office, newly installed President Joe Biden showed exactly where his priorities lie--he scrubbed from the White House website the report from the 1776 Commission his predecessor Donald Trump had established to counter the New York Times' mendacious, antiAmerican 1619 Project, and abolished the Commission itself. 'Look,' Biden stated during his recent remarks on his 'racial equity' agenda, 'in the weeks ahead I'll be reaffirming the federal government's commitment to diversity, equity and inclusion and accessibility, building on the work we started in the Obama-Biden administration. That's why I'm rescinding the previous administration's harmful ban on diversity and sensitivity training and abolish the offensive counterfactual 1776 commission. Unity and healing must begin with understanding and truth, not ignorance, and lies. Indeed, unity and healing must begin with understanding and truth, and with rejecting ignorance and lies. That is precisely what the 1776 Commission set out to do in its report summarizing 'the principles of the American founding and how those principles have shaped our nation,' and that is precisely why the Biden administration wasted no time burying it and dissolving the Commission: because it targeted the ignorance and lies promoted in the 1619 Project, which has been slammed by hundreds of scholars for its attempt to establish the country's founding not in 1776, but in the year that African slaves first arrived on the North American continent. The 1619 Project's goal was to reinforce and propagate the subversive leftist worldview that the United States was founded in slavery and that, as Barack Obama put it, racism is in our very DNA.'" (*Trump's 1776 Report Goes Down The Memory Hole* by Mark Tapson). The wall the reds have built around America was not built by human hands but built by human destiny. This destiny is called *mass culture* and the stones it has laid, imprisoning the human spirit, will never be smashed unless the power behind them is defeated. America will never see freedom again until the dictatorship of the

masses is overthrown.

TRUTH AS CORRESPONDENCE: BANNED IN THE WEST

One thousand years ago in the twilight evening lands of Northern Europe, a scholar, alchemist and necromancer stood at a crossroads. Dissatisfied with his life of wisdom and knowledge, this figure named Faust turned down the fork on the left and made a pact with the Devil. In this pact, he agreed to surrender his wisdom and knowledge in exchange for infinite power. He agreed to give up the pursuit of truth in exchange for mastery and control. When he made his choice and turned down the left fork in the road, bolts of lightning and peels of thunder at once shattered the sky and a new culture appeared upon the face of the earth: the fearsome, terrifying, imagination defying, Faustian culture of the West. The ethos of subjectivity and the will would stamp itself upon every facet of the new culture and would distinguish it, as the night winds and the black waters are distinguished from the placid meadows of noonday, from the Apollonian culture of the Greeks and Romans. Racing down the left fork of the road after Faust's pact with the Devil, Western culture has, over the course of a millennium, accelerated into mental free fall and taken the study of truth and reality down with it. The foundational principle of Greco-Roman culture, and the foundational principle of all sane thinking, is the affirmation that truth is the correspondence of thought with an extramental, physical reality. This simple, plain, common sense affirmation that physical objects exist and that the truth or falsity of a statement is determined by how it relates to the world of physical objects is expressed by Aristotle in his *Metaphysics*: "To say that that which is, *is not*, and that which is not, *is*, is a falsehood. Therefore, to say that which is, *is*, and that which is not, *is not*, is true". In its tumultuous journey into the raging storms of Faustian midnight and into the bottomless depths of will power and subjectivity, the West has rejected the Classical definition of truth as correspondence to reality and embraced every other definition of truth. Listen to the words of Faust's heirs and descendants and see an assault on the notion of truth without parallel in the history of mankind. Listen to the words which proclaim that reality is a substance called mind and has no physical existence whatever. They proclaim that the world and its facts is nothing more than a collection of ideas in the mind of a supreme being. They proclaim that what is called physical reality is a creation of the human mind and has no existence beyond its forms and categories. They proclaim that the belief that we can know objects as they really are is a myth founded in the Age of Enlightenment and must be replaced by the recognition that we can only know objects as they appear to us and are perceived by us. They proclaim that what are called physical objects are imaginary, undefinable entities and the notion of a mind independent reality is incoherent. They proclaim that truth is a state of internal coherence within a set of propositions or ideas and has no relation whatever to anything outside this. They proclaim that truth is the proper fit of elements within a system and affirms nothing about anything physical which lies outside the system. They proclaim that reality is a single, absolute mental system and truth is the harmony of ideas within this system. They proclaim that truth is coherence and consistency and exists only inside a system and has no existence outside the system. They proclaim that truth is a state of interconnection, interdependence and interentailment and has nothing whatever to do with the world of material nature. They proclaim that the function of thought is not to describe or mirror reality but to shape and transform reality in accordance with our needs and wishes. They proclaim that a statement becomes true by virtue of its positive effects upon our emotional health and well being and has no truth outside of this pragmatic value. They proclaim that scientific theories are underdetermined by and unrelated to empirical data and

are nothing more than products of our interests and desires. They proclaim that truth is an arbitrary social convention and a product of majority vote. They proclaim that truth is subjective, intersubjective and democratic in character and has no relation whatever to objective entities or structures. They proclaim that truth is determined by our language games and forms of life and there are as many different truths as there language games, no one of which may be held to be more valid or more justifiable than any other. They proclaim that truth is definitional, grammatical, semantic and linguistic in character and is completely unrelated to the nature of existence. They proclaim that truth is an antiempirical, apriori construct which serves the needs and interests of genders, races, classes and cultures and corresponds to nothing in reality. They proclaim that truth is a social convention which serves the ends of politics. Listen to the words of a Faustian philosopher and see the rejection of the world of experience. See the denial that physical objects exist. See the denial that conceptual schemes correspond to anything outside the mind. See the projection of the world of nature as a cultural posit without reality of any kind: "As an empiricist I continue to think of the conceptual scheme of science as a tool, ultimately, for predicting future experience in the light of past experience. Physical objects are conceptually imported into the situation as convenient intermediaries not by definition in terms of experience, but simply as irreducible posits comparable, epistemologically, to the gods of Homer. For my part I do, qua lay physicist, believe in physical objects and not in Homer's gods; and I consider it a scientific error to believe otherwise. But in point of epistemological footing, the physical objects and the gods differ only in degree and not in kind. Both sorts of entities enter our conceptions only as cultural posits." (*Two Dogmas Of Empiricism* by Willard Quine). Listen to the words of a Faustian philosopher and see the rejection of all empirical modes of investigation. See the denial that truth provides any description or explanation of the nature of reality. See the denial that thought provides any information about the extramental world. See the identification of philosophical problems with linguistic problems. See the reduction of philosophy to an internal study of words, meanings and the operations of language without reference to the world of nature: "It was true to say that our considerations could not be scientific ones. It was not of any possible interest to us to find out empirically that, contrary to our preconceived ideas, it is possible to think such and such--whatever that may mean. And we may not advance any kind of theory. There must not be anything hypothetical in our considerations. We must do away with all explanation and description alone must take its place. And this description gets its light, that is to say its purpose, from the philosophical problems. These are of course not empirical problems. They are solved, rather, by looking into the workings of our language, and that in such a way as to make us recognize those workings, despite an urge to misunderstand them. The problems are solved, not by giving new information, but by arranging what we have always known. Philosophy is a battle against the bewitchment of our intelligence by means of language." (*Philosophical Investigations* by Ludwig Wittgenstein). Listen the words of a Faustian philosopher and see the projection of existence as nothingness. See the denial that being is anything which is real or physical. See the denial that the senses perceive reality. See the denial that thought refers to the world which is outside of thought. See the reduction of all entities to mental entities and the projection of mind as the true being and essence of reality: "Why are there beings at all instead of nothing? That is the question. Presumably it is not arbitrary question, Why are there beings at all instead of nothing---this is obviously the first of all questions. Of course it is not the first question in the chronological sense. And yet, we are each touched once, maybe even every now and then, by the concealed power of this question, without properly grasping what is happening to us. In great despair, for example, when all weight tends to dwindle away from things and the sense of things grows dark, the

question looms. The senses do not enable us to cognize any entity in its Being. They merely serve to announce the ways in which external things within the world are useful or harmful for human creatures encumbered with bodies. They tell us nothing about entities in their Being." (*Being And Time* by Martin Heidegger). Students of philosophy have long pointed out the contradiction involved in denying the correspondence theory of truth. They have pointed out that any other conception of truth, such as that truth consists in the coherence of a statement within a system of statements, or that truth consists in advancing man's wishes, needs, interests or desires, is held by its proponents to be true, to be simply, absolutely and unqualifiedly true, and not to be true by virtue of something else, such as coherence or pragmatic success. The opponents of the correspondence theory of truth contradict themselves when they both deny validity of the theory and assume its validity. Denying that truth is the correspondence between our ideas and the facts of reality, Western philosophy has traveled down the winding, twisting path of psychosis. When Faust stood at the crossroads and made his fateful decision, he at once brought into being a new culture, a culture founded on the rejection of truth in favor of subjectivity, and set a seal of doom upon hundreds of millions of people down through the centuries who would live as citizens of the West. This was the doom of schizophrenic disintegration and in the nightmare world of the twenty first century, the sands of time have run out on the world of Faust.